```
00001
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
              EASTERN INTERIOR REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
9
                              PUBLIC MEETING
10
11
                         Tanacross Community Hall
                             Tanacross, Alaska
12
13
                             February 18, 1998
14
15
                                  VOLUME I
16
17 COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
18
19 Charles P. Miller, Sr., Chairman
20 Philip J. Titus, Vice Chairman
21 Nathaniel Good, Secretary
22 Craig Fleener
23 Gerald D. Nicholia
24 Lee Titus
26 Vince Mathews, Coordinator
```

## PROCEEDINGS

(On record)

MR. MATHEWS: Okay, can everybody hear us out there?

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Take your seats, please.

9 MR. MATHEWS: Okay. Because of the rush of setting up 10 -- and for the record, this is the Eastern Interior Regional 11 Advisory Council Meeting. The section we're in now is called 12 the work session. It is open to the public, but we're not 13 going to take testimony at this moment, we're not going to 14 debate issues or bring up other information. This is just to 15 kind of lay out how the meeting is to go forward and to get 16 input from the Council, you know, clarification on that.

So with that I'll kind of launch, if that's okay with 19 the Chair, to go ahead, to go through the agenda and how we 20 envision this going forward. All right. I suppose to get the 21 record going straight, I'm Vince Mathews of the Fish and 22 Wildlife Service. We will have introduction of guests when we 23 get into the regular agenda, but for whoever is typing this up 24 they probably need to know who I am before I get moving.

Okay, if you turn to Tab N as in Nenana there's a copy 27 of the agenda. For the public and other staff there's agendas 28 on the back table. With the consultation with the Chair and 29 others we've adapted the agenda, over time, to be action items 30 and informational. This time we've changed the order of the 31 events to bring up proposals earlier on in the agenda, which 32 will be helpful, but it also means we're going to have to 33 monitor our time so we have adequate time to deal with the 34 other issues.

It's a standard agenda, obviously called to order, roll 37 call, all that and we'll do that when we get on our official 38 meeting. I did talk to Glenn Daily, I believe it is, and I 39 can't remember the Chief of the village right now, and I 40 apologize for that. I think Jerry.....

MR. FLEENER: Jerry Isaac.

MR. MATHEWS: Jerry Isaac. And they're going to 45 invite, possibly an elder, to come by here at 10:00-10:30 so we 46 may need to adapt our schedule to allow the elder to speak or 47 elders. So that is on Item number 4.

Item number 5 is Council member concerns. This is 50 where each Council member can share information that they would

like to bring forward to the Council. Concerns, topics, et 2 cetera, that's a time where we kind of brainstorm and then if 3 there's ideas that come up out of it that need to be added to 4 the agenda we look at it when the agenda is before us for approval.

5 6 7

Then we have pass to the agenda and approval, which is 8 pretty standard. The reading of the minutes. I suppose I need 9 some direction on that. We've done it both ways, we've done it 10 where I've summarized it and you guys approved it and we've 11 done it where there's been a pause and you guys looked them 12 over. You did get them in the mail ahead of time. So what is 13 your prerogative on that, just to pause a few minutes?

14 15

MR. FLEENER: Yeah, I'd like to take an opportunity to 16 just pause and read through it and then if we have questions we 17 can ask them.

18 19

MR. MATHEWS: Okay. And we change them, there's no 20 problem with that. Once the meeting approves them, then they 21 become the minutes of the last meeting, which was at Dot Lake.

22 23

Okay, then we come up to -- I'm just going to keep 24 moving, so if you have questions you're going to have to stop 25 me on it. We have the Regional Council members report, which 26 is somewhat redundant of Council member concerns, but it's a 27 little bit different. This is where generally the Chair has 28 quite a few reports where he has met with other groups and 29 wants to share stuff, it's not obligated that he does, but 30 these are some that he's been involved with.

31 32

Then the second part of that is other members reports. 33 This is where Philip attended the, what is it?

34 35

MR. P. TITUS: YRFDA.

36 37

MR. MATHEWS: YRFDA, which is Yukon River Fisheries 38 Drainage Association meeting. Nat may want to report something 39 on a meeting he's gone to, the Delta Advisory Committee or 40 something like that. Gerald may want to talk about, you 41 mentioned to me some tribal organization that you may want --42 this would be a time to inform the Council of other issues that 43 they may be -- not other issues, other information that may be 44 important to them. But we'll keep it brief, but it's a sharing 45 time.

46

47 Then we get into proposal review and recommendations. 48 Pete's not here but I know that he would agree with this. 49 way we're going to do it, assuming we get an overhead project 50 -- I mean overhead screen to do this on, but if not, we'll

still do the similar steps. Is I'll introduce the proposal, and that'll be basically telling you proposal number, what the topic is and basically a little bit about the proposal, very little. If the overhead screen is here, I'll put an overhead up that shows that same information.

5 6 7

Okay, and then depending on the proposal, so let's say 8 it's a biological proposal, one that's changing a season or 9 harvest limit or methods and means, Pete will be handling 10 those. If it happens to be a customary and traditional use 11 determination then George will be the main lead on it. Now, 12 they'll probably share in different points, but that's the 13 general make-up of how this is done.

14 15

I think what they're probably going to do, depending on 16 the proposal, is taylor it to the needs of the proposal, i.e., 17 if it's a proposal that has, in our opinion, minimal effect on 18 the region, they're probably going to pare their presentation 19 down to that. You can ask questions on it, obviously, and do 20 that, but because of the amount of proposals we have, we're 21 going to take that approach on proposal that are not directly 22 of a large impact on the region, but you can change that if you 23 have questions, and we encourage you to do that.

24 25

Then we go to agency comments, I don't know if the 26 State is going to be here. I will be doing the comments if 27 they're not present here for the State. Remember in Tanana, I 28 would say "Terry", if Terry Haines was here from Division of 29 Subsistence "would you like me to read the comments?" And he'd 30 say "Sure" or "No, I want to share." I don't know if the State 31 will be here, but if they are I will do that unless they 32 surrender that.

33 34

Then you go to open floor, public comments. If someone 35 wants to come up, and again, we'll probably move these mikes to 36 make that easier, okay? And then it's Regional Council 37 deliberation and recommendation.

38 39

Is that comfortable with the Council, this order? 40 seems, you know, bureaucratic, but that way we are developing a 41 good administrative record and you'll have freedom throughout, 42 but definitely down under deliberation and recommendation. 43 that, as your Coordinator, I need to advise you what your 44 criteria are on your recommendation. Your recommendation for 45 the Board to reject it, your recommendation is if it's not 46 based on substantial evidence, if it's contradictory to 47 recognized principles of wildlife conservation and is 48 detrimental to subsistence.

49 50

So if we reverse that to get them to take your

1 recommendations and pass them into regulation, it must be based 2 on substantial evidence, it must recognize the conservation 3 concerns of the species and be beneficial to subsistence.

4 5

Now, other staff have been working on me quite a bit on this over time, but I know you have said it on the record that your concerns first are of the conservation of the species first, because without the species in good health you do not have use of it. So I'll know you'll build from that. But to make your record stronger before the Board or if we ever have 11 to go to court to defend your recommendation, it's going to 12 need to touch upon those.

13 14

Now, that doesn't mean we do a dissertation, a big long 15 speech, about the biology, but you do have the analysis in 16 front of you, you can pull from that or you can reference that.

17 18

The reason I'm spending so much time on this is when we 19 -- when and if we go to fisheries it's going to be imperative 20 that the record reflect that you based it on sound evidence, 21 okay, because we're going to be dealing in a different area, so 22 if we start now we'll be better off.

23 24

If that's okay with you guys and the staff's all geared 25 up for that, that's how we will handle proposals.

26 27

And for the public that's here and staff, unless the 28 Chair decides differently, in the past he's always recognized 29 requests to speak throughout the whole proposal, throughout the 30 whole agenda. We do have official sign-in sheets back there, 31 but I don't expect we're going to need to use those unless we 32 get a lot more people here, okay. But for those that want to 33 use the sign-in sheets go ahead and do that, bring them up to 34 the table here and we'll go that route.

35 36

Okay, then we have the Annual Report, and you got that in the mail, we'll talk more about that. The Annual Report secret is last meeting you gave ideas, I worked out writing up those ideas as best as I could, sent them out with the Chair's approval, and now they're back before you to approve the Annual Report. That shouldn't take too long, other than we may add or subtract some items.

43 44

And then I would request, with the Chair's concurrence, 45 that then if Gerald says "Well, this needs to be rewritten." 46 that he would leave it up to the Chair and I to finalize that 47 instead of going back to a mailout and coming back in. If 48 that's okay. We'll address that again there.

49 50

Okay. Charter renewal and approval, there's a tab

under that. This is your biannual charter. There's some items in there that you are particular unique on that we will talk about, and that's your request for alternate members. So we'll talk about that further.

5

Fisheries. With concurrence of the others, I think we have it down here the way it's going to go. There'll be an overview of the Draft Proposed Rule and Environmental Assessment, Rosa will be doing that. They'll be a summary of public comments. I think the way we'll handle that is Gerald was at the Tanana meeting, he was involved in setting it up and was there. I also have Ida Hildebrand, who we the one that was the hearing officer there, her notes. And then we have notes from the Fort Yukon and you were -- sorry, for the record, what your....

16 17

MR. FLEENER: Mr. Fleener.

18 19

MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Fleener. Craig was at the Fort Yukon 20 one. So we'll have enough information to give you an idea of 21 what was said at the public comments that were at hearings 22 within your region.

23 24

Then there'll be opportunity for public comments. The 25 public and the subcommittee will report. We had subcommittee 26 on that, Craig and Chuck and we'll talk about that. And then 27 there's time for deliberation and recommendations. Okay, so I 28 think that's all on how we had it planned.

29 30

The next item, which is Item 13, the Park Service will 31 be conducting, I believe Janis is doing that. And please note 32 the color photograph on that book.

33 34

MR. FLEENER: It is beautiful.

35

MR. MATHEWS: It is beautiful. They're going to 37 present that. Right now I'm just going to leave it up to them 38 when they present that, what they want from the Council out of 39 that.

40

Okay. Then we have actually completed, in many ways, 42 most of the actions items. Then we're into informational 43 items, but this agenda evolves so much that there's some mixing 44 down here. Then we go correspondence, sent and received, and 45 I'll brief you on that.

46

Then we have an action item on coordination efforts 48 with Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Fish and Game will be 49 here and I don't know if I passed those out. I'll make sure 50 that you have a copy of the latest report from the Staff

Committee Taskforce on that, it just came in yesterday.

3

Okay, then we go on to updates on different items 4 dealing with the overall program. One is the restructure of 5 Federal Subsistence Board and I think Sue is doing that. Okay. 6 And then Request for Reconsideration and Special Action policy, that one you need to take a look at, and I think Sue's doing that also.

8 9 10

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE:

11 12

MR. MATHEWS: Rosa's doing that, okay. And then we 13 have the consent agenda, you guys have already said what you 14 wanted on that, but I think you need to hear more about what 15 has transpired. You've already requested -- you've already 16 approved the concept of consent agenda.

17 18

Then we have nominations updates. And then new member 19 training materials, final copy. Well, that didn't get here, so 20 it's final.

21 22

MR. FLEENER: What's this nominations update?

23 24

MR. MATHEWS: Nominations update would be -- we would 25 give you -- it'll be about 20 seconds, and update of where the 26 nomination process is. Right now it's open for nominations, 27 the seats that are open for your thing -- for your three seats. 28 And I don't have any report as whose names have been submitted 29 so far.

30 31

MR. FLEENER: So you don't know who and how many yet?

32 33

I know how many, obviously you have a MR. MATHEWS: 34 third of your Council up. We know out of that three one is not 35 reapplying, unless he changes his mind. So definitely there'll 36 be a new member. The other two could reapply. Everyone's 37 looked at on their merits, new and old and et cetera. So that's 38 what nominations updates would be.

39 40

And that would be a time to just enlighten you and then 41 encourage you to talk to others, like for example, Craig, since 42 Steven Ginnis is not reapplying, to see if there's others. Not 43 that Fort Yukon might get another seat, but that there might be 44 others that might be interested. We'll cover what I assess as 45 holes in the region when we talk about that. Remind me when we 46 do that because we may need some help on that. Well, we 47 definitely need help on that.

48

49 Okay. The next item was a request to have it added to 50 the agenda. You're going to hear me on the record make it

clear that this is a management issue, not a subsistence issue. This is the wood bison reintroduction. That's to make clear the record, but under ANILCA you have authority to comment on 4 other things and Craig will be the one that's mainly coordinating that or facilitating that discussion. And he'll remind you of your action that you took, let's see, last meeting.

7 8

5

Okay. The next is agency reports. What we've done 10 with agency reports is put them at the end, which they usually 11 end up complaining to me about, because it doesn't leave them a 12 lot of time. What we're trying to do with agency reports is 13 target them for the fall meetings where they could spend more 14 time on agency reports. This does not defer the agencies, 15 because I know there's Park Service people, Bureau of Land 16 Management people. If there's something that needs the 17 attention of the Council now. That needs action now, that 18 can't wait till fall, please bring it up, but realize that 19 you're going to have minimal time doing that. You can see 20 we're gearing up for when you deal with fisheries your time is 21 going to become even more precious, so we're transitioning 22 there.

23 24

Under agency reports, it was requested last time Okay. 25 and that's why it's there, that Native corporations be listed 26 as number 1 and et cetera, so that's down there, that's an 27 opportunity for Tanacross Tribal Council, Tanana Chiefs, 28 Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments, whoever in that arena 29 would like to share some information that is pertinent to the 30 time.

31 32

There will be an update on the Migratory Bird Treaty 33 amendments, a new regulation process. I think Rosa is doing 34 that. And that one, I know, you're personally interested in, 35 so -- and that'll be explained. So that one is important to 36 you to get an update on that.

37 38

Then it's opened for the area biologist to speak. My 39 understanding, Craig Gardner will be here. I don't know if Bob 40 Stephenson will be here. Bob will be here. So we'll have two 41 area biologist. I don't think the Fairbanks area biologist 42 will be here. Again, they're going to be restricted to the 43 same times as everyone else under agencies, but if there's 44 something you need to know from them, you have opportunity on 45 break, during proposal time, and at that time to call them up, 46 but we'll be pretty low on time at that.

47 48

MS. MELDRUM: Can I ask a question?

49 50

MR. MATHEWS: Sure.

```
00009
           MS. MELDRUM: Would the agency reports, would that be
  the time to discuss the Wrangell/St. Elias SRC recommendation
  of did you have that booked in somewhere else?
4
5
           MR. MATHEWS:
                         Which recommendation?
6
7
                         It's under Tab U, it's the second page
          MS. MELDRUM:
8
  in....
9
10
                         Oh, hunting plan?
          MR. MATHEWS:
11
          MS. MELDRUM: (Indiscernible - cough)
12
13
14
           MR. MATHEWS: I think it would -- with the agreement of
15 the Chair and the Council, I think it would be best to do that
16 when you do your subsistence plan.
17
           MS. MELDRUM:
18
                         Okay.
19
20
          MR. MATHEWS: Is that okay?
21
22
          MR. FLEENER:
                         Is that the one we discussed the last
23 meeting?
24
          MR. MATHEWS: He's asking is that -- I don't think we
26 discussed this hunting plan at the last meeting.
27
28
          MS. MELDRUM: No, not the particular hunting plan
29 recommendation, it just came out of their last meeting in
30 November. You have seen this before. The hunting plan
31 recommendation is new, it's just one page.
32
33
           MR. MATHEWS: Yeah, the SRCs have to do hunting plans
34 versus like we do proposals or whatever.
35
36
          MS. MELDRUM: And it's written up under Tab U.
37
38
           MR. MATHEWS: So I think that might be best under 13.
39 I'm not going to -- well, when we approve agenda we can.
40
41
           Then we pick time and location for next meeting and
42 we're out of here.
43
44
           MR. FLEENER:
                         What's her name?
45
46
          MR. MATHEWS: Who's name? Oh, that's Janis Meldrum,
47 and it's not with an E. Too, me four years to figure that out.
48
49
          MR. FLEENER: Which part's not with an E, the Mel or
50 the drum?
```

```
00010
1
           MR. MATHEWS: The E on Jan -- no E on Janis.
2
3
           Okay. Any questions? I went fast through that.
  That's how we envision the meeting going forward. What is your
5
  feelings on that? I think I've already explained why I went
  through, we're just trying to achieve a good record that you
7
  can carry back to your communities, that can go forward to the
   Board and that just makes life easier on all realms.
9
10
                         I feel good.
           MR. FLEENER:
                                       That was too easy.
11
12
           MR. MATHEWS: Okay. I suppose I could turn it over to
13 the staff. Are there any other questions? This really kind of
14 -- we're not into the full meeting, we're just kind of
15 organizing how to run the meeting. Is there any other input
16 from staff that want something different on the meeting?
17
18
           (No audible responses)
19
20
           MR. MATHEWS:
                         I don't hear any. So.....
21
22
           MR. FLEENER:
                         We might actually get started early.
23
24
                         Let's see what we got down here.
           MR. MATHEWS:
25
26
           MR. FLEENER:
                         That's the workshop book.
27
28
           MR. P. TITUS: Did we skip the workshop or.....
29
30
           MR. MATHEWS:
                         This is the workshop.
31
32
           MR. FLEENER: Yeah, if you have any -- no, he was just
33 going over stuff that -- the purpose of the work session was to
34 -- before, a lot of times, we had some confusion with proposals
35 and whatnot and he's just -- we're just going down the road to
36 talk about how we're going to organize things. And if we have
37 any questions about how things are organized right now, now is
38 the time to ask it. And if we have concerns about specific
39 items that may come up, we can bring those concerns up now,
40 talk about it, get it semi-solved now before we officially
41 start talking about things.
42
43
           So if there are not real concerns.....
44
45
           MR. P. TITUS: Our meeting got moved down here because
46 of our public thing and there's nobody from the public
47 (indiscernible - simultaneous speech).....
48
49
           MR. MATHEWS: Okay, the meeting location....
50
```

00011 MR. P. TITUS: .....don't go. Supposed to be salmon 2 issues, right? 3 4 MR. MATHEWS: Salmon iss -- well, we're going to be 5 talking about fisheries. 6 7 MR. P. TITUS: I mean the public hearing is a salmon 8 issues deal? 9 10 MR. FLEENER: Yes. 11 12 MR. MATHEWS: Yeah. 13 14 MR. P. TITUS: Kind of ridiculous to have the meeting 15 where salmon don't go. 16 17 MR. MATHEWS: I don't -- what's..... 18 MR. FLEENER: He's saying it's kind of -- we didn't --19 20 many of the Council members didn't want to move the meeting as 21 it was, we moved the meeting at this point so we could come 22 here specifically for the salmon hearing and there was one 23 person from the public, I guess, that showed up. And it's a 24 place that salmon don't go too often, I guess, so -- unless 25 they're canned or something. So.... 26 27 MR. MATHEWS: Well, there may be difference of opinion 28 on the salmon, where they go, but the moving of the meeting was 29 because of coupling it with the hearing. There was no hearing 30 set up for Minto, so we moved it from Minto to Fort Yukon and 31 then travel concerns and et cetera for Fort Yukon, we ended up 32 moving it to Tanacross. So that's the chain of events there on 33 moving the meeting. 34 35 I think that when we get to setting up the meeting for 36 the next round we'll need to make clear where you want to meet, 37 first and second. We usually do that, but make it very clear 38 on that and then what parameters..... 39 40 MR. FLEENER: I think we were fairly clear where we 41 wanted to go first and second last time and second was a 42 consideration. Rampart was were we wanted to meet if there was 43 -- if fisheries was going to be discussed. 44 45 MR. MATHEWS: Right. 46 47 MR. FLEENER: And that wasn't considered and really the 48 Council, I don't know, I really wasn't asked, do you want to go 49 to Tanacross? It just came across on the phone, we're going to 50 Tanacross, so.....

MR. MATHEWS: Right, that was -- the directive on that 2 or the discussion, I suppose would be a better way of saying 3 it, was that the Council should have exposure to a public 4 hearing and the hearings were set up off this list. And the 5 hearings in Interior were Fairbanks, Tok, Fort Yukon, I think that's the three for Eastern.

7 8 MS. MEEHAN:

> MR. MATHEWS: Tanana.

Tanana.

10 11

9

6

12 MR. FLEENER: Well, the fishing does not matter to the 13 public there. Way they hell on the Yukon River.

15 MS. MEEHAN: Maybe I can help out a little bit here. 16 It's -- we're sort of stuck with where we are and so it seems 17 to me it would be best could just move on. Unfortunately we 18 were stuck in a position of having essentially two separate 19 processes that we were just trying to work out all the 20 logistics on and all of the agencies in trying to pick up all 21 the local concerns we could. So we had sort of one separate 22 effort to set up where all of these public meetings were going  $^{-}$  23 to be and then  $\mathrm{\bar{w}e}$  tried to meld that with all the interests in 24 having all 10 of the Regional Council meetings. And we just 25 had to make some accommodations to try and get the two 26 processes put together and unfortunately your Council was stuck 27 with having to move, you know, kind of keep changing the 28 situations. And I'm really sorry that happened, but we were 29 just were sort of stuck with trying to do all this logistics to 30 get all of this stuff worked in. And....

31

32 MR. FLEENER: Well, the idea that we're in Tanacross 33 doesn't bother me at all. I don't want -- I mean, it's being 34 made to sound like we don't want to be here and I don't want 35 anybody to think that we don't want to be here, so that's 36 definitely would be a misunderstanding to say anything like 37 that. But we don't want to just skim over the top of this and 38 say "Let's move on." Because if there's a concern of where 39 we're meeting and if, as local representatives, people ask us 40 to have meetings in their communities, we need to make sure 41 that we keep that as a top consideration. We're here to work 42 for the people that ask us to come, that ask us about questions 43 that have subsistence concerns. And if we just say, oh, we're 44 just going to go where staff decides to send us, you know, 45 that's not what the people out in the villages want to hear. 46 And I'm not saying that that's what took place, but there 47 wasn't any input from me as to where I wanted to go on this. 48 And if we're going to just turn over to the staff where we're 49 going to go from now on, then, you know, that is taking away a 50 lot of public input, especially if there's certain concerns out 00013 1 there.

5

MS. MEEHAN: And I truly appreciate your concerns, and 4 everybody on the staff does, but we got put into an unusual situation this particular time because we were mandated, if you will, to run all these other public meetings and so it was an unusual circumstance.

MR. FLEENER: I understand that and I appreciate it, 10 but I just didn't like the idea of, you know, us hurrying along 11 and saying that we needed to just move on in this because that 12 is -- that's one of the things that's most important about what 13 we do is meeting with the communities and listening to the 14 communities. And if we just start going around where someone 15 says we need to go, you know, without us having input about it, 16 I don't like that and I don't know if anybody else likes it 17 either.

18 19

MR. GOOD: You know, I have one concern, and it's too 20 late to be concerned about it, I guess but, you know, when the 21 meeting was advertised for here as relating to the Federal 22 fisheries, I think -- I wonder if more attention was paid to 23 the Interior because the people here really, if you had signs 24 up saying "The Federal fisheries takeover and its impact on dip 25 netting at Chitina." I think you'd have a great deal more 26 interest. I think you would have drawn people from Delta 27 Junction, but when it was put that way, it just seems so bland 28 and so distant, you know, maybe you need to hit them a little 29 more personally because people in this area tend to go to 30 Chitina and dip net where they're going to get fresh salmon 31 that haven't come all the way up and lost most of their fat by 32 the time they come here. But it's too late not to -- it's just 33 a comment.

34 35

Any other concerns by the Council? MR. FLEENER: 36 I'm sorry, that's your job.

37 38

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Is there any more questions or.....

39 40

MR. MATHEWS: Because we're just in the workshop 41 session now, we're not.....

42 43

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead.

44

45 MR. JONATHAN: Chuck, I wanted to welcome you guys to 46 Tanacross. Oh, this is Keith Jonathan, I sit on the Village 47 Council here and I also sit on the Upper Tanana Advisory 48 Committee and the Forty-Mile Caribou Management Committee. 49 just wanted to welcome you guys to Tanacross, having this 50 meeting here. We don't get a chance to see the Committee

```
00014
  sitting up here very much on the upper Tanana. And to me I
2 hear there's fisheries concern that's important to a lot of
3 areas and there's also other concerns on here that I feel
4 people that walk in need to comment on that's important to our
5
  area. A lot of it is toward subsistence fishing, hunting and
6 -- but I hear the Committee's concern and it's good one. I
7 think that you need to -- if there's a point in the meeting --
  if there's an area that you need to set the meeting and, you
9 know, just clear you guys feelings. But just being on the
10 council, I just wanted to welcome you guys to Tanacross.
11
12
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Keith.
13
14
           MR. MATHEWS: Well, our options now would be to go
15 ahead. I don't think it would -- this being so close to 10:00
16 o'clock that someone was targeting 10:00 o'clock that we
17 couldn't backtrack for them. It is noted in the agenda
18 starting at 10:00 but we're so close to 10:00.
19
20
           MR. P. TITUS: Maybe we can take a five minute break.
21
22
          MR. FLEENER:
                         That's what we could do is take a break
23 and go over the minutes, I guess.
24
25
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Well, let's recognize the elders from
26 Tanacross.
27
28
          MR. MATHEWS:
                         Then I think we'll let him speak and
29 that'll start the meeting off, if that's okay with everybody.
30
31
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Want to take a few minute first or go
32 right into it?
33
34
           MR. MATHEWS: Well, why don't we break and talk to him
35 when it would be comfortable for him to speak.
36
37
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay.
38
39
           MR. MATHEWS: Okay, so we'll just recess.
40
41
           (Off record)
42
43
           (On record)
44
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Vince, did you want to go with the
45
46 roll call or did you.....
47
48
          MR. MATHEWS: Yes, just.....
49
50
          MR. FLEENER: The secretary should do that.
```

```
00015
1
           (Indiscernible - multiple voices)
2
3
           MR. MATHEWS: All right, Mr. Chairman, you're
  indicating to me to do roll call, so I'll go ahead and do that
5
  for the record. Calvin Tritt is absent, Timothy Sam is absent,
6
  Gerald Nicholia.
7
8
           MR. NICHOLIA: Here.
9
10
          MR. MATHEWS: Steven Ginnis is absent. Lee Titus,
11 absent. Craig Fleener.
12
13
          MR. FLEENER: Here.
14
15
           MR. MATHEWS: Nat Good.
16
17
          MR. GOOD: Present.
18
19
          MR. MATHEWS: Philip Titus.
20
21
          MR. P. TITUS:
                         Present.
22
23
          MR. MATHEWS: Chuck Miller.
24
25
          CHAIRMAN MILLER: Present.
26
27
          MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman, you have five members
28 present out of a nine member Council, you have a quorum of
29 five.
30
31
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Vince. Okay. The next
32 thing is the introduction of Council members. If it's okay
33 with the Council here, I'd like to go ahead and have the
34 Tanacross senior go ahead and say something to kind of open the
35 meeting up.
36
37
           MR. GOOD: Yeah, that's fine, sure, go ahead.
38
39
          MR. MATHEWS: We'll need to get him near a mike, I
40 think.
41
42
           (Off record comments - getting elder situated)
43
44
           MR. THOMAS: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
45 name is Kenny Thomas, I'm from Tanacross and I'd like to
46 welcome you people here. And I've lived here all my life. And
47 one of the things that I wanted to say about, you know, the
48 things are -- I don't know, I don't want to take too much of
49 your time, but I'd like to -- I lived here most of my life, I
50 said, and I really having trouble with the law today. I don't
```

feel like there's any freedom at all for me.

The reason why I don't feel that way is -- I feel that way is I feel like I'm in a cage today, just like a money in a cage. The reason why I feel that way is because of the law. The law is here and the game is over here, the law is over here and things that I wanted to do I can't do. And the law today, I can't even go out and build a fire unless I got a permit. I can't cut wood unless I got a permit. I can't set out my fish net unless I have a permit. I can't go out hunting unless I have a license.

12 13

I have to go to by the law by the way what people do.

14 I have to obey the law, just like anybody else. Where is

15 subsistence? I hate that damn word. Subsistence -- the way of

16 life is for me, that's how I was brought up. That I don't see

17 it today anymore. I can't live that way because of the law.

18 So I hope you people can do something better for us. There's

19 lot of us that don't have jobs, we don't have nothing. I don't

20 how we going to make a living. If we go out and shoot a damn

21 moose you're going to have to go to jail. What the hell can

22 you do? How can you live like we used to?

23 24

So I hope you people can do something about this. I 25 want to thank all you people for coming here to Tanacross and 26 thank you very much.

27 28

28 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Kenny. Let's see, moving 29 right along here. Get an introduction of Council members, 30 agency staff and honored guests. Start with Gerald.

31 32

MR. NICHOLIA: My name is Gerald Nicholia, I'm from 33 Tanana, I currently work for a (indiscernible) Council and got 34 appointed to this Board last year.

35

36 MR. FLEENER: My name is Craig Fleener, I'm from Fort 37 Yukon. I work for Fish and Game. I am a college student in 38 Fort Yukon at UAF. Been on this Council for a couple of years 39 and hope to stay on it for a while. And I appreciate the 40 comments that Mr. Thomas and would like to say that our job 41 here is to work for people that have concerns of this nature 42 and anytime that these concerns are brought to our attention 43 that we'll try to do what we can to address them and to try to 44 give more people a subsistence opportunity, which is our 45 responsibility and the Federal government's responsibility to 46 protect subsistence opportunity for -- I guess it's for rural 47 people, but we're definitely here to work for the -- to work 48 for people and if there's any concerns or any questions we 49 would certainly urge people to ask us, to write us letters, to 50 give us a phone call and talk to us. And if there's anybody

1 that needs phone numbers, we'll be more than happy to hand 2 those out. And while where here, if you have certain concerns, 3 certainly come up and ask us or fill out a paper in the back or just raise your hand and we'll give you an opportunity to speak.

5 6 7

Thank you very much.

8

CHAIRMAN MILLER: My name is Chuck Miller, I live at 10 Dot Lake, I work for the Village Council as the Tribal 11 Administrator at Dot Lake. I've sat on this Board probably for 12 about four years now. And there's still a lot of issues that 13 seem to keep coming up but we're going to keep working at it. 14 Hopefully together we'll be able to work something out.

15 16

MR. P. TITUS: I'm Phil Titus, I've been on this Board, 17 one year I quess. That's all I have, I quess. I'm from Minto.

18 19

MR. GOOD: I'm Nat Good, I'm from Delta Junction. Been 20 on this Board a couple of years. I can certainly understand 21 where you're coming from when you say the law has tied 22 everything up. I've been here almost 30 years now in the state 23 and I've lived in many areas of the state, and it seems like 24 the web just seems to get a little stickier all the time.

25 26

When I first came up here it was a lot easier to fish 27 and hunt and I have to say that the hunting license and things 28 that you're referring to, one of the reasons we don't have 29 statistics is people really didn't have to have them when they 30 lived in the more rural areas of the state, there wasn't that 31 much enforcement with them. I don't know that we're going to 32 get back to those freer days but maybe there might be some way 33 we could relax things a bit, particularly for some of us that 34 have been around so many years and have to remember what it was 35 like and see what it is now.

36 37

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay, is there any concerns from 38 Council members that they would like to share now?

39 40

MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chair, do we want to introduce the 41 staff?

42 43

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Oh, okay.

44 45

MR. MATHEWS: We can do the staff introduction at this 46 table and then I can either screw up everybody's names or they 47 can come up to a mike. It might be easier for them to come up 48 to the mike, the recorder.

49 50

I'm Vince Mathews, Regional Coordinator for Eastern and

1 Western Interior. I'm with the Fish and Wildlife Service in 2 Fairbanks.

3

MR. DeMATTEO: I'm Pete DeMatteo, I'm a biologist for the Eastern and Western Interior Regions. I'm with the Fish and Wildlife Service, Anchorage.

7 8

8 MR. SHERROD: I'm George Sherrod, I'm the 9 anthropologist for the Interior, with Fish and Wildlife Service 10 and I'm stationed in Fairbanks.

11 12

MS. DETWILER: I'm Sue Detwiler, I work in the 13 Anchorage office of Fish and Wildlife Service in the 14 Subsistence Office. And my primary job is coordinating the 15 five Federal agencies that have subsistence responsibilities 16 and also work on policy evaluation, policy analyses.

17

MS. MEEHAN: Rosa Meehan, I in the Subsistence office 19 in Anchorage with Fish and Wildlife Service. And I work with 20 the anthropologists and biologists.

21

MR. JONATHAN: Keith Jonathan, Tanacross. Sit on the 23 Village Council.

24

25 MR. BIRCH: John Birch, biologist with Yukon Charley 26 National Preserve.

27

MR. WILSON: I'm Curt Wilson, I'm with the BLM State 29 office, I'm replacing Peggy Fox as BLM Statewide Subsistence 30 Coordinator.

31

32 MR. GERHARD: I'm Bob Gerhard, I'm with the National 33 Park Service in Anchorage.

34

MS. MELDRUM: I'm Janis Meldrum and I work for the National Park Service in Anchorage.

37 38

38 MR. MITCHELL: I'm Carl Mitchell, I'm the biologist at 39 Wrangell/St. Elias National Park, Copper Center.

40

MR. VOSS: I'm Richard Voss, Fish and Wildlife Service. 42 I'm the Refuge Manager of Tetlin National Wildlife Refuge.

43

MS. GRONQUIST: Ruth Gronquist with the Northern
District office of BLM in Fairbanks. I'm a wildlife biologist.

46

MR. CARRILLO: I'm Jose Carrillo, I'm with the BLM 48 office in Fairbanks, I'm a student call.

49

50 MR. ULVI: I'm Steve Ulvi with the National Park

Service out of Fairbanks now.

2. 3

MR. THOMAS:

MR. G. SAM: I'm Gabe Sam, Director of Wildlife (indiscernible - away from microphone) Tanana Chiefs Conference.

7

4 5

6

MR. MATHEWS: Okay, Mr. Chairman, that bring us up to 10 -- well, it brings us up to Council member concerns, if there 11 are any concerns, Council members can share them. 12 combine the two topics there of agenda and concerns, it's 13 pretty close.

I'm Kenny Thomas, Tanacross.

14 15

MR. P. TITUS: Mr. Chairman, I've got a concern. 16 (Indiscernible - away from microphone) but that's a concern. 17 That resolution. Do you want to see it?

18 19

MR. FLEENER: I got a concern I guess I can bring up at 20 this time. Something that we've been talking about at this 21 Council and several other committees around the state and in 22 some villages around the Yukon Flats, is the perceived problem 23 of the way that game or wildlife, in general, is being managed 24 in refuges around the state, particularly in a few refuges, but 25 I won't bring up any names at this time. A lot of people think 26 that the refuges are managing their refuges more like National 27 Parks and this has been a topic of great concern around the 28 Yukon Flats villages.

29 30

And it seems to be that people think that the -- they 31 would prefer that there weren't people there, you know, they're 32 using terminology and I've talked about this at other meetings, 33 that local people don't like to hear, you know, they call us 34 in-holders and it's just not a phrase that people hold to very And these phrases are used. There's not a whole lot 35 dearly. 36 of cooperation when it comes to game management.

37 38

Now there's attempts at cooperation and doing some 39 projects but there's not really a whole lot of cooperation when 40 it comes to actually managing the resources on refuges. And a 41 lot of local people that I talked to have some real concerns 42 about that. And I won't go in too deep on it, we need to get 43 moving on the agenda, but that's one of the big concerns that 44 I've been hearing locally and especially since I live right 45 smack dab in the middle of the Yukon Flats National Wildlife 46 Refuge, that's a big concern there to several people from 47 several communities around the Yukon Flats, so I just wanted to 48 bring that out.

49 50

Thank you.

Oh, actually I do have one more thing. I should have written this down, but I didn't. There's another thing that came up of big concern and it's actually not a subsistence issue but it can affect subsistence and it's -- I don't remember exactly what it's called but it comes from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and it's -- it has to do with buying land in or adjacent to -- there's a copy of it, thank you. It's Land Protection Plan and here's on specifically from Yukon Flat National Wildlife Refuge and I assume that the other refuges have also put these out.

11 12

Has Tetlin put one out like this?

13 14

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Still in the process.

15 16

MR. FLEENER: Still in the making process but this Land 17 Protection Plan here has some really interesting stuff in it, 18 and I don't have my copy with me, but in this plan they talk 19 about the opportunity for local people to sell their land, to 20 lease their land or to donate their land to U.S. Fish and 21 Wildlife Service. And this has gotten about -- I'd say 70 22 percent of the people in Fort Yukon and surrounding villages 23 really, really mad because here you have an extremely depressed 24 economy and the Federal government offering to buy their land, 25 you know.

26

27 We, not we, but my predecessors, my ancestors when 28 working on ANSCA, you know, and ANILCA, and dealing with the 29 government in all of these land deals that they did in the old 30 days really thought that Native land was going to be protected 31 against any kind of buy-outs, takeovers and stuff like that. 32 And here we have a Federal agency offering extremely poor 33 people a lot of money to take their land. And I think they 34 tried to emphasize that they're willing to lease land, but 35 there's also the opportunity to buy or they'll take donations. 36 And it's just -- it really cuts to the trust that a lot of 37 local people have for the Federal government because they 38 depend on the Federal government for protection of their land, 39 and here is a Federal agency, on the other hand, offering to 40 buy people's land. And this is just a great concern to local 41 people.

42

There's always a concern about land because once we 44 give our land up, that's it. And if we started selling our 45 land off in little chunks to the National Wildlife Refuges you 46 can bet that we wouldn't be living there long. And we 47 certainly wouldn't have the same subsistence opportunities that 48 we have on our own land. And so there's a big problem here and 49 I'm not doing a very good job of explaining all of the concerns 50 that have come up locally, but it's a real big problem. I've

called a lot of people and talked about it and -- actually there's been several resolutions passed in opposition to most of the ideas in this from a couple of communities in the Yukon Flats, the City Council in Fort Yukon and the Native Village of Fort Yukon. And I think there's going to be quite a rustle about this and anyone that comes after it, I guess.

But one of the things that a lot of people looked at when they saw this was these really nice maps that they have in 10 here and they identified areas as land protection priorities. If And I'll hold it up so people can take a look. If you look at 12 the red color. You can see everything in red on these maps 13 right here lists the areas that are protection priorities and 14 all this white area, of course, is the Yukon Flats National 15 Wildlife Refuge and they've listed some of our prime land as 16 protection priorities.

17 18

7

And the things that they want to protect it from are things that our communities are interested in doing. Our communities are interested in development of timber resources, 21 you know, our communities are interested in possibly building 22 maybe some cabins to do some guiding. You know, there's really 23 limited opportunity to make money and people are considering 24 these things. And these are things that they want to protect 25 this land against. They don't want these types of development. 26 And there's a few other things in there that I'm not bringing 27 up, I just don't remember them.

28 29

But some things that are really important to the 30 communities, and especially to our corporations, they're 31 directly in conflict with this Protection Plan. And so I 32 really do think that it can negatively affect the subsistence 33 opportunities for local people, even though they say that it 34 won't. I tend to disagree, so thank you. That's the only 35 other thing, I think.

36 37

MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman, I think what Craig has brought up here would be something that, in fairness to the Refuge, in particular theirs, I would probably recommend that 40 if the Council so desires to make it an agenda item for the 41 fall meeting. I don't know the time line of this Land 42 Protection Plan, but in fairness to the Refuge to present it 43 and so you can see more of it. There was only one copy here, 44 et cetera. And the whole concept of Land Protection Plans 45 affects all refuges, correct?

46

I was referring to the refuge manger to make sure that 48 I understood that. So this would also apply to Tetlin. I'm 49 drawing a blank what other refuges. Arctic National Wildlife 50 Refuge which is in your region. Well, Koyukuk is in Western,

1 but anyway, I would think that you may want to put this as an 2 agenda topic for fall.

3

And to make it clear why you can do that in ANILCA, it says that you can comment on management plans, and this would be considered an management plan at that time. And referring to the refuge, in particular, they did bring up this plan at the last meeting, but there wasn't a lot of time to go into detail on what was in the plan and all that.

9 10 11

11 MR. P. TITUS: When are these three seats going to be 12 filled? The three that are coming up?

13 14

MR. MATHEWS: The three seats that are opened, you 15 mean?

16 17

MR. P. TITUS: Yeah.

18 19

MR. MATHEWS: The three seats are the seats that are 20 held by Lee Titus, Timothy Sam and Steven Ginnis. Those are 21 the three seats that are up that the Secretary would either 22 reappoint or appoint somebody new by the next meeting.

23 24

MR. P. TITUS: What I'm concerned is they might be at 25 the meeting and not be reappointed. They know all this stuff 26 and the other guy that's appointed to the committee wouldn't 27 know it.

28 29

MR. MATHEWS: Oh, whoever gets appointed will hopefully 30 know far enough ahead that they're appointed and, you know, 31 that we send a lot of stuff out to you, so.....

32 33

MR. P. TITUS: Well, you can see.....

34 35

MR. MATHEWS: What you're referring to is the new member training, and in the past what we've done, which I assume there'll be funding for, is we would bring in the new members and train them. If this is a topic item, which I'm not sure it is. I'm hearing from one Council member it is. I think it would be charged upon me to make sure refuge staff is there to go over the basics of the Land Protection Plan, not the details of Tetlin or Yukon Flats, et cetera, but the basic philosophy and what the Land Protection Plan is designed for. And so they would up to speed as much as you possibly on Land Protection Plan. I think that answered Philip's question, I'm not sure.

47

MR. G. SAM: Mr. Chair, is it possible that we can have 49 it on this agenda for just an overview of what's going on 50 because Tanana Chiefs, for example, we're trying to still

figure out what this plan is all about and, you know, not in any really big detail but just to kind of go over what the plan is designed to do.

5

MR. MATHEWS: Well, Mr. Chairman, I could probably 6 answer that. There are staff here from the refuge but there's 7 been no time to prepare and we only have one document here, that I know of, so I don't know how we could do it justice at 9 this time. Now, possibly the refuge manager and others could 10 explain the general thing of a Land Protection Plan, but the 11 details of the Yukon Flats, I'd have to consult with the Flats 12 staff here -- there's no one prepared to do it.

13 14

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead, Craig.

15

16 MR. FLEENER: I was going to say, if they wanted to, 17 maybe during the last.....

18 19

The agency? MR. MATHEWS:

20

21 MR. FLEENER: Yeah, during the agency reports, if Greg 22 was willing to comment. Do you know much about the plan; do 23 you have enough knowledge on the ends and outs of it to comment 24 on it? Would that -- just a basic overview of the plan, is 25 that what you're looking for?

26 27

MR. G. SAM: That would be fine.

28

29 MR. FLEENER: Yeah, if you would do it during agency 30 comments, that would be good.

31 32

MR. MATHEWS: Okay, I'll not that we're going to add --33 well, I'll just note that we're going to add it to the agenda.

34 35

MR. FLEENER: Well, he -- you don't even have to add it 36 to the agenda, he can just bring it up because he can bring up 37 whatever he wants in agency reports.

38 39

MR. MATHEWS: Okay. I don't know if there's any other 40 concerns. Craiq had those two concerns of the Land Protection 41 Plan and then management concerns on refuge.

42 43

CHAIRMAN MILLER: All right. Is there any more 44 concerns?

45 46

(No audible responses)

47

48 CHAIRMAN MILLER: I guess we'll move on to additions or 49 corrections to the agenda and agenda approval. Is there 50 anything else anybody wants to add to the agenda?

```
00024
1
           MR. NICHOLIA: I move to adopt the agenda.
2
3
           MR. MATHEWS: For the public and staff, there's copies
4
  of the agenda on the table.
5
6
           MR. P. TITUS: Second.
7
8
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay, it's been moved and seconded.
9
10
           MR. NICHOLIA: Question.
11
12
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Question's been called. All in favor
13 say aye.
14
15
           IN UNISON: Aye.
16
17
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Opposed same sign.
18
19
           (No opposing responses)
20
21
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: That agenda is approved. Okay,
22 reading and approval of October 15 and 16, Dot Lake meeting
23 minutes. Maybe we'd like to take just a few minutes and read
24 through these.
25
26
           MR. FLEENER: Maybe we can go off the record for about
27 five minutes and review it or something.
28
29
           MR. MATHEWS: And when you look at those, the stuff
30 that's gray was added in since the ones I mailed to you.
31
32
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay, we'll go off the record for
33 about five minutes.
34
35
           (Off record)
36
37
           (On record)
38
39
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Call this meeting back to order.
40 And I would also reflect in the record that Lee Titus showed
41 up.
42
43
           MR. P. TITUS: Move to adopt the minutes.
44
45
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay, there's a motion to adopt the
46 minutes.
47
48
           MR. GOOD: I'll second that.
49
50
           MR. FLEENER: There's only one change that I saw in
```

```
1 here and I think Vince is going to take care of it. Where
  Steve Ginnis was telling me about reporting this motion for the
3 -- I don't even know where it's at anymore, but Steve Ginnis
4 mentioned supporting this wood bison thing and same letter for
5
  beaver.
6
7
                         It's on page five, the middle of the
           MR. MATHEWS:
8 page.
9
10
           MR. FLEENER: Page five, yeah. It says here that his
11 support is tribal management of the herd, but his letter and
12 the resolution from Fort Yukon it says tribal co-management and
13 I just wanted to make sure that everyone knows that they're
14 willing to do co-management, not just management. So I just
15 wanted to clarify that one point, if you would make that....
16
17
           UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Indiscernible - too soft)
18
19
          MR. FLEENER: It says in the second paragraph, yeah,
20 but I just wanted to make sure that it meant co-management not
21 management.
22
23
           MR. P. TITUS: Where was that at?
24
25
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Page five.
26
27
           MR. P. TITUS: Where you insert the co-management at?
28
          MR. FLEENER:
29
                         Insert the letters co and dash.
30
31
          MR. P. TITUS: Okay, I got it.
32
33
          CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay, is there any other changes?
34 (Pause) Okay, there's been a motion and a second to accept the
35 agenda. All in favor say aye.
36
37
           IN UNISON:
                     Aye.
38
39
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Opposed same sign.
40
41
           (No opposing responses)
42
43
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: The agenda is accepted.
44
45
           MR. P. TITUS: Minutes.
46
47
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Or the minutes are accepted. Okay,
48 we're down to the Chair's reports now.
49
50
           On the 20th of January, we had meeting that was in
```

Anchorage and I couldn't make it down there so I met over the phone. It was joint meeting between South Central and Eastern Interior. The members from Southcentral that were present were Fred John, Jr. and Roy Ewan. And members from the Eastern Interior was Nat Good and myself. There was some resolutions that affect both areas, and that was the reason for this meeting, to kind of hash out, you know, to see who got joint support on these resolutions. And we'll be going over those resolutions here later on, so there's really no need to get into those right now.

11 12

And there's a -- also a fisheries subcommittee meeting 13 which I attended in part because we had trouble with the phone, 14 so I don't know if Vince or somebody wants to say anything 15 about the fisheries meeting.

16 17

MR. MATHEWS: Well, I can -- because Craig was also 18 present and Nat and Gerald. We had a subcommittee meeting on 19 fisheries and Tom Boyd and Rosa Meehan were also present along 20 with the fisheries biologists and went through a overview of 21 the Proposed Rule to assist the Council in its review of it at 22 this meeting.

23 24

The final outcome of the meeting was that the subcommittee would, if needed, look closer at the regulations and see if there would be a need for another meeting of the subcommittee or bring forth their individual concerns to this group. And so that was the conclusion of the meeting, in addition to the fact that the subcommittee members would talk amongst their area and villages about any concerns on the pending Federal fisheries for subsistence.

32 33

33 So that was the final outcome, it was a good dialogue. 34 I know the staff was real pleased with the conversation and, I 35 believe, talking to several of the committee members they also 36 did. And maybe they want to speak individually, too.

37 38

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead, Craig.

39

MR. FLEENER: I keep want to grab the mike but I was 41 told it was too close to me, so I better not. The concerns 42 that I had -- or some of the concerns, because I don't remember 43 them all but -- were regulations and how we should go about 44 looking at the fisheries regulations and if we should consider 45 just adopting them all, like we did the State hunting 46 regulations and I had a lot of questions about that because the 47 Federal systems been going through and changing regulations, a 48 lot of regulations. I mean, instead of just keeping the State 49 regulations.

50

And I was wondering if we should have a committee get together of some sort -- a committee of some sort get together and look at all the regulations and come back with some sort of analysis on the regulations and which ones don't apply, wouldn't apply, should be dropped right away, so there's a lot less to deal with because, for one thing, if the Federal government takes over they're going to be managing pieces of rivers, not entire rivers. So all of the regulations are going to have to be changed just to address those pieces of rivers that they're going to be managing.

And that goes into my next concern, which is how in the 13 world do you manage pieces of rivers? And nobody really had an 14 answer, everybody kind of -- I could hear it over the phone 15 that everybody shrugged. So that's a real hard one to deal 16 with. I mean, that's something that we need to consider is how 17 we going to effectively manage pieces of rivers. And this 18 means we're going to have to work very closely with the people 19 that are managing up river and down river.

And one of the final concerns that I had was what if we determine, and this was kind of a scary question, brought up again last night at the meeting in Tok, but what if we determine that a problem with the fishery is because of the offshore fishery, will the Federal government be willing to go out there and do something about it. And nobody really knows, but that's something that needs to be thought about. If people genuinely think that the problem is offshore fishery, which probably takes the majority of the fish, I would think, but that was another concern. And all of these concerns I didn't really get any answers for, which I really didn't expect but these are things we need to consider going into this type of a new era.

So that's my comment on that meeting.

MR. NICHOLIA: I have something to say, too.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead, Gerald.

MR. NICHOLIA: If the Federal are going to take over 42 management on Federal lands and on there, I'd say -- I'd like 43 to include grass roots reputation (sic) from the existing 44 Boards and the fishing associations, that way we wouldn't be 45 just totally really starting over from scratch, that way we'll 46 have some, more or less, input that's already established.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Nat.

MR. GOOD: Well, where we are right now, basically, is

that nothing will change since we're going -- if we're setting up to adopt the present State, the status quo, essentially, and from that point on, I think, you'll have to look at individual areas to see what changes the local people are going to request for their areas. For their stretch of the river, as Craig was putting it. And that's pretty accurate really, you know. I think the changes are going to have to be based on small geographic areas and yet whoever is making the decision will have to be considering the entire drainages or, you know, particular river systems as they work on any changes that they're going to make.

12 13

MR. P. TITUS: I got comment on these fisheries. I've 14 been dealing with the State Advisory Committee since the '70s 15 and before YRFDA was formed we were constantly battling with 16 the up river and down river fishing and over fish. And finally 17 got YRFDA organized where all the fishermen on the Yukon River 18 drainage consent to work together on this and it seems to be a 19 better thing than constantly fighting with each other. Because 20 they way it was we were just like -- I mean, Craig said, we 21 were so concerned about our own section of the river and 22 everybody else was too and we were constantly getting nowhere. 23 Everybody was getting nowhere until YRFDA was organized and -- 24 I would like -- I hate to see it go back to the way it was 25 because we just be back to square one, treading water, getting 26 nowhere. I got much more information on YRFDA for later.

27 28

MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman.

29 30

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Vince.

31 32

MR. MATHEWS: I think in looking at timelines and et 33 cetera, I've captured these comments that have been shared 34 right now, but I think we need to revisit those when we have 35 the full presentation on fisheries. At this time we were just 36 going to talk about subcommittee. And I know that these are 37 important and we've got them here, but I think we need to save 38 that when we have the full presentation so the public can track 39 along also.

40 41

41 MR. FLEENER: I think we're just basically bringing out 42 topics, or mostly anyways, that we discussed at the meeting.

43

44 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay, is there any other member 45 reports?

46

MR. MATHEWS: The only other report we have is co-48 management subcommittee. Now they didn't meet. The directive 49 from the last meeting was to send out the co-management 50 concept. The draft went out to all the villages. That was

sent out to everyone, I don't know the date of it in here, but it'll come up with Annual Report. We didn't get a single response from any of the communities. And I expanded the mailing list beyond village and tribal, it went to others also. And there's been no comments on it. Now, there's been additional requests for copies, but no comments. So I suppose that could be considered a committee report, because the committee didn't need to meet because there was nothing to change. But that's the only other committee that you have kind of pending out there.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Craig.

MR. FLEENER: I talked to several of the council
15 members from the Native Village of Fort Yukon and they were -16 although they had some interest in the wording, they were
17 pretty satisfied and actually wanted to use part of the
18 definition in some of the stuff that they were doing. So
19 there's some favor, at least, from the Native Village of Fort
20 Yukon, although there are still some concerns about the
21 working.

MR. MATHEWS: I think what we could do is -- I don't 24 want to keep putting things off, that's not my intent, but when 25 we do Annual Report we have to address this co-management 26 concept, that I think at that time we will go into it in 27 detail.

What I'm trying to do is as the room gets more and more 30 staff in it, they're here for proposals, so I'm trying to keep 31 our timeline balanced on proposals and action items.

33 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay, is there any other member 34 reports?

(No audible responses)

MR. MATHEWS: Okay, I think the brings us up to 39 proposals and I need to confirm with each member that you have 40 a blue sheet, that gives you a table of contents. I think it 41 was passed out on you table. If you could find that. It's not 42 critical but it may help. Lee doesn't have one. It looks like 43 this. What these are is just a table of contents as we walk 44 through proposals.

MR. P. TITUS: I don't got one. Nobody got one.

MR. MATHEWS: Okay, you're getting one. Philip, there 49 was a miscommunication. For the public, there's a few extra 50 copies back there and we'll try to track along. The reason you

1 have this is because proposal numbers are not being taken up in sequence, but they way they're going to be taken up is in sequence under Tab Q, so it might be wise right now to turn to Tab Q, okay? And then we'll get ready for staff to charge ahead here.

5 6 7

9

If you look under Tab Q, there's a page in there that 8 tells you a little introductory, then you get into this chart, that looks like this, on page two and three. That's the order 10 we're taking them up. Now I have extra handouts of those if 11 you want them separate, but the chart is in there for a couple 12 of reasons. One, to track along, but if you want to write down 13 what action you took on Proposal 100 you can, so if you go back 14 to the village and someone says "what did you do with the Healy 15 Lake proposal dealing with sheep?" You can look at this chart 16 and say, we did this, okay? That's up to you how to use the 17 chart, but that's the way I designed it for tracking purposes.

18 19

So we're going to go -- unless there's a change for 20 staffing or other timing reasons, we're going to use that 21 chart. For the public, there's extra copies in the back. 22 that's what's in there. So if you turn to page nine, actually 23 page eight. And I need to move to another location to get set 24 up and then we'll be on our way with proposal, I think.

25 26

MR. P. TITUS: Is there a map on this Unit 12?

27 28

MR. FLEENER: That's what he's going to do.

29 30

MR. MATHEWS: Yeah, that's what I'm going to do next, 31 the map.

32 33

MR. P. TITUS: Okay.

34 35

MR. MATHEWS: The intent of all these overheads is to 36 assist with moving us in a timely manner. If it doesn't work, 37 I'm not going to be offended not to put these up there, okay. 38 So the intent is to move along, keep people focused. We're on 39 Proposal 95. Remember when we went over the agenda, the way 40 we're going to it is I introduce it, then the analysis, then 41 the agency comments and then summary of written comments, then 42 open to the floor to come up to the mike and then deliberation, 43 okay. If there's a need for maps, I have maps of the units, I 44 do not have maps from the analysis itself, so.....

45

MR. NICHOLIA: We got our books if we need maps.

46 47

48 MR. MATHEWS: And you have in your blue book and in the 49 State regulation book, maps there, so that's where we're going. 50 So I'll deal with 95 if everybody is on track.

All right, 95 deals with Unit 12, black bear. It's requesting to revise the customary and traditional use determination for that unit. The proposed regulation is to change it from a no determination, all rural residents, to rural residents of Chistochina and Mentasta.

6 7

And with that I'll turn it over to....

8

9 MR. SHERROD: I guess I'm up. I'm sorry. I'd like to 10 -- we got a lot of proposals today, I'd like to make some 11 opening remarks. We have to think of this, this is an ongoing 12 process. The staff has drafted these analysis based on the 13 knowledge we have available to us. Your input is critical to 14 this. We are the first of the Councils meeting and we have a 15 lot of time to work stuff in and change it. So what I'm 16 proposing, because I think we have close to -- well, a lot of 17 them, maybe 50 or 60 proposals, is that I lay out the question. 18 I'm assuming you guys have read through this very interesting 19 book. That I will lay out what the proposals do. I will 20 discuss the analysis in terms of particularly the conclusions. 21 And if you guys have heartburn or objections or want to hear 22 more then we'll go in deeper detail. Yes.

23 24

MR. P. TITUS: You going to do this to every proposal, 25 50-60 proposals?

26 27

MR. SHERROD: That's why I'm trying to make it short.
What I'm talking about here is trying to shorten the process.
And, as I say, if I lay it out, I lay out the conclusions, if
you guys feel that something is missing then we can go into it.
If you feel that it's not missing then you need to take action
on it. And it's important that you keep in mind the three main
hrases that Vince -- that, you know, that you find this
proposal acceptable because it's not detrimental to the
species, it's not detrimental to subsistence. What's the other
one?

37 38

MR. MATHEWS: Substantial evidence.....

39 40

40 MR. SHERROD: Oh, that the evidence supports it, okay. 41 So with this -- and I'll also state that a lot of proposals --42 some of these I didn't write and I may have some suggestions to 43 you as to maybe the conclusion should be modified a bit, and 44 that is within your realm to suggest that.

45

But anyway, we're going to deal with black bear, Unit 47 12, now. This is Proposal 95 and this is one of the many black 48 bear proposals where we go basically from a no determination, 49 anyone in the state can hunt, to refining that determination to 50 people that are basically proximal to the resource or the area.

Functionally it makes no difference because our bag limits and seasons are the same as the States, quite liberal, three bears any time of the year.

5

This proposal would go from any rural resident in Unit 12 to the rural residents of Chistochina and Mentasta. conclusion, if you switch to page 24, was basically to adopt the proposal with the modification that rural residents of Unit 12, Dot Lake, Chistochina and Mentasta should be given a 10 positive determination for black bear in Unit 12.

11 12

And I'm going to interject in here, we are going to be 13 dealing, later on, with a number of proposals that address 14 Healy Lake and whether Healy Lake should be considered as 15 having a positive c&t for Unit 12. If that is the wishes, I 16 would suggest that we should, perhaps, inject Healy Lake into 17 this. This and a couple more that we're going to deal with, 18 I'm going to make the suggestion, and this is the logic of the 19 thing. Is that the black bear proposals should mirror at least 20 the moose proposals because black bear are taken 21 opportunistically while people are hunting moose. 22 have -- so they should at least be as liberal as the moose 23 determination in our book.

24

And with that, unless there's any more questions on 26 this proposal, I'll turn it over to you guys.

27 28

MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chairman, could we get a remark from 29 Pat Saylor back there on Healy Lake?

30 31

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Come up to the mike, Pat.

32 33

Is that on black bear proposal? MR. SAYLOR:

34 35

MR. FLEENER: Yeah, it's Proposal 95.

36 37

CHAIRMAN MILLER: The remark here was that perhaps 38 Healy Lake should be added in as well as Dot Lake to hunt black 39 bear in Unit 12.

40

41 MR. SAYLOR: Yeah, that would be good. In the spring 42 we do a lot of traveling. I mean a lot of our -- we have 43 people that are enrolled and stuff, even in Healy Lake live up 44 here and stuff, go back and forth. Some spring hunt with us 45 and fall hunt with us. I mean, they are enrolled to our 46 village and stuff, but they live up here and back and forth, 47 you know. We're not sure from Fort Yukon villages and been 48 told -- and that would be the same thing. We do the same thing 49 there. We get the opportunity, we take it.

50

MR. FLEENER: Well, I have a comment and I'll probably 2 make it on all of these. If there's a c&t determination with 3 one or two or three communities, I'm always going to ask the 4 same question. This will limit other people, other rural 5 communities in the area and I still overwhelmingly support the 6 idea of regionalizing c&t determinations. If we just adopted 7 this with these two communities then other communities in Unit 8 12 would not be able to harvest this black bear; is that 9 correct?

10 11

MR. SHERROD: Under Federal regulations. And again, 12 this is an ongoing process, anyone can ask for modifications of 13 it next year. As I say, my only advise would be that I think 14 it's logical to have the black bear proposal mirror the 15 positive determinations we already have for, like, moose and 16 caribou and so on.

17 18

MR. GOOD: And this one does include all rural 19 residents of Unit 12 and it's adding some people from outside 20 of Unit 12. Do we need a motion to add Healy Lake to this?

21 22

MR. FLEENER: It's just Unit 12 though, what about 23 people that want to come from the unit next door that -- like 24 he's talking about there, you know, what happens if there's a 25 community a little bit further away?

26 27

MR. GOOD: Yeah, both Dot Lake and Healy Lake are in 28 20(D), so this is to bring them in here. And Mentasta, you 29 know, they're coming up from.....

30 31

MR. FLEENER: I realize that. But what we've talked 32 about in the Council before -- we might as well just talk about 33 this just a little bit now because it's going to come up time 34 and time again if we don't talk about it a little now. One of 35 the goals we talked about, and it's something that is still --36 I still like the idea is regional c&t determinations for these 37 communities.

38 39

And as you look at the proposal here, these people that 40 requested this proposal aren't limited right now, they can go 41 out and get these bears, but it's the c&t miscommunication, the 42 misnomer about what c&t is and with a c&t determination people 43 think that they're being left out. And actually when you adopt 44 a c&t determination you do leave people out. Once you say this 45 community or these two or these three communities have c&t 46 determinations then you exclude everyone that isn't included.

47

48 And so one of the things we talked about in this 49 Council and one of the -- and it's been talked about a lot, and 50 I've talked about it is regionalizing c&t determinations so

7 8

9

20

21 22

28 29

38 39

48

1 you're next door neighbor doesn't have to worry about not being 2 able to come down there and shoot a black bear. And that's 3 what -- you know if I was to make any kind of a change on this, I would say make it regionalized. You know, everybody within 5 the region has a c&t determination down there. All the rural residents anyways. Or however that's supposed to go.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: I like that idea.

10 MR. FLEENER: That's what we've been talking about and 11 we made a motion at the last meeting to change the very first 12 proposal that came up to do that, but it didn't -- something 13 happened, you know, we weren't able to talk to the communities, 14 so it just reverted back. But the first one we did was at the 15 last meeting, we said, yes, let's make it regional. Because 16 it's not going to change anything. This is just going to limit 17 people, this isn't going to give anyone more opportunity. All 18 the c&t determination does is limits people. 19

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay.

MS. DETWILER: I would say that if you're going to 23 consider regionalizing the one thing you should know is that 24 the way regulations are written the c&t determinations are done 25 by community or area, so that's what the Board is going to look 26 at is the c&t determinations because the eight factors by 27 community or area.

MR. FLEENER: I realize that and that's part of the 30 thing that we've been batting around is how this is going to be 31 done. But we've talking about it, I think we presented 32 something to the Board already, our interest in doing regional 33 c&ts and so it's still something that's on my mind and I'm not 34 going to stop talking about it until we start doing it. 35 know, Stevens Village put in a c&t for black bear for the next 36 unit that was in Western Interior and that would have left 37 everybody in Western Interior out except Stevens Village.

So people are not understanding what c&t determinations 40 are. And so we need to get them solved, you know, we need to 41 get them solved right away. Instead of saying let's do it 42 tomorrow, let's let Staff Committee review it again, we need to 43 just say -- because we're not changing anything really. 44 people are not going to left out. They want people to know 45 that they customarily and traditionally use these animals for 46 these reasons, that's what this proposals says if you look at 47 it.

49 Reason for changing regulation. Ahtna people have 50 customarily and traditionally used black bear for clothing,

food, candles and medicine and should be able to continue to have c&t use of this species.

3

Actually the c&t use of the species hasn't stopped, they still have the opportunity with the existing regulation. But the c&t craziness is out there because people are thinking, gee, I don't have a c&t determination, that means I'm being left out when, in fact, they're not. They're not being limited, but it's -- the whole c&t thing is crazy, that's why we ought to do regional c&t determinations. We talked about this the last meeting and the meeting before that.

12 13

MR. GOOD: And I'm in agreement, first, I want to say 14 that. Second, I want to back up what you just said. The 15 meeting that we held between 2 and 9, you know, with the 16 Southcentral and Eastern Interior, we had an interesting 17 discussion with people that came in representing the Copper 18 River Native Association. And what they were doing was 19 proposing a whole string of -- and doing precisely what you 20 said, they were looking for c&t recognition, but were pointing 21 out that in return what they were doing was cutting everybody 22 else out and reserving it for themselves and they stated, right 23 up front, that no, that was not their intent to do so, that 24 maybe they would have to rewrite these things. They were not 25 looking to exclude everybody else.

26 27

And I said, well, I'm really glad you're here to 28 discuss this face-to-face because reading this I would have 29 thought you were out to (indiscernible - interrupted)....

30 31

MR. FLEENER: No one in our region has submitted a c&t that wanted to exclude other people. Stevens Village didn't as have that intent and they talked about it. Randy Mayo said "we don't want to exclude people, we just want to make sure everybody knows that this is our customary and traditional area." And so if we do it regionally we won't have that concern anymore, we won't be excluding people. And so if people from Tetlin or whoever, whatever other community that, you know, might want to come down. You know, people from Fort Yukon hunt on the other side of the Canadian border and if we had to c&t determinations, you know, we wouldn't be able to go 42 hunt on the other side of the border.

43 44

MR. GOOD: Well, I guess what we're looking at here is 45 a definition of the areas, you know. In a sense we've defined 46 an area here with this proposal. We've extended it beyond Unit 47 12, brought other people in, but do we need to define different 48 areas?

49 50

MR. FLEENER: I would just say, don't make it Unit 12

or don't put a name on it, just say everybody in Region 9 or whatever, all rural residents in Region 9 have a c&t determination for black bear in all of Region 9 because, you know, people have traveled all over the place and people shot black bears all over the place. And if you say you only have a c&t for Unit 12 that just limits you. And if you say all the rural residents from Region 9 have c&t for black bear in Region 9 then they won't be limited, except for going into other regions. But -- which people from Tanana might be concerned about because they live right on the boundary. But we can't make the other regions do it that way, but I've been in contact with them.

13

MR. NICHOLIA: We should have like revised for 15 customary and tradition use not excluding other -- something 16 like that instead of just trying to say just for Mentasta and 17 Chistochina.

18 19

19 MR. FLEENER: Well, what would the wording be on this, 20 Vince or George, to include everyone in Region 9?

21

MR. MATHEWS: Well, essentially what you said, there 23 would end up being the wording. We'd have to define the 24 areas.....

25 26

MR. FLEENER: Well, Region 9 we're talking about.

27 28

MR. MATHEWS: Correct.

29

30 MR. FLEENER: The rural residents of Region 9, that's 31 the only thing we have control over.

32 33

MR. MATHEWS: I doubt the Board would pass it, I'll be 34 honest with you on that, because they're based on, like, I 35 believe what you said, GMU boundaries and by species and what 36 you're asking for is by areas.

37 38

MR. FLEENER: The (indiscernible - simultaneous speech) 39 are not bounded by GMU. I mean, I got relatives that poach on 40 the other side of the line all the time. And the only reason 41 they're poacher is the law says that they're not c&t, they 42 don't have a c&t determination.

43

MR. NICHOLIA: But we have to be careful about this
45 Region 9 because this whole region is different in all areas
46 from here to this area, maybe we should have, like, a region
47 down here, could be this whole region, like this whole region
48 down this side, down by the Yukon area, Rampart, that could be
49 another region, up from Rampart, that could be a different
50 region. We can't....

MR. FLEENER: That's a possibility also. 1 2 3 MR. NICHOLIA: ....include this whole region, it's not 4 going to be feasible to the Federal Subsistence Board. 5 6 MR. SAYLOR: Sure would simplify all this stuff 7 that.... MR. FLEENER: Yeah, it would simplify everything, but 10 he's right about the Board. 11 12 MR. L. TITUS: I don't know if anybody was dealing with 13 a lot of other different proposals too. But speaking 14 specifically to Proposal 95, I have no -- I don't have nothing 15 against the way that the staff recommendation to adopt it with 16 modification. I don't know, it seems like we're going more and 17 more in the direction of just keeping masses and go along with 18 State subsistence law, you know. And that's what I think that 19 we're talking towards, to include everybody. 20 MR. GOOD: What you're saying here really, since 21 22 Chistochina and Mentasta aren't really in the Interior you 23 would be excluding them by making it Interior, but if it was 24 originally Unit 12, black bear, no determination, all rural 25 residents then everybody does have access to it. 26 27 MR. FLEENER: That's the way it is, but people want 28 recognition for the c&t thing. And one thing I'd like to point 29 out, Lee, is that the c&t thing is actually a State -- that is 30 a State -- that's State wording, that came out of the State. 31 There were c&t determinations before the Federal government got 32 involved with this, so this isn't -- you know, if we got out of 33 the c&t business we would be getting out of State business 34 because that's actually State stuff. And that didn't come out 35 of the Federal operation, they included it because the State --36 go ahead, am I wrong? 37 38 MS. DETWILER: No. No. 39 MR. FLEENER: Whew, I thought I was wrong, I saw a hand 40 41 go up. Go ahead. 42 43 MS. DETWILER: I just trying to figure out a way to 44 accommodate what you're trying do. If I understand it, you 45 want all the communities and areas within your region to remain 46 qualified for c&t, so what you could do and keep it within the 47 terms that the Board does use when it has to justify its 48 determinations is use the same terminology that they use and 49 say -- just list all of the communities that are within Region 50 9 and also the GMUs -- all the units and just revise that to

say, you know, Unit 12, black bear, all rural residents of units -- however many units there are and then list all of the communities as well. That would accomplish the same thing.

4 5

I don't think -- I think the Board has never issued a c&t determination that is based on a region, they do it by unit or by community, so.....

7 8

9 MR. FLEENER: And that's been a real problem because 10 people are just recently community bounded. You know, 50 years 11 ago people weren't living in these communities, they were 12 traveling all over the place.

13 14

MS. DETWILER: So if you were going to propose that, 15 that's certainly within your prerogative to propose, you know, 16 whatever you want to do, but since the Board hasn't done it 17 before there's no guarantee that they're going to break with 18 their tradition to do it again, so the other way might 19 accommodate (indiscernible).....

20 21

MR. FLEENER: Well, maybe to address what Gerald 22 brought up then, which is a good thing to consider is maybe the 23 adjacent subunits, at least, or not subunits, but the adjacent 24 units, at least, that way you're not bringing people -- that 25 way there wouldn't be the perception that, gee, why do people 26 from Arctic Village hunting have a determination down at Tok or 27 whatever, but if we were to, at least, do something more on a 28 regionalized basis, which his how people lived in the old days, 29 that would -- and how a lot of people still live today, that 30 would accommodate a lot of -- and that would get rid of a lot 31 of problems and I think it would accommodate our needs.

32 33

33 MR. P. TITUS: I agree with Craig and if you look at 34 Proposal 96 it's just the same proposal as 95 except is says 35 brown bear. Are we going to have another 20 minute discussion 36 on the same situation.

37 38

MR. FLEENER: No, that's why we're talking about it 39 now. Once we talked about this now we won't have to talk about 40 again. That's why I figured it would be good to take 15 41 minutes to talk about it now and get it out of the way.

42 43

MR. NICHOLIA: One thing I see on a lot of these 44 proposals, they're mostly like one unit is trying to have a c&t 45 use in the other unit and this is -- all these units down here 46 are pretty much the local units, and then they should have one 47 -- like this one whole area down here, all these units should 48 be one area for c&t use. Most of these tribes are pretty much 49 closely related.

MR. FLEENER: Well, maybe that's the way we can do it then is the unit that they're requesting and all adjacent units. The unit bounded -- the next unit over that touches it because that would fit a little bit better.

5

How does that sound? We would have to still put those communities in there, but we don't have to say that right now, maybe just say.....

9 10

MS. DETWILER: Right. But when the Board does evaluate 11 it, they're going to -- they're bound by the regulations to do 12 it by community or area and they're going to have to go through 13 the eight factors for each of those communities and areas. So 14 to increase the chances that the Board adopts your proposal it 15 would be good to have on the record the substantial evidence 16 that you need to support all those communities that you wanted 17 to include. So, in other words, instead of doing a blanket, 18 just include all the Unit 12 communities or whatever. It's 19 better to have more substantial information to justify why you 20 want to that.

21 22

MR. FLEENER: Well, we need to get that evidence, I 23 guess. But whose job is that? Not mine. Although I do call 24 people when there are proposals who is going to get that 25 information if we make this recommendation?

26 27

MR. NICHOLIA: There is some evidence in here that says there's a distinctive line between Fairbanks, this side and then Fairbanks, that side. This says that there's traditional evidence in here already. We could have area from that side there, but we could not so much as cut out people, but we could include these people right now. We could leave it open for other communities to be included later, so we don't have to the second secon

35

36 MR. FLEENER: But the minute you mention c&t 37 determination you cut out people.

38 39

MR. NICHOLIA: But that would be one way we could deal 40 with that, is not to exclude but other communities can be 41 included later with our intentional (sic).

42

MR. FLEENER: Well, that's the -- this proposal is 44 written so people can be included later on, because like George 45 pointed out, you can still put in a proposal next year. But 46 what it does is it just draws a line. If there's a community 47 that they forgot about who traditionally hunted down there or 48 has whatever determination -- I mean, not determination but has 49 traditionally harvested something down there and can prove it 50 or whatever, and they're left out it just draws a line and it

1 says, we have a right to hunt here, but you don't, even though 2 they may have hunted there. So at least for that year you 3 leave them out. That's what c&t determinations do.

4 5

And it doesn't stop nonrural residents from going in there an hunting, it just stops other rural residents. Someone from Fairbanks could still go in there and hunt under State regulations or something like that, so you're really only hurting your next door -- your village guy down the road, that's the only person you're hurting with these c&t determinations. That's why I support a regional determination.

12 13

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead, Vince.

14 15

MR. FLEENER: Solve it, Vince.

16

MR. MATHEWS: Well, no, I can't solve it. I suppose at this point I need to know does all of the Council members understand the discussion that's going on what c&ts do or don't do, because maybe we need to go back to that and start from there. Because what you're asking for is essentially a global change that would affect all of the proposals from here on out. And I've already been....

24

MR. FLEENER: That's what I suggested two meetings ago and last meeting and I'm suggesting it again this meeting.

27 28

MR. MATHEWS: But I don't know if all the Council 29 members, and I've already had my ear pulled by agencies that 30 they want to comment specifically about 95 so we can't ignore 31 that. And they're also probably going to want to comment about 32 if this global -- well, not global, regional approach goes 33 forward, they probably also want to comment on that. So I 34 don't know if you want to have a brief background on c&ts or 35 you're comfortable with your knowledge of c&ts.

36 37

MR. FLEENER: Well, we had about a two hour talk on 38 c&ts the last meeting, didn't we?

39 40

40 MR. MATHEWS: If you're comfortable then I'll drop 41 that, but then maybe.....

42

MR. FLEENER: Unless other people still feel the need 44 to have another brush up, but we talked about it for quite a 45 while at the last meeting.

46

MR. GOOD: You know this is a perfect one to discuss it 48 with because right now everybody has the opportunity here 49 because it does say "no determination". The question is, why 50 do we want to change it?

1 MR. FLEENER: Well, the thing is, like I said, that 2 people in these areas want people to know that they customarily 3 and traditionally hunted these animals and that's why they want 4 their names.....

5 6

MR. GOOD: Right.

7

8 MR. FLEENER: .....put in the book and acknowledged 9 that they customarily and traditionally harvested these 10 animals. If it's not there, they still can go hunt, there's 11 nothing stopping them, but because of the way the c&t thing has 12 been written up people feel like they're being left out.

13 14

MR. P. TITUS: Well, we could modify it and put all the 15 communities in that.

16 17

MR. FLEENER: Well, that's what she's talking about.

18 If we do that they might not adopt it because -- so we need to

19 work out some -- I don't want to keep going year in and year

20 out saying we need to do this, but don't do it because we're

21 afraid the Board's not going to adopt it.

22 23

MR. GOOD: But in the discussion with the Copper River 24 Native Association that -- what you're saying is precisely what 25 they said, that we just would like to have ourselves 26 recognized. And maybe we need another format for this 27 recognition, something outside of our regulations, some 28 nonregulatory that stands beside it and recognizes these 29 communities. But the question really becomes the very basic, 30 why do we want to change from no determination, all rural 31 residents, why do we want to reduce it?

32 33

MR. FLEENER: Yeah.

34 35

MR. GOOD: Is it really -- is there a reason to do 36 this?

37

38 MR. FLEENER: None, other than acknowledging someone's 39 c&t use, that's the only thing it does. It doesn't give them 40 more rights, it doesn't give them -- it doesn't give them less 41 rights, but it gives their next door neighbor less rights.

42

MR. G. SAM: Mr. Chair, last year we had TCC had to 44 deal with this c&t determination for the proposal that Stevens 45 Village put through for black bear and brown bear. And what 46 that did was put us in the pretty awkward position -- according 47 to our by-laws we're not allowed to appoint one community for 48 another community's determination, you know. And it basically 49 had to -- it came down to where I was arguing against Stevens 50 Village, saying, no, you can't do that because it's put in GMU

24 and what it basically did was eliminate all those people down there saying, you no longer hunt black bear then. And it 3 because a big, big issue and I didn't -- actually I'm starting 4 to think about it, what is the real problem with having the 5 regional c&t determination? I mean I just couldn't see that actually.

6 7 8

I mean would all the units have to agree to this or is 9 this something that is Federal?

10 11

MR. FLEENER: Well, I don't think that everybody would 12 have to agree to it because as the regulations sit now, no 13 determination, nobody's agreed to it or disagreed to that, so 14 why would we have to agree to c&t determination?

15 16

MR. G. SAM: Well, then what's the real problem here?

17

18 MR. FLEENER: The real problem is that c&t excludes the 19 next door neighbor like you were talking about Unit 24. And if 20 we just say everybody in Region 9 just automatically has it, 21 Sue said that the Board might not accept it because we haven't 22 proven that people in Arctic Village have a c&t determination 23 for the southern part of Region 9. And that's where the 24 problem lies. And maybe we could say that c&t determination 25 for everyone in their region or for their unit and their 26 adjacent unit. Maybe that would be a lot easier to prove.

27

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead, Pat.

28 29 30

MR. SAYLOR: Yeah, I had a question. There's some 31 allotments next to the highway from people from Dot Lake and 32 Healy Lake both. If we want to hunt black bear we baited it up 33 and these are on Federal allotments, Federal land. It would be 34 good to stick us all together because up and down the highway 35 it's the same. If we were going to bait that black bear, he's 36 going to come there and we're going to hunt him and that falls 37 under Federal game wardens jurisdiction, so I think tying these 38 together is a good idea because if we don't do that we're 39 breaking the law on our allotment here.

40 41

I mean, moose, we know that's okay, but we don't know 42 nothing about bear because there ain't nothing written that I 43 know of. There might be, but I don't know.

44 45

MR. NICHOLIA: I know one way we can settle this, we 46 could just wipe out all these lines we got on there right now 47 and just do three areas, Yukon Charley, St. Elias and then 48 Arctic National Wildlife and then below Fairbanks and that way. 49 We could do three regional -- three areas in Region 9, that way 50 it'll be more feasible to, more or less, we wouldn't be

adopting two or three communities and leaving out the rest of these communities.

3

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead, George.

5

MR. SHERROD: In respect to what Mr. Fleener was stating, one of the criteria, one of the eight factors, that we call it, is reasonably accessible. I think that it could be argued that reasonably accessible, we're talking about a distance that the unit and surrounding units is within reason, 11 you know, you're not going to go to the Kenai Peninsula to get bear because if your doing -- you know, you're spending more 13 gas, more effort and so on.

14

15 But I think that it is possible to place an argument 16 that a unit, the surrounding units are reasonably accessible to 17 the resource. It's a distance that generally speaking is --18 was traveled traditionally. People traveled hundreds of miles 19 at times. So in this case, if we were looking -- I pulled my 20 map out, we got Unit 12, if we had Unit 11, 13(C), 20(D) and 21 20(E), which includes at least the communities that were 22 mentioned, that's Chistochina, Mentasta, the Unit 12 23 communities, Dot Lake, Healy Lake, Chicken and the people that 24 live along the highway. That one could possibly argue, if you 25 guys could articulate it, and this is not a put-down, but I 26 mean, basically if you can get it on the record, because my 27 opinion means little, that these people living within adjacent 28 units meet the qualifications of being reasonably accessible. 29

And in terms of the sharing, the long-term use, that really hasn't been a big question, particularly in this area or fort Yukon area, you know, the areas that we deal with here. It's become just, you know, is it reasonably accessible? And if it is the feeling of this body that the home unit and the units close to it are reasonably accessible then you could try to go with that. And I can try to rewrite these or, at least, beef up that section of reasonably accessible if that's -- but you're going to have to tell the tape there, that based on your experience that is the truth, this is what you see it.

39 40

40 MR. FLEENER: At least for a starting point I would go 41 there. If we're going to have a lot of trouble saying Region 42 9, I would at least say the unit and surrounding units. And so 43 if everyone of the Council is happy with that, I would be 44 satisfied with that for starters.

45 46

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead, Lee.

47

MR. L. TITUS: Yeah, I don't have no problem with going 49 along with that. As soon as we get into individual species and 50 individual proposals and trying to make this thing work -- what

it's doing is putting more people into the pot and what about a unit that's really low on either bear or moose or caribou? If this goes on, keeping at the regional level, I mean how are we going to control overharvest?

MR. SHERROD: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead, George.

MR. SHERROD: We do have the 804 process which is 11 designed to actually decide which of the subsistence users have 12 access to a species, once you cut everybody else out. Once you 13 said that there's not enough caribou to go around, there is a 14 process in ANILCA that allows this body and the Board to decide 15 which of those individuals have access to that species. It's a 16 temporary thing, as long -- I mean, you know, once we have a 17 resource that's down, caribou come back up and so on, then it 18 can be changed.

There is a mechanism to make the cut between subsistence users. That mechanism come into action after other people have been basically eliminated, so there is a process there. 25(B) West is a prime example of an area in which the resource is so depressed that only a few village can have it. Now, obviously, and Craig knows this better than I do, Fort Yukon residents hunted there in the past, they're not hunting there now because the resource is down and specific priority has been given to those that are most proximal. And i think -29 I don't have the law, but 804 it's proximity, it's alternative resources.....

MR. FLEENER: And customary dependency.

MR. SHERROD: .....and customary dependency. So there is a mechanism.

MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman, in response to be what seems to be going swirling around, you could take this as a step approach. Step one would be the step that you're doing now, the surrounding units. Step two would be in your Annual Report and possibly in one proposal here, I don't know which one would it be, to do a regional one. And your third approach is if it tends to be that you are uncomfortable with c&ts, but there is a need for recognition, would be to follow through on Nat's suggestion or thought on format recognition.

If people are looking for recognition, and I can't 48 speak for the Board, obviously, one would be through resolution 49 or through policy statements. That would be avenues, without 50 talking to Sue, that they could recognize the customary and

5 6

7

traditional uses of X area.

3

MR. FLEENER: I think Tanana Chiefs has done that a 4 number of times, made resolutions for all the TCC communities.

MR. MATHEWS: But these are brand new ideas. know what the policy people or the Board would feel about it. But essentially based on the testimony that Nat captured, they wanted recognition of their customary and traditional use, not 10 cutting others out, but by asking for recognition they are 11 perceived to be cutting out others and could by getting the 12 recognition, the process would cut out. So there is that, that 13 would be a step approach.

14 15

How you would get that across to the Board is first 16 step, recommendation on these proposals, units. Second step 17 would be in your Annual Report of elaborating further that you 18 would like to go to a regional approach, and then have the 19 Board explore this option of other types of recognition.

20 21

MS. MEEHAN: Vince.

22 23

MR. MATHEWS: Yeah.

24 25

MS. MEEHAN: Just to add to what Vince is saying, so 26 that we can kind of move along and deal with the things we got 27 in front of us, right now the way the regulations are written, 28 and it's sort of the rules that we have to play by, do not 29 include the provision to deal with this recognition. And it's 30 not to say that that's not important, it's just it's not in our 31 game book right now. However, there is an opportunity that 32 there will be a joint Board/Chair meeting prior to the Board 33 meeting and I think that this is the kind of topic that would 34 be really appropriate for the Chairs to bring up to the Board.

35 36

And put it in those terms, that, you know, look, we do 37 have a problem in dealing with c&t because we do want to 38 recognize our neighbors and our kinship ties, we don't want to 39 exclude people, but it doesn't seem to fit well with what we're 40 doing. How can we approach it? And that way you can get a 41 dialogue going with the Board. And that's the level at which 42 you can deal with these types of issues that, frankly, we at 43 the staff level aren't prepared to deal with because we're 44 stuck with the rules we've been dealt with.

45 46

MR. FLEENER: And that's part of the problem with the 47 c&t thing.

48

49 Exactly. Yeah, and that's we kind of MS. MEEHAN: 50 getting hung up on it, keep spinning it. So it would be a

really good thing to just -- to take it to the Board and say, look, guys, you're the ones that pull the strings on this, how can we deal with this issue?

MR. FLEENER: Well, I think that's a good idea. I
think I'd like to suggest that we take the first step and do
all of the adjacent units and then still present this to the
Board as something we want to look to, because we got to get
rid of this problem, it's been bothering me for a long time.

11 MR. MATHEWS: Well, I think, following up with Rosa, 12 would be that when you get to Annual Report, if you want to put 13 it in there or not.

15 MR. FLEENER: Yes, remind us, I do want to put it in 16 there.

18 MR. MATHEWS: That's a paper tracking, but I think it's 19 very wise to talk with other Chairs on this.

MR. FLEENER: I've talked to the other Chairs at the 22 very first Chair meeting I went to. It wasn't brought up to 23 the Board, but I talked to all the Chairs and all but one of 24 them like the idea. One of them just wasn't sure, so.....

MS. MEEHAN: Would you make a motion?

MR. FLEENER: What kind of a motion are you asking for, 29 that we bring it to the.....

31 MS. MEEHAN: To identify this issue and take it to the 32 Board and that way we'll, for sure, catch it right now.

MR. FLEENER: We can do that. I'll make a motion that 35 we identify the c&t determination process as a real problem and 36 that we want to look for other options and include regional c&t 37 determinations as an option.

MR. GOOD: Second.

MR. P. TITUS: This motion we just passed it's pretty 42 hard to say -- animals migrate, we all know that for sure, they 43 just don't stay in -- the black bear just don't stay in 44 Chistochina and Mentasta, they go all over. So does the fish 45 and everything, so something got to be done that everybody got 46 equal opportunity to harvest the resources. That's all.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead, Lee.

MR. L. TITUS: Yeah, Mr. Chair, I think where there's

```
00047
   only a motion in order to adopt Proposal 95. I think we can
  deal with the other motion after we discuss.....
3
4
           MR. FLEENER: There's no motion on 95 yet.
5
6
           MR. L. TITUS: He made a motion. Yeah, there was a
7 motion, that's why we're discussing it.
8
9
           MR. FLEENER: Motion to adopt 95?
10
11
           MR. NICHOLIA: Secretary?
12
13
           MR. MATHEWS: No, we didn't have a motion for 95, we
14 just brought it up.
15
16
           MR. FLEENER: No, it was in Staff Committee.
17
18
           MR. GOOD: Yeah, the Staff Committee just repeating the
19 point.
20
21
           MR. FLEENER:
                         I mean we didn't set it up that way.....
22
23
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Yeah, technically to discuss it,
24 you're right, we should have made a motion.
25
26
           MR. L. TITUS: Out of order.
27
28
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: But we're doing under your reports,
29 right? Agency reports.
30
31
           MR. MATHEWS: Again, we can adjust that at the next
32 one, but there is no motion for 95 to adopt.
33
34
          CHAIRMAN MILLER: So we're doing it under agency
35 reports?
36
37
           MR. MATHEWS: We just went ahead and gave you the
38 background information for you to make a make a motion.
39
40
           MR. FLEENER: They were just on staff review. The
41 Council just started -- and that's why I said we need to talk
42 about the c&t determination before we get into proposals,
43 because this is going to carry over to all the proposals.
44 Question?
45
46
           MR. GOOD: No, I want to amend your motion, based on
47 the fact that we need to clarify what you've said here, as a
48 suggestion for a regional c&t, that c&t be granted on a, I'm
49 going to call it a subunit here, because that's really what
50 we're talking about, and adjacent subunits.
```

```
00048
           MR. FLEENER: That wasn't part of the motion.
2 motion was to look at.....
4
           MR. GOOD: At the possibility of regional.
5
6
           MR. FLEENER: Yes, to take that to the Board and look
7 at it as a future possibility.
           MR. GOOD: I think you might want to add that
10 refinement, for a suggestion, so they know what you're talking
          Because a region could run -- could be the entire
11 about.
12 Interior or....
13
14
          MR. FLEENER: Region 9 is the region.
15
16
           MR. GOOD: Yeah, it could be -- are you talking about
17 for just Region 9?
18
19
                              That's the only thing we have
           MR. FLEENER: Yes.
20 authority over, Region 9.
21
22
           MR. GOOD: Okay. Well, we should put reasonable and
23 accessible in there. We have authority over Region 9 but....
24
           MR. FLEENER: Well, the only thing we're asking the
26 Board to do is look at these options and we included this as
27 one of the important options. But that's the only thing, we're
28 asking the Board to look at different ways of doing the c&t
29 determination because we bumped into all of these problems.
30 And that's just one option, there's other options that we can
31 bring up and for them to look at. That's -- I left it
32 open-ended that way.
33
34
          MR. L. TITUS: Change the word from Board to staff?
35
          MR. FLEENER: Well, the Board -- is the staff going to
37 address it or is the Board.....
38
39
           MR. L. TITUS: I'd hate to have the Board
40 (indiscernible - away from microphone).....
41
42
           MR. FLEENER: Well, the staff -- if the Board's going
43 to do anything, the staff is certainly going to be the one
44 doing the work. The Board ain't going to do no work on it.
45
46
           MS. MEEHAN: My -- the way I was bringing it up or the
47 framework I was trying to put around this, is that we at the
48 staff level have to work with the rules of the game as they're
49 dealt to us with the regulations. If you're going to change
50 the regulations that's something that the Board has to deal
```

with and so that's where you need to start the dialogue. And so my understanding of the motion on the table is to take this dialogue to the Board. And the forum to take it to the Board is at joint Chair/Board meeting.

5 6

MR. FLEENER: And they'll direct you to work on it.

7

MS. MEEHAN: No doubt, but they're the ones that have to do that.

10 11

MR. FLEENER: Any more questions?

12 13

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Yeah, George.

14 15

MR. SHERROD: Again, we have to keep in mind this is an 16 annual process and it is subject to change. I think that -- in 17 pulling this back up, if you look on page 17, one of the 18 criteria there in italics it basically deals with efficiency 19 and economy of effort. Meaning that basically you can't go to 20 Hawaii to catch fish and call it subsistence.

21 22

On page 18 at the top in italics is the reasonably 23 accessible. Now I think that from a theoretical basis, and 24 also in fact, I could argue that the unit and the surrounding 25 units is not too far to travel to be noneconomic, and it 26 certainly, how do you say, is reasonably accessible. Those two 27 are sort of one and the same in my mind. So if this body -- 28 and I know it's not the region and, again, we're drawing lines.

29 30

It's not totally true that you don't have influence in 31 other regions, that's why you're hearing proposals from 32 Regional Councils in other regions. That if it was the desire 33 of this body to adopt that and to put down in the record that 34 you do so because it meets those two criteria, reasonably 35 accessible and it's within the economic confines of the system.

36 37

And subsistence is an economic term, it basically means that what you're doing -- you get more back than you put out, on a lot back, but at least you're not losing it. That I can beef these sections up and we can move it ahead to the Staff to Committee and the Board and see if it flies.

42 43

MR. FLEENER: Sounds good.

44 45

MR. SHERROD: If you find that the other communities -- 46 I mean, there may be a community in here you say, well, they're 47 reasonably accessible, such as maybe Fort Greely, but they're 48 not a subsistence community and you can identify them and throw 49 them out. And I'm not picking on Fort Greely, but....

6

7 8

9

13 14

15

29

30 31

32 33

34

41 42

43

50

MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman, I think we need to, two 2 things, get back to the motion. The motion was to get the 3 regional idea before the Board. And then I understood real 4 well what Rosa was saying, the format would be the joint Chairs 5 meeting. But I think to save time later would be to say also the Annual Report, or is that not part of the intent?

MR. FLEENER: No, I want it in the Annual Report also.

10 MR. MATHEWS: Okay, Annual Report also. And then go 11 back to what George is saying on the particular proposals, but 12 we need to get this motion out of the way, up or down.

MR. FLEENER: Yeah, let's vote on this one.

16 MR. MATHEWS: And the way it would go is that the 17 Chair, or whoever represents this Council, that goes to this 18 joint Chair meeting will have to do this lobbying and defending 19 of this issue before. And so that you understand that, and the 20 Annual Report goes on its own track. So just to get the record 21 straight and Rosa catches it, the format will be the joint 22 Chairs plus the Annual report on this new idea of regional 23 c&ts. 2.4

25 Sorry to interrupt the conversation but it was drifting 26 back to proposals and you got this global one, we need to 27 settle that before we can go back to unit by unit. 28

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Could you read the motion over again?

MR. MATHEWS: No.

I barely even know what I said. MR. FLEENER:

35 MR. MATHEWS: I'll let Rosa do that because she 36 captured it right on the beginning part. The only addition now 37 is the Annual Report as a format. 38

39 MS. MEEHAN: What I wrote down, and this is not 40 verbatim because I don't type that fast, but.....

MR. FLEENER: I talked really fast to her.

44 MS. MEEHAN: I wasn't going to mention that. The 45 motion was that the c&t process is cumbersome, need to bring 46 out the issue of recognition and regional c&t approach to the 47 Board at the joint Board/Chair meeting and within the Annual 48 Report. 49

MR. FLEENER: Sounds good to me.

```
00051
           MR. MATHEWS: And that was moved by Fleener and
2
  seconded by Nat, assuming that they agree with the
3
  clarification.
4
5
           MR. FLEENER: Question.
6
7
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Question's been called. All in
8
  favor signify by saying aye.
9
10
           IN UNISON: Aye.
11
12
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Opposed same sign.
13
14
           (No opposing responses)
15
16
           MR. MATHEWS: Okay. Then I would say you need to go
17 back now to 95 and do your first step, which would be subunits
18 or whatever that was.
19
20
           MR. FLEENER: So are we saying we need a motion to
21 bring Proposal 95 on the table first?
22
23
           MR. MATHEWS: I think it would be for easier for Lee to
24 deal with it, if he's more comfortable having a motion to have
25 it before discussion.
26
27
           MR. P. TITUS: Include 96 on it, since it's the same
28 thing?
29
30
           MR. MATHEWS: You can do whatever you want, but as far
31 as combining them since they're base -- they're from the same
32 author, correct?
33
34
           MR. SHERROD: I believe so.
35
36
           MR. MATHEWS: Yeah, it's the same area and.....
37
38
           MR. P. TITUS: They're just black bear and brown bear.
39
40
           MR. SHERROD: I think, you know, we're going to have
41 many of these. If you decide this is the way to go.....
42
43
                         This is the way to go, I want to.....
           MR. FLEENER:
44
45
           MR. SHERROD: .....then we need to deal with them
46 individually and just go 95, yea, 96 yea, and I'll make the
47 presentation. We have to have a defensible record.
48
49
           MR. P. TITUS: What we need to discuss these things
50 over and over for when they're just two words?
```

```
00052
           MR. SHERROD: No, we can abbreviate it if you guys feel
  that this is the way to go and you put it down the first time,
   I think that we can zip through these.
5
           MR. FLEENER: Well, I want -- what we -- the thing that
  we just discussed about the adjacent regions needs to applied
   to all of these unless we particularly find one that we don't
  want it to apply to. But I'd like to see that, that was the
  whole intent of that one hour long discussion we just had. So
10 lets go on with Proposal 95.
11
12
           MR. L. TITUS: Want a discussion.
13
14
                         I'll move -- if we want to bring it on
           MR. FLEENER:
15 the table, I'll.....
16
17
           MR. L. TITUS: I want to bring it -- how you want to
18 deal with them, one by one?
19
20
           MR. P. TITUS: If we take the proposals one by one, 20
21 minute, half hour discussions?
22
23
           MR. FLEENER: Well, the discussion should get a lot
24 shorter after we (indiscernible - interrupted).....
25
26
           MR. P. TITUS:
                          There goes my per diem.
27
28
           MR. L. TITUS:
                          I move we adopt Proposal 95 and 96.
29
30
           MR. GOOD: Second.
31
32
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay, moved by Lee Titus, seconded by
33 Nat Good.
34
35
                         I'd like to just clarify that with these
           MR. FLEENER:
36 and the other ones that the adjacent regions or units, excused
37 me, are added to the proposal.
38
39
           MR. L. TITUS: We can do that during discussion.
40
41
           MR. FLEENER:
                        Because of -- yeah, we're in discussion.
42
43
           MR. L. TITUS: Okay, now you can modify the proposal.
44
45
           MR. FLEENER:
                         That's what I was actually just doing.
46 It's been moved and seconded.
47
```

MR. MATHEWS: What I understand, it was moved and

49 seconded to adopt 95 and 96, correct?

48

00053 MR. L. TITUS: Yeah. Now you want to make an amendment 2 to it. 3 MR. P. TITUS: I got a question, how about Tanacross, 5 have any comments on these proposals? 6 7 MR. FLEENER: We need those reasons added though and 8 those are -- well, we got good reasons. 9 10 MR. SAYLOR: Excuse me, so Healy Lake doesn't have to 11 be specific what you guys are saying here by adjacent units is 12 a lot of the same. Same ways if we were moving through this 13 situation. 14 15 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Right. 16 17 Okay, that's good. MR. SAYLOR: 18 19 MR. MATHEWS: But there's no motion to that effect on 20 the floor. 21 22 MR. FLEENER: We're about to get to that in just --23 this gentleman right here was chatting, I didn't want to 24 interrupt him. 25 26 MR. P. TITUS: I was just asking if these local people 27 have any comments on these proposals, since it's in their local 28 area. 29 30 MR. MATHEWS: And there may be other agency comments, I 31 don't know how you want to proceed. 32 33 MS. MEEHAN: If I could suggest a process thing here. 34 I know it's going to be kind of a challenge to go through each 35 of these individually, but there's a lot of people here that 36 have looked at these proposals from different perspectives and 37 they may have things that they do want to share on individual 38 proposals. And so to the extent we can, I think we can do 39 abbreviated discussions, but I think it will be helpful and 40 inclusive of everybody in the room to go through them one by 41 one. So a process suggestion. 42 43 That's fine, I don't mind, let's go MR. FLEENER: 44 through one by one. Sorry. 45 CHAIRMAN MILLER: How does the Board feel? 46 47 48 MR. FLEENER: Except for the first two because there's 49 already a motion. But I'll move to amend Proposal 95 and 96 to 50 add the adjacent units, be included in this determination

00054 because they're -- I'm looking for the wording. Yeah, they've got -- they're reasonably accessible..... 4 MR. MATHEWS: Are you sure you don't subunits? 5 6 MR. FLEENER: Actually, I don't but some people 7 probably do. Do you want me to list a few of these reasons? MR. SHERROD: I think it's important that you list at 10 the reasons that are listed on page 17 and 18, which is 11 basically, and I'll state, it's a pattern of use consistent 12 with methods and harvest, characteristic by efficiency and 13 economy and the other one is reasonably accessible. 14 15 MR. FLEENER: Are you saying that I need to do this for 16 every one? Can't I do this in the beginning and say that we'd 17 like to do this for the rest of them? Can I put that on the 18 record one time and say that I believe that the adjacent 19 communities are within reasonable -- that are reasonably 20 accessible and most of the time there are patterns of recurring 21 uses. I'll just go down this list, heck. 22 23 There certainly is patterns of consistent use, 24 consisting of methods and means of harvest which are 25 characterized by efficiency and economy in the adjacent 26 regions. I don't need to list them all, I guess. One of the 27 big one is that a pattern of use in which the harvest is shared 28 and distributed within the definable community of persons and 29 adjacent communities because they don't always share within one 30 community. And this number 8 especially, a pattern of use 31 which relates to a reliance upon a wide diversity of fish and 32 wildlife resources and people do have to go into adjacent units 33 to get to these resources at times. 34 35 That's my motion. And that's not only for this one, 36 but that's for all of them, so..... 37 38 MR. P. TITUS: So where are we, Mr. Chair, are we 39 on.... 40 41 MR. FLEENER: Yeah, this is an amendment to Proposal 95 42 -- the adoption of Proposal 95 and 96, we need a second. 43 44 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Do I hear a second? 45 46 MR. NICHOLIA: Well, I'll second it.

Seconded by Gerald.

MR. FLEENER: Question on the amendment.

CHAIRMAN MILLER:

47 48

00055 MR. L. TITUS: Is that -- does the amendment include 2 adjacent units? 3 4 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Yeah. 5 6 MR. FLEENER: Question. 7 8 MR. GOOD: I'd like to discuss it a little further. 9 10 MR. FLEENER: I'm sorry. 11 12 MR. GOOD: A couple of things that we should take into 13 account, 95 and 96 have different existing regulations, 95 14 says, no determination or rural residents. So really, I mean, 15 if your idea is to keep it more global then that's fine the way 16 it is. 17 18 Proposal 96, the existing regulation is residents of 19 Unit 12 and Dot Lake. And so what you're intending to do, 20 rather than bring in Chistochina and Mentasta, you're looking 21 to make it, you know, that much larger. 22 23 MR. FLEENER: I'd like the entire -- one of these days 24 I'd like the entire book to say that all these people have c&t 25 determinations, regionally or subregionally, at least. 26 27 MR. GOOD: Well, on 95 it already does. That goes even 28 further, it says no determination or rural residents. 29 30 MR. FLEENER: Which means that the c&t is not 31 recognized though, that's the problem with it. 32 33 MR. P. TITUS: Look, my in blacked out. 34 35 MR. GOOD: Hey, it is. 36 37 MR. P. TITUS: How come yours ain't? 38 39 MR. GOOD: I don't know. The other thing is -- I'm 40 just wondering how staff is going to deal with Rampart having 41 c&t down here in Unit 12. Is that what you're getting at now? 42 Because when you say unit you're taking all of Unit 20 instead 43 of taking 12, 20(E), 20(D) and 13, whatever that is there, (C) 44 or.... 45 46 MR. P. TITUS: How about jurisdiction? 47 48 MR. GOOD: You see what I'm saying? 49 50 MR. FLEENER: Yeah.

```
00056
1
           MR. P. TITUS: How about jurisdiction?
2
3
          MR. GOOD: If that's what the intent is, I just want to
4 clarify that. I have no problem with it, but I think staff
5
  might have a little more difficulty in defending it from.....
6
7
           MR. NICHOLIA: I think we should amend this motion just
8 to include the number 3, number 4, eight factors. A pattern of
9 use consistent of method and mean of harvest which are
10 characterized by efficient and economy of efforts of cost,
11 conditioned by local characteristics. And number 4, the
12 consistent harvest of use of fish and wildlife as related to
13 past methods and means of near or reasonably accessible
14 according to (indiscernible). That way we could include other
15 areas around Unit 12.
16
17
          MR. FLEENER: Yeah, that's basically what the amendment
18 is.
19
20
          MR. GOOD: That was a further point. I mean, you can
21 go fairly global with it if it's defensible. The only thing
22 is.....
23
24
          MR. FLEENER: Well, I think this is.....
25
26
          MR. GOOD: ....this never takes effect unless there is
27 a situation which the resource is in such a position as to
28 require everybody else to be limited.
29
30
           MR. FLEENER: What doesn't take effect?
31
32
          MR. GOOD: The subsistence that we're looking at here;
33 am I correct?
34
35
                        The c&t determination will exclude other
          MR. FLEENER:
36 people (indiscernible - interrupted).....
37
38
           MR. GOOD: Under a strictly subsistence hunt.
39
40
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Excuse me. George, that -- you say
41 it's excluding other people, that's not excluding them from
42 applying for -- is that 1344 permit?
43
44
           MR. SHERROD: That only has Park Service land, that's
45 separate from -- I mean it's tangential to this process, but
46 it's something that the Board doesn't deal with, the Park
47 Service handles it on its own. It's a real complicated mess.
48 And if you'd like clarification, we have some Park Service
49 staff here that could explain it far better than I could.
50
```

```
00057
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay. Trying to make it short and
2 sweet, but I guess not.
3
          MR. FLEENER: Does the staff see any problem with the
5 way that the amendment is worded?
6
7
           MR. SHERROD: Well, are we talking about subunits or
8 entire units?
9
10
          MR. FLEENER: Well, I guess.....
11
12
          MR. SHERROD: Subunits are fairly defensible.
13 these units are pretty big and it may be problematic.
14 again, if somebody is excluded in the first cut they have the
15 right to come before this body and present evidence that they
16 should be included.
17
18
          MR. FLEENER:
                         I quess it should be subunits.
19
20
          MR. SHERROD: Was that your point, Mr. Good?
21
22
           MR. GOOD: Yeah, that was it.
23
24
           MR. SHERROD:
                         Thank you.
25
26
          MR. MATHEWS: Does the second agree to that though?
27 You wanted it subunits?
28
          MR. NICHOLIA: Yeah.
29
30
31
           MR. MATHEWS: And then before you move to question, you
32 -- I don't know if there's agency or public, I have my back to
33 them, so I can't read them, but there may be other comments
34 before you act. So maybe a time -- I don't know, you can do
35 it, Chuck, or I can, if anybody wants to testify this may be
36 the time to do that before you want to move on this amendment.
37
38
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: We're getting close to lunchtime now.
39 I don't know what time they eat over at the school.
40
41
           MR. MATHEWS: I don't know, did they say noon?
42
43
           MR. NICHOLIA: (Indiscernible - simultaneous speech)
44 testify, let him testify.
45
46
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: What time do they usually eat over at
47 the school?
48
49
          UNIDENTIFIED VOICE:
50
```

1 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Noon. If there are any comments from 2 the audience maybe we can have them give them real quick and 3 then vote on this and at least get two done. Are there any -- 4 go ahead.

MR. ULVI: Steve Ulvi with the Park Service. I
appreciate your frustration. Many of us are very frustrated by
the c&t process too. I guess the thing I would want to point
out here is that one option you have, which I'm sure you're
aware of but I didn't hear any discussions, you have the option
to defer on these proposal where you feel there may be a
misunderstanding by people as to what it is they're actually
asking for.

When I read Proposal 95 what I read is exactly what you 16 said earlier, Craig, was that people are worried that if they 17 don't have on the record documentation of c&t for certain 18 species that they're going to be left out in the cold, but it 19 creates this very complicated circumstance that you're talking 20 about. And I -- so I would just suggest that it might be 21 possible to respond back to the -- defer and respond back to 22 the proposer so that they better understand the way the system 23 works, even though it's far from perfect. And not overly 24 complicate things unless there's a resource issue or an issue 25 that really needs to be resolved that c&t can help do so.

So I appreciate your frustration and I would just 28 suggest that deferring might be one way to handle some of these 29 where you feel they're going to get something that they didn't 30 actually want. The statement was actually made in 95 that they 31 didn't want to exclude other people.

MR. FLEENER: Yeah, and this -- in -- I don't know if 34 I'd like to defer. I appreciate you comments, but because 35 people ask for these things for a reason and it's -- deferring, 36 I just had the idea of deferring something that people ask us 37 to -- we've had a problem with deferring things in the past and 38 I just don't like to do it. I like to try to address things.

And we're already in the middle of a c&t war here, you 41 know, there's -- the book is filled with c&t determinations and 42 there's really no going back, and so we got to try and get it 43 all solved. And I think if we keep deferring it, like I 44 pointed out, that we'll never get it solved, you know. 45 Everybody on this Council is not going to be sitting here 46 forever and if we defer to the next meeting and to the next 47 meeting, I don't think it's going to get solved.

We can't -- I don't know if we even have the time to go to every community that request a c&t determination and explain

it to them exactly what it means, you know, I think that's part of what we're doing here, representing people. You know, we can go back to certain communities ourselves and talk to them about it, which I have done. But part of the trust, and they have nothing to do with selecting us, but normally part of the trust that people put in their representatives is that they're going to represent the viewpoint of local people, so....

MR. GOOD: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead, Nat.

MR. GOOD: Well, the fact of the matter is that this -14 what we are looking to approve here would grant them exactly
15 what they've asked for, so it's not that we're denying them
16 anything or acting against their wishes, we're simply granting
17 them exactly what they asked for, but the format may be a
18 little different.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead, Craig.

MR. GARDNER: I'm Craig Gardner, Fish and Game and I guess, you know, recognition of subsistence use is important, 24 but I think the biggest question that has to be asked before 25 you can get to the c&t process is biological. You know, can 26 these wildlife populations handle harvest and how much? And I 27 think the greatest desire is to have as much subsistence use as 28 possible.

And that way I think 95 and 95 is -- I think
(indiscernible) What I would -- really strong statement is
that subsistence folks is that right now the black bear
population can handle as much subsistence use as they can. Any
thange in the present determination is really not necessary.

(Indiscernible) brown bears and black bears are 37 biologically different and the harvest was used different. But 38 right now, Unit 12 black bear populations can handle anybody 39 from rural Alaska that just happens to be traveling on the 40 highway to wherever. If they want to stop and take on, you 41 know, there's plenty of black bears.

And I disagree with what George said, (indiscernible)
44 to moose and caribou use. Springtime use of black bears is the
45 most important and there's no moose and caribou hunt going on
46 at that time. I mean that's basically -- I think I would tell
47 the Federal Subsistence Board that subsistence is important,
48 it's recognized for black bear to used.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Any more comments?

MS. HENNESSY: I'm Mary-Beth Hennessy, I'm the
Chairperson of the Tanana/Fortymile Advisory Committee and
Frank Entsminger is usually here and asked me to sit in for him
and give comments today. And our committee would like to
support the changes to the proposed regulation to include the
communities of Chistochina, Mentasta, Dot Lake, Healy Lake and
all of Unit 12.

8

9 We feel that there's no reason why an established 10 resident zone community can't have a c&t determination for this 11 species. Both species for Proposals 96 and 95 as well.

12 13

13 We feel also that hunting in the park has been -- our 14 residents have been kept out of hunting in the park for so long 15 that to some extent it's become a lost tradition. And, let's 16 see, I think that -- and again, we just want all the qualified 17 users to have a c&t determination.

18 19

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Any more comments? Go ahead, Vince.

20 21

MR. MATHEWS: I think to keep this more moving, I won't request it this time, but for future proposals I think we're going to have to go back to those steps to make sure we get the written comments in and get the other part in on that before you have dialogue. And this time I don't think it's necessary for this move. I will direct you during your break to look at the comment that are there. You did receive the books.

28 29

What I'm doing now is creating a record, if you're wondering. You had the books mailed to you at least a week in advance and their present here in the room for others to look at and there are written comments from the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Upper Tanana/Fortymile, the local Fish and Game Advisory Committee and I think Wrangell/St. Elias, I'm not sure if they commented on this or not. But those are present.

36 37

And when we go to other proposals, we'll try to get 38 those in there so you have a record that you did review them, 39 but I believe you've reviewed these on your own.

40

41 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you. Call the question so we 42 can vote on that.

43

MR. FLEENER: The amendment needs voted on and then the 45 motion needs voted.

46 47

MR. L. TITUS: The amendment is to include the subunits 48 surrounding Unit 12?

49 50

MR. FLEENER: Yes.

MR. GOOD: Immediately adjacent to -- I don't know what 2 the wording was.

3 4

MR. FLEENER: Yeah, it's adjacent to.

5 6

CHAIRMAN MILLER: All in favor signify by saying aye.

7 8

IN UNISON EXCEPT MR. L. TITUS: Aye.

9 10

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Opposed same sign.

11 12

MR. L. TITUS: I'd like to go on record as opposing the 13 amendment.

14

MR. MATHEWS: Now you're on the main motion as amended.

15 16 17

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Question on the main motion?

18 19

MR. P. TITUS: Question.

20 21

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Question's been called. All in favor 22 signify by saying aye.

23 24

IN UNISON EXCEPT MR. L. TITUS: Aye.

25 26

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Opposed same sign.

27 28

MR. L. TITUS: Aye.

29 30

For the record, I'd like to make a note of why I 31 opposed that motion. I've been hearing a lot of talk about 32 subsistence for a long time. I know we're acting under Federal 33 law, under Title VIII of ANILCA. And I heard a lot of 34 different people giving their opinion, some saying that was 35 Indian law. Everybody have different views on c&t, everybody 36 have different views on whose the subsistence user. Like I 37 stated before, it was the Indian people throughout the State of 38 Alaska. If there was no Natives throughout the State of Alaska 39 we wouldn't even have to be sitting here right now. But it was 40 our forefathers voice that we hear way back that we have to 41 protect what they stood up for.

42

43 And the reason I opposed the amended motion and the 44 motion was that in including the adjacent unit there was a lot 45 of communities that I believe that don't need meet criteria of 46 being a subsistence user. And I'll just leave it at that.

47

48 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Lee. I think we ought to 49 break for lunch about an hour.

00062 1 (Off record) 2 3 (On record) 4 5 MR. P. TITUS: I'll call the meeting back to order at a 6 little after 1:00, couldn't see the clock. Vince. 7 8 MR. MATHEWS: Okay, just two quick procedural 9 announcements. Someone lost a set of keys. 10 11 MR. P. TITUS: There's one right there. 12 13 MR. MATHEWS: Is that it? 14 15 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Thank you. 16 17 MR. MATHEWS: All right, we found the keys. The school 18 has offered to have lunch again tomorrow. We need to decide by 19 the close of -- well, we can decide now or by the close of 20 school to get back to them to say that we would be interested 21 in going to their lunch again tomorrow. 22 23 (Off record comments - discussing lunch) 24 25 MR. MATHEWS: Okay, well, we'll talk about that off the 26 record, but that is an option. We need to get back to the 27 school on that. It works out convenient to eat lunch here than 28 to travel. 29 30 MR. FLEENER: I just want to make one comment dealing 31 with the two proposals that we just supported and the remaining 32 proposals that -- communities that don't have a longstanding 33 traditional use of the resources, and based on all of the eight 34 criteria, would not be included in the whole talk that we were 35 doing, so places such as Fort Greely and the military assigned 36 to those bases would not be included based on the eight 37 criteria that I read into the record. And there were just a 38 lot of questions on that, so I wanted to clarify it. 39 40 MS. DETWILER: For the Board's clarification, then, you 41 might want to identify which communities those are, so they 42 know for sure when they..... 43 44 MR. FLEENER: Well, what are the military bases that 45 are in the adjacent areas? Fort Greely, what else? 46 47 MR. P. TITUS: Eielson. 48 49 MS. MEEHAN: Eielson's in the borough. 50

```
00063
           MR. FLEENER:
                          That's a little further north. That's
2
  the only, isn't it?
3
4
           MR. VOSS: The Coast Guard Station in Tok.
5
6
           MR. NICHOLIA: Coast Guard Station?
7
8
           MR. VOSS: Yeah.
9
10
           MR. FLEENER: Are they active duty military?
11
12
           MR. VOSS: Yeah.
13
14
           MR. P. TITUS: Exclusion.
15
           MR. FLEENER: Well, if they're active duty military and
16
17 they don't have a longstanding -- whatever that -- go back to
18 that paper again.
19
20
           MR. NICHOLIA:
                           They're out.
21
22
           MR. FLEENER: A long term consistent pattern of use,
23 excluding interruptions beyond control of the community or
24 area. That's one of the eight factors, the number 1 -- first
25 factor for determining c&t uses. So the military people would
26 not be included based on that. So I just wanted to clarify 27 that. And I think things might run a little smoother.
28
29
           MR. P. TITUS: Craig, did you have something?
30
31
           MR. FLEENER: Craig Gardner.
32
33
           MR. GARDNER: No.
34
35
           MR. P. TITUS: Anybody else? Proposal 98.
36
37
           MR. MATHEWS: Proposal 98, there's just going to be a
38 very brief summary of what has happened with 98 and then we're
39 into 99.
40
41
           MR. P. TITUS: We need a motion to discuss 98.
42
43
           MR. MATHEWS:
                          Oh, sorry.
44
45
           MR. L. TITUS: So moved.
46
47
           MR. P. TITUS: Moved to discuss 98.
48
49
           MR. GOOD: Second.
50
```

00064 1 MR. P. TITUS: Moved and seconded, 98 is on the table. 2 Page what? 3 4 MR. MATHEWS: Page 50 in your book. 5 6 MR. P. TITUS: Page 50 in your funny looking moose 7 book. We don't have to read the proposal for the record, do 8 we, Vince? 9 10 MR. MATHEWS: When Pete summarizes you'll understand 11 why we don't. 12 13 MR. P. TITUS: Okay. Pete. 14 15 MR. DeMATTEO: Mr. Chair, just quite basically, 16 Proposal 98 was drafted and submitted by this Council during 17 your previous meeting in Dot Lake. Basically what you were 18 after was to change the c&t use determination for caribou in 19 Unit 12 remainder to reflect for rural residents of Unit 12 and 20 residents of Dot Lake and Mentasta Lake. 21 22 When we took that proposal back to Anchorage we 23 realized that -- and essentially what you were trying to 24 achieve is already done. That is the current c&t use 25 determination for Unit 12 remainder for caribou. The reason 26 why it got confusing is because if you look at the subpart D, 27 the season and bag side of the regulation, what exists there is 28 the designation from the old State -- or the State c&t 29 determination which says, for rural Alaska residents of Tetlin 30 and Northway only. 31 32 To achieve what you want, we could delete that from the 33 language, and this is something that would not have to go 34 before the Board, we could deal with this administratively and 35 delete that from the language in the regulations book. 36 37 MR. P. TITUS: What are the wishes of the Committee? 38 Any comments from the Committee? 39 40 MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman, I think what Pete is 41 indicating is there's possibly no action needed by the Council 42 on this. So it can it be administratively address the concern 43 of your proposal. So the mover and second could withdraw their 44 -- why don't we go to the next one. 45 46 MR. GOOD: I'll withdraw the second. 47 48 MR. L. TITUS: What's the action of this? 49

MR. GOOD: Yeah, we just withdrew it.

MR. L. TITUS: Okay.

MR. P. TITUS: So we're done with 98. 99, page 53. 4 We're done with 98, aren't we? We're on 99 now.

5 6

2 3

MR. GOOD: Mr. Chairman, I propose that we adopt 7 Proposal 99, I move that we do so.

8 9

Second. MR. L. TITUS:

10

11 MR. P. TITUS: Moved and seconded to adopt Proposal 99. 12 Discussion.

13 14

MR. MATHEWS: Okay, 99 is Unit 12 caribou to revise the 15 c&t determination. It is to add the to the existing 16 determination Healy Lake. With that I'll turn it over to 17 George and then we'll got through the list.

18 19

MR. SHERROD: Okay. This proposal would add Healy Lake 20 to the existing c&t for caribou in Unit 12. The bulk of the 21 analysis highlights the close cultural ties and history of use 22 of Healy Lake in the vicinity of that area. The conclusion 23 would be to -- the temporary staff conclusion, or whatever we 24 call it, would be to add Healy Lake to the existing c&t. And 25 if there's additional questions I can go into it.

26 27

MR. P. TITUS: Craig.

28 29

MR. FLEENER: I wonder if all -- see how I want to ask 30 this. Dealing with the changes to Proposals 95 and 96. Would 31 you analysis that you're giving to us now have to slightly 32 change a little bit, since we decided that that's what's going 33 to happen with....

34 35

MR. SHERROD: I would suggest that you adopt the 36 proposal in the case the Board doesn't by off on the other one 37 and that way you've covered Healy Lake. And then you can go 38 ahead and also at the same time say that you adopt it because 39 of the evidence presented in the analysis and because of your 40 rationale, so you've adopted it twice. And that would be my 41 suggestion.

42

43 MR. FLEENER: What do you think about that? Adopt the 44 motion -- can you do that? Sounds kind of crazy.

45

46 MR. MATHEWS: You could make -- the other way would 47 make it -- if you applied your earlier criteria, would be to 48 adopt it that way but put in your justification that it's clear 49 that the Board, if the Board didn't adopt it that you are 50 recommending that Healy Lake be included. So your motion would

1 be surrounding or adjacent subunits, and if the Board does not 2 adopt that, that Healy Lake would be added to it, so 3 you're.....

MR. FLEENER: What my basic question is then, is his analysis for the rest of these should change because if we just keep reading the analysis as it's written everybody going to automatically think that we've thrown the c&t discussion out when, in fact, we wanted it applied to....

11 MR. SHERROD: What I'll do is I'll have to draft some 12 generic language for all of these explaining those two factors 13 and it'll be integrated into all of this. But to make 14 sure.....

16 MR. FLEENER: As long as everybody understands that 17 those are -- okay, thank you.

MR. MATHEWS: And before we -- again, we're not 20 allowing other agencies to comment or public comments, so 21 again, we may want to do that before we get into full 22 discussion on that. Does the State -- I'm going ahead, Chair, 23 if that's okay with you.

MR. P. TITUS: Yeah.

MR. MATHEWS: All right. The State had comments deferred. Does the State have any further comments on Proposal 99? No? Okay. A summary of -- excuse me. Are there any other agencies that want to comment? That could be a tribal organization, could be whatever.

MR. NICHOLIA: Upper Tanana/Fortymile.

MR. P. TITUS: (Indiscernible)

MR. MATHEWS: I'm getting to that, but right now I'm on 38 agencies. Okay, just to keep it moving along I don't see 39 anybody for agencies wanting to comment. That brings us up to 40 summary of written public comments. I would rather have the 41 Chair of Upper Tanana/Fortymile, if she desires, to share the 42 public comments that we've received on Proposal 99. She's 43 present. Or I can read our summary of that.

MS. HENNESSY: We support this proposal, but why don't 46 you go ahead, I can't seem to find it.

MR. MATHEWS: Okay. It's page 68, but I don't know 49 what page it is in your book. And just what we've summarized 50 from the Upper Tanana/Fortymile comments were that they support

with amendment to have a positive c&t for Healy Lake also. So it sounds like the committee did support this proposal.

And that's all the written comments that I know of at present. And then now you would go to open public comments if there are any.

8 MR. P. TITUS: I'll turn the chair back over to the 9 Chairman, for the record.

MR. MATHEWS: There may be some public comments.

13 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Are there any public comments on 14 Proposal 99?

MR. SAYLOR: I got a paper here. Healy Lake, there's a 17 lot of people that think Healy is over on the side of the 18 mountain. Somebody else here went to other meetings and stuff. 19 Local people are about the only ones that know where it's at 20 and every time we mention it they get the wrong idea. They 21 even send our mail to that place sometimes, you know. So 22 there's a paper here that Connie's got about 30 copies if you 23 guys want -- whoever doesn't know about place, look it over and 24 there's some more information on it and stuff. I mean they 25 don't even have us marked on you guys' map there, you know.

It's one of the oldest villages historically. I mean 28 every year for 11,000 years that village has been there, you 29 know. It's got some of the biggest cache pits, meaning the --30 like there's whole islands that got ice under them so they can 31 peel back all the way down to the ice, put down stuff and it'll 32 be frozen almost the whole year. And they protected that place 33 very fiercely because in upper regions where the Forty-Mile 34 Herd drops calves and stuff. And so that place is protected 35 very fiercely because that was where they didn't want that 36 place to be taken by other tribes or the population to be 37 knocked down.

Well, you know the Taylor Highway pretty much put Healy 40 Lake in a pretty bad spot and forced a lot of our people to the 41 road system. There's one old guy that even remembers that 42 there was shooting of caribou there. They propped up a frozen 43 caribou besides the road there and it was shot so full of holes 44 at the end of the day. I mean I'd hate to ever see management 45 like that again. The way everything is going now, this is a 46 positive step forward, we're tired of being cut out or 47 practically erased.

Efademics (sic) have taken a great toll on us. Our 50 people have been through a lot. We're getting back into a lot

1 of our hunting grounds in deeper where we haven't been because we have a stable economy. Our village is finally on the rise in the last four year really. We've been number 1 on the 4 poverty list of villages, 60 some percent unemployment and stuff. I mean that's all -- if we didn't have to hunt and fish 6 a little bit for white fish and stuff, grayling, we'd starve to death. We would have never made it. I mean and we'll fiercely defend that, you know.

9 10

5

But we're not unreasonable people, I mean, if you make 11 sense we'll listen to you, but if you don't make sense you're 12 going to wind up looking foolish there because they're going to 13 want to know why, just like any other place. I mean -- but we 14 got that paper here if anybody wants to know about us. We're 15 related to all the Upper Tanana and down towards Copper some 16 through Mentasta and I mean, everybody knows us locally, it's 17 just a lot of the Outside, there's a lot of miscommunication 18 because they think we're somebody else or -- you know, there's 19 not very many of us but that doesn't mean that we don't know 20 what's going on or we don't use daily travel.

21 22

Our people travel a lot, they're nomadic. Some of us 23 -- I've been all the way up to Fort Yukon, I've been up to 16 24 mile, I've been up to Venetie, I've been up Carroll, I've been 25 all the way toward the Canadian border, I've been into Tetlin. 26 We travel around because we want to see what's going one, we 27 don't want to stay in one place there like a little beaver and 28 swim around and that's it, you know, that's dumb, that's not 29 the kind of people we are. We're caribou people, we travel.

30 31

That's all I got to say. Thanks for supporting us.

32 33

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thanks. Any more comments on 34 Proposal 99?

35 36

MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman, the motion was to move to 37 adopt the proposal as written. And if you were to apply your 38 earlier policy you'd have to amend it.

39

40 MR. FLEENER: Your last -- I made the statement and 41 asked the question earlier if I'm going to have to do that on 42 everyone and you said, no.

43 44

Oh, did I? MR. MATHEWS:

45

MR. FLEENER: Yes, you did.

46 47

48 I think you're going to -- I don't know, MR. MATHEWS: 49 George, I think we would have to have the motion said that it 50 would be adjacent units on the record.

```
00069
1
           MR. FLEENER: It is on the record, but -- go ahead.
2
3
           MS. MEEHAN: If I make a suggestion. What you can do
   is make a motion to adopt as written with the amendment to add
5
   adjacent subunits for the reasons lined out in 95 and 96.
6
7
           MR. FLEENER: I like that one, we'll go with that.
   I'll make that motion.
8
9
10
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Is there a second?
11
12
           MR. L. TITUS: So it's amended to amend the.....
13
14
                         To include adjacent units.
           MR. FLEENER:
                                                     The same
15 motion as last time.
16
17
           MR. L. TITUS: Does that exclude the communities with
18 no traditional....
19
20
           MR. FLEENER: Yes.
21
22
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Do I hear a second?
23
           MR. GOOD: I'll second it.
24
25
26
           MR. FLEENER: Question.
27
28
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Has been called. All in favor say
29 aye.
30
31
           IN UNISON: Aye.
32
33
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Opposed same sign.
34
35
           (No opposing responses)
36
37
           MR. FLEENER: All for question on the main motion.
38
39
           MR. P. TITUS: What's the main motion?
40
41
           MR. FLEENER:
                         The main motion is to adopt, this was
42 just to amend 99.
43
           MR. P. TITUS: So adopt Proposal 99? So moved.
44
45
46
           MR. GOOD: Second.
47
48
           MR. NICHOLIA: Question.
49
50
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Question's been called. All in favor
```

00070 say aye. 2 3 IN UNISON: Aye. 4 5 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Opposed same sign. 6 7 (No opposing responses) MR. FLEENER: Is it too confusing for us to move to 10 bring this on the table early on? 11 12 MR. MATHEWS: You can move it with the amendment that 13 she said. You could do it that way if you don't want to go 14 through amendments, just make your motion. 15 16 MR. FLEENER: Okay. I'll make a motion to adopt 17 Proposal 100 with the amendment that's going to follow, 18 outlined in 95 and 96, that sort of thing. 19 20 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Is there a second? 21 22 MR. P. TITUS: Second the motion. 23 24 MR. MATHEWS: Okay. Proposal 100 is to deal with sheep 25 in Unit 12. It's to add Healy Lake to the sheep determination 26 for Unit 12. 27 28 MR. SHERROD: Proposal 100 basically deals with, again, 29 the same set of data, tying Healy Lake with the other 30 communities in the Upper Tanana area. The conclusion is 31 modified in this one, however, to not only add Healy Lake to 32 the existing determination but to also -- or a recommendation 33 is to add Dot Lake also. Dot Lake is currently not included in 34 Unit 12. And resource use maps indicate that have hunted sheep 35 in that area. 36 37 MR. MATHEWS: Okay, the agency comments that I have are 38 Fish and Game deferred comments. Defer to the State if they 39 have any additional comments on sheep in Unit 12, Proposal 100. 40 41 MR. GARDNER: No. 42 43 MR. MATHEWS: And I don't have any other agency 44 comments. I don't know if any other agencies care to comment 45 at this time. No? Okay. Again, the only other written 46 comment that I know of is from Upper Tanana/Fortymile and I can 47 just keep rolling here, I mean, I'm on a roll. Anyways, they 48 support it and..... 49 50 MR. FLEENER: Unless someone raises their hand to say

something, maybe we can just keep going.

5 6

MR. MATHEWS: Okay, I just don't want to offend 4 anybody, I have my back to them and et cetera, so that's my -okay, they supported it. That's all the written comments that I am aware of.

7

3

MR. SAYLOR: I'd like to make a quick comment on the 9 sheep. Our sheep hunting grounds up the Big Gerstle and stuff 10 have been contaminated by the Army who has a chemical testing 11 site up there and also had a nuclear -- one of the first 12 nuclear power plants in the whole United States, they had up 13 there in there's been a lot of strange animals coming out of 14 that with cysts all through their bodies and horns growing and 15 hooves growing all strange. People are afraid to eat things 16 from there. There was even a boy from the Dot Lake orphanage 17 back 20-25 years ago that ate a fish out of one them lakes and 18 died. And we don't know what happened to his body but that was 19 taken and never returned as far as we know.

20

21 And so our sheep hunting grounds pretty much, we don't 22 have any. And this would be the reason why we'd like to hunt 23 up there. And we got some people that have invited us to hunt 24 sheep once this year and stuff and we'd like to go without 25 having to worry.

26 27

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you.

28 29

MR. GOOD: Mr. Chairman.

30 31 32

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead, Nat.

33

MR. GOOD: I move that we amend the proposal to include 34 Dot Lake.

35 36

MR. FLEENER: Dot Lake will be included because we're 37 including all adjacent.....

38 39

MR. GOOD: Oh, okay, as long as it's that way, but your 40 actual proposal was written as Healy Lake. If they don't 41 accept the adjacent -- well, I guess I can go with it.

42 43

MR. P. TITUS: 95 and 96?

44 45

MR. GOOD: Well, you see what I'm saying, if they don't 46 accept the adjacent subunit area then Dot Lake would be left 47 out of the original proposal.

48

49 MR. FLEENER: When our Chairman goes to the Board 50 meeting in and they're bringing these up, he can talk about

00072 that there, if nothing else, right? 2 3 MR. FLEENER: Yeah. 4 5 MR. MATHEWS: He can talk about it if that's the wishes of the Council to make sure -- he also speaks as an individual 7 of Dot Lake, but he needs to first carry forward the Council's wishes. I suppose this would be another global one that we would carry forward that they support the proposal as written 10 with the attachment of adjacent units. If the Board doesn't 11 adopt that, that Dot Lake would be in and Healy Lake would be 12 in, whatever it happens to be. 13 14 MR. FLEENER: Well, maybe we can make that motion at 15 the end of going through all these proposals, we can make one 16 motion to deal with that. 17 18 MS. MEEHAN: I think you have it covered. 19 20 MR. FLEENER: I hope so. I'm very worried. 21 22 MS. MEEHAN: Yeah. If you support the staff 23 recommendation with the addition of the adjacent subunits then 24 that keeps it clear. And so if you just do that on each one 25 then I think you're consistent with covering. 26 27 MR. FLEENER: Thank you. Question. 28 29 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Question's been called. All in favor 30 signify by saying aye. 31 32 IN UNISON: Aye. 33 34 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Opposed same sign. 35 36 (No opposing responses) 37 38 MR. P. TITUS: Approval -- 100 is approved. 39 40 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Adopted. 41 42 MR. P. TITUS: 101 and 104. 43 44 MR. FLEENER: I move to adopt Proposal 101. Are we 45 also doing 104? 46 47 MR. SHERROD: I would suggest you do them separately. 48 49 MR. FLEENER: Okay, 101 with the global -- I'm losing 50 track in my mind on the global amendment that we made for 95

```
00073
  and 96.
3
           MR. MATHEWS:
                         So essentially you're saying you're
4
   adopting the staff's recommendation with the additions of the
5
   adjacent units?
6
7
           MR. FLEENER:
                         Yes, but I don't want to say that every
8 time.
9
10
           MR. MATHEWS:
                         Well, I'll do it for you then.
11
12
           MR. FLEENER:
                         Thank you.
13
14
                         Is there a second for that?
           MR. MATHEWS:
15
16
           MR. NICHOLIA: I'll second it.
17
18
           MR. MATHEWS: Okay, Proposal 101 is to deal with moose
19 in Unit 12 to revise the customary and traditional use
20 determination, which is on the projector here in front of you.
21 In the book there's -- so I won't go through the full length of
22 it to add Healy Lake to various parts of Unit 12.
23
24
           MR. SHERROD: Yeah, this again, is a Healy Lake one and
25 it ties in with the customary use of the Upper Tanana people
26 and the staff recomm -- the conclusion is to adopt 101 thereby
27 adding Healy Lake to the current c&t for moose in Unit 12.
28
29
           MR. MATHEWS: Okay, hearing no questions on that.....
30
31
           MR. P. TITUS: Wait, wait. How come it says Unit
32 20 opposed recommendations?
33
34
                         That's 104, we'll deal with that in a
           MR. SHERROD:
35 minute.
36
37
           MR. P. TITUS:
                          It says Proposal 101 and 104.
38
39
                         We're only doing 101 in 20 is.....
           MR. FLEENER:
40
41
           MR. P. TITUS: This page says 101 and 104.
42
43
                         It's just a mistake. Look up there on
           MR. FLEENER:
44 that thing, it's 101.
45
46
           MR. P. TITUS: Oh, okay, they cut this part off.
47 book is kind of different from that one up there.
48
49
50
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: ADF&G comments.
```

```
00074
           MR. MATHEWS: What I have, unless they have additional
2 ones if for 101 they have deferred comments. I don't know if
3 they have any new ones.
4
5
           MR. GARDNER: Basically support it.
6
7
                         They basically support it. I don't know
           MR. MATHEWS:
   if they're picking it up on the tape, but Craig Gardner said
8
9
   the State supports 101.
10
11
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Public comments.
12
13
          MR. MATHEWS: Public comments, again, I think the Chair
14 of Upper Tanana/Fortymile would like to speak on that.
15
16
           MS. HENNESSY: We support it as well.
17
18
          MR. MATHEWS: And I don't know if there's any other
19 agencies or public that want to comment on Proposal 101.
20
21
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Don't hear any more comments.
22
23
           MR. FLEENER: Question.
24
25
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Question's been called. All in favor
26 signify by saying aye.
27
28
           IN UNISON: Aye.
29
30
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Opposed same sign.
31
32
           (No opposing responses)
33
34
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Proposal 101 passes. Now 104.
35
36
          MR. MATHEWS: I need to just remind the Council and
37 Chair, for logistic reasons we've set up a teleconference at
38 2:30 with Eagle to deal with their Proposal 105. We'll
39 probably be right on time for that, but we may have to break
40 out of our pattern to pick them up.
41
42
           Someone had to move to do whatever.
43
          MR. FLEENER: Are we on 104 now? I move to approve 104
44
45 with the -- Proposal 104 with the inclusion of qualified
46 residents in the adjacent subunits.
47
48
           MR. MATHEWS: So if I heard you correctly you moved to
```

49 adopt the staff's recommendation with the addition.

00075 1 MR. FLEENER: 2 3 MR. MATHEWS: No? 4 5 MR. P. TITUS: Hold it, hold it. Staff recommendation 6 is to oppose. Right there it says, Opposed, rural residents of 7 Healy Lake should not be recognized as having a positive c&t in 8 Unit 20(A) or 20(B). What does that mean? 9 10 Should I? MR. SHERROD: 11 12 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Yes. 13 14 MR. SHERROD: Okay. Basically when I looked at Healy 15 Lake's pattern and the argument given that there wasn't 16 specific data to their hunting practices, justification was 17 tied to the fact that hunting was to the east and not to the 18 west. Now, if you go with your original -- I mean with what 19 you're trying to do, basically, what you'd be doing, and we're 20 dealing with 20(A) and (B), is you would grant c&t to 20(A) and 21 (B) for the adjacent units. So you would be 20(B) -- I don't 22 have a map, 13(B) and so, so it would be communities other than 23 just Healy Lake. 24 I mean, right now Delta Junction, which sits on the 26 border doesn't have c&t, for example, in 20(A). In fact, no 27 one had c&t in 20(A). 28 29 MR. GOOD: There's nothing to have c&t on in 20(A) 30 unless you have the military land, which is Federal land. We 31 should but anyway. 32 33 MR. SHERROD: So I guess the question here is, you 34 know, this is a little bit more twisted, and I'm certainly not 35 telling you not to go ahead and say, you know, 20(A) c&t is for 36 residents of 20(A) and (indiscernible) any subunits. And do 37 the same thing for 20(B). 38 39 MR. P. TITUS: I got a question on this. 40 boundary follow the river and we say there's no c&t findings in 41 that area. And the fish go up that river. Is that another 42 unit, is that a separate unit? 43 44 MR. SHERROD: The fish c&t? 45 46 MR. P. TITUS: Yes. 47 48 MR. SHERROD: I don't think we even got a fish c.....

MR. P. TITUS: I mean suppose we take over.

```
00076
1
           MR. SHERROD: We may have in the future, I don't know.
2
3
           MR. P. TITUS: There's going to be a separate c&t for
4
  fish and game?
5
6
           MR. MATHEWS: You have c&ts for fish, but they're for
   the whole drainage of the Yukon River which covers this area,
7
8
   so there are existing c&ts.
9
10
           MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chair, approximately where is the
11 62nd parallel on that?
12
13
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Who?
14
15
           MR. FLEENER: Sixty-second parallel which in the old
16 regulation. For residents of Unit 11, north of the 62nd
17 parallel.
18
19
           MR. SHERROD: That's from the existing regulation and I
20 think is the park boundary, I'm not sure.
21
22
           MR. FLEENER: Does anybody have any idea where the 62nd
23 parallel is? It's just kind of a strange boundary to have,
24 especially since none of our managers or us knows where it's
25 at.
26
27
           MR. P. TITUS: (Indiscernible)
28
29
           MR. GARDNER: I don't like around here, but the 62nd is
30 north of Slana.
31
32
           MR. P. TITUS: Ask the pilot.
33
34
                          So it's just north of Slana?
           MR. FLEENER:
35
36
           MR. GARDNER:
                          Yeah.
37
38
           MR. SHERROD:
                          That was adopted from State regulations,
39 that's the existing c&t determination for that area.
40
41
           MR. FLEENER: So you basically oppose it based on what?
42
43
           MR. SHERROD: Well, we didn't have evidence indicating
44 geographic use of the area. And that's no fault of Healy Lake, 45 it just doesn't exist. And for the fact, as I say, even Delta
46 Junction currently does not have c&t for the area and part of
47 it has to do with there's not a lot of Federal lands in
48 proximity. If you look at the map that has the Federal lands
49 on it you'll notice in both 20(A) and 20(B) do not have any
50 substantial amount of Federal lands proximal to the boundary
```

00077 1 line. 2 3 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead, Lee. 4 5 MR. L. TITUS: Just going with the -- according to the 6 way that the motion that we're going with right now, I'd have 7 to speak against that -- this one too because we're dealing with different -- we're dealing with a totally different unit. Unless there's some kind of an amendment to the original 10 motion. 11 12 MR. FLEENER: Well, I just -- I'm making a motion so 13 that we can bring these on the table and discuss them. We can 14 simply not support it. I just made a motion to..... 15 16 MR. L. TITUS: I understand. I understand. But I was 17 just giving my reason for opposing it. 18 19 MR. FLEENER: I understand. Maybe we can have the Fish 20 and Game and other communities give their comments on this so 21 we can continue. 22 23 MR. MATHEWS: Let me ask what is the motion on the 24 table at the moment? 25 26 MR. FLEENER: Well, I made a motion that we adopt 27 Proposal 101 -- excuse me, 104. 28 29 MR. MATHEWS: Based on staff recommendation or just the 30 proposal? 31 32 No, just as it is. MR. FLEENER: 33 34 MR. MATHEWS: Okay. 35 36 MR. FLEENER: And we're waiting for Fish and Game's 37 comments. 38 39 MR. GARDNER: Craig Gardner again, of course I don't 40 work around 20(A) but I do know something about the 20(A) moose 41 population and, you know, biologically, there's a moose behind 42 every tree in that portion of 20(A). So the only with those 43 communities and villages for subsistence, I don't -- I mean, I 44 don't understand not including the rural for rural Alaska and 45 Bush Alaska in that portion of 20(A). And, one, you know, it 46 is military land (indiscernible) bombing range, so that's kind 47 of a problem area there. 48 49 But I don't see any problem to include, to go along

50 with the proposal

00078 MR. GOOD: The only problem I have is I don't see where 2 you're going to get to hunt, you know. I mean, it's like we're 3 spitting in the wind or something, you know, unless we say that 4 we support subsistence on Fort Greely's bombing range or on 5 Fort Greely, period, because it's not all bombing range. 6 Because otherwise that's the only other Federal land, aside 7 from a possible little strip running alongside of the highway 8 outside the park. 9 10 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Any comments there? 11 12 MR. MATHEWS: I think there's some comments over here. 13 14 MS. MEEHAN: Let me just put a clarification. 15 staff recommendation for 104 is to not adopt the proposal. 16 17 MR. FLEENER: Right, we understand that. 18 19 MS. MEEHAN: Okay. And so it just -- so basically 20 recognizing that the evidence we got for Healy Lake is that the 21 folks in Healy Lake are more closely tied to the territory to 22 the east. So the motion that's on the table, as I understand 23 it, is to agree with the staff recommendation. 24 25 MR. FLEENER: No. That's not the motion I made, no. 26 27 MS. MEEHAN: All right. 28 29 The motion was to support the proposal as MR. MATHEWS: 30 written. 31 32 MR. FLEENER: Yeah. 33 34 MS. MEEHAN: Okay. 35 36 MR. MATHEWS: Because I was confused too. 37 38 MR. FLEENER: So it's the proposal as written, which 39 would be to add Healy Lake to 20(B), I gather, (A) and (B). 40 41 MR. L. TITUS: So we're going to go along with the 42 staff recommendation, we have to vote the proposal down, right? 43 44 MR. MATHEWS: Correct. 45 46 MR. FLEENER: Right, or table it. 47 48 MR. P. TITUS: I got problem with opposing subsistence. 49 Once we say it's okay not to have subsistence for it, this

50 thing -- somebody else will put in a proposal and say, okay,

```
00079
```

these guys gave up their subsistence rights on that, we could take more subsistence away from them. And you open a can of worms that will (indiscernible).

4 5

MR. FLEENER: Maybe we should have -- Mr. Chair, maybe we could have the rest of the other comments and then we can make our comments so we can stay in order, if possible.

8

9 MR. MATHEWS: Okay, the other comments from the State 10 are deferred and Craig has shared what he has so far. And I'm 11 not sure, so I'll defer to the Chair of Upper Tanana/Fortymile. 12 We looked at this combined. I assume Upper Tanana supported 13 104.

14 15

16

MS. HENNESSY: We did.

17

MR. MATHEWS: Okay.

18 19

19 MS. HENNESSY: Can I ask for some clarification on 20 something?

21 22

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Sure.

23 24

MS. HENNESSY: Mr. Chair was talking about this has not been a traditionally used area, can you.....

26

MR. SHERROD: No. No. No, for Healy Lake. I only addressed Healy Lake and we have no data, I should say, no harvest data associated with Healy Lake's use area. Now, without a doubt they use the area acrossed. You know, I firmly believe the -- I believe Delta Junction used the area acrossed but -- and I have no heartburn with you guys going a different direction. I'm just saying there wasn't any data for it. And I also thought that if we were going to deal with c&t for 20(A) and (B) for Healy Lake, we should be looking at Delta Junction, Big Delta and those other communities as well.

37 38

38 MR. GOOD: Can you clarify for me just what lands these 39 would actually have any effect on.

40 41

41 MR. SHERROD: It'll be a long way away, that's the 42 other reason for basically saying not supporting it, is it 43 wouldn't make any difference.

44

MS. GRONQUIST: Is the military withdrawals within 46 20(A)? My understanding that was one of the land manager's for those lands, is that they are under State hunting regulations, 48 not Federal. And somebody briefly mentioned that, I don't know 49 if everyone picked that up.

08000 1 MR. GOOD: But so are all Federal lands, too. 2 3 MS. GRONQUIST: Pardon? 4 5 MR. GOOD: So are all Federal lands, too. 6 7 MS. GRONQUIST: No, I'm saying that there are no Federal regulations that cover those withdrawals, they are 9 regulated under State hunting regulations, period. 10 MR. GOOD: But they're also closed by the Federal 11 12 government whenever they see fit. They open and close the 13 season whenever they see fit by allowing access or disallowing 14 access. 15 16 MS. GRONQUIST: Yes, that's correct, but that's -- the 17 military controls access of those withdrawals, we control third 18 party interest, which is -- which would normally include 19 hunting but in this case the Federal Subsistence Board made the 20 decision that military withdrawals would fall under State 21 hunting regulations only. 22 23 MR. GOOD: Yeah. 24 MR. L. TITUS: We're dealing with -- just in case the 25 26 Russian delegation and..... 27 28 (Laughter) 29 30 CHAIRMAN MILLER: We're kind of beating ourselves to 31 death over nothing. Go ahead, George. 32 33 MR. SHERROD: Mr. Chair, one way to deal with this one 34 because let's say it's -- and not going against your 95/96 35 might be just to take no action on it. Taking action or no 36 action is not going to make any difference in terms of hunting 37 opportunity. It would have you not go against your original 38 stand. 39 40 MR. FLEENER: Have we heard from all of the public on 41 this; is there any public comments that we haven't heard from 42 because.... 43 44 MR. MATHEWS: Well, the only thing that I would 45 encourage you is that since this is a Healy Lake proposal and 46 it's from the Traditional Council, encourage them if they have 47 any other additional comments on these proposal, since we have

MR. SAYLOR: In 20(A) there, as close as we come is one

48 a representative here from Healy Lake.

of our half sister to Chief Healy would be Bessy Barnabas (ph), she from Salcha and also she's related to the chief of Goodpass or Chief Luke. Now that falls in their territory, we have some Lukes that live in Healy Lake, and some of them drive down that road, you know, going down to visit their relatives in the Copper, you know, and that's probably where this pretty much came from that's if they get an opportunity there that they would take it.

9 10

That old lady was born right there, right above Delta 11 Junction where that road goes by that little hill you see 12 there, that was a lookout for caribou. You can see why because 13 there ain't no trees around there. And personally, I haven't 14 hunted down in that area, I drove through it, you know, but so 15 did the Lukes, you know, they're know to go down an visit down 16 in the Copper because they're related though there.

17 18

A long time ago, see, the Lukes, when the epidemic came 19 through there, they moved and married with the Healy ervna 20 (ph). But other than that -- to me through this book here, all 21 this stuff on our -- like these logistics and cultural context, 22 it should be along with the other one, this one should be -- 23 kind of just borderlines it, you know. This other stuff should 24 be backing up this -- the heavy use to the east pretty much 25 instead of this here on the outskirts.

26 27

MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chair, I think the big question that 28 a lot of people have on this is that there is almost no Federal 29 land, so even if we did give a -- even if we did support a 30 positive c&t you'd have to drive -- I mean according to this 31 map here, you'd have to drive between North Pole and Fairbanks 32 and hunt on BLM land. And so because there isn't much Federal 33 land; am I right?

34 35

(No audible response)

36 37

MR. FLEENER: Okay, it sounds like I might be right.

But we could support it, but it looks like it would only give

hunting opportunity to people on just a few square miles of

land between North Pole and Fairbanks, so I don't know. I

mean, I like supporting c&t determinations, but this one isn't

going to get anybody any hunting opportunity. So what do you

think? I mean this is more like a -- this is a State deal that

we wouldn't go through, I guess, if you wanted anything done

this you'd have to take to the State. I mean would it

he be....

47

48 MR. MATHEWS: And just for the record, the land between 49 Fairbanks and North Pole is in the North Slope Borough, so 50 there's no Federal season, no Federal Subsistence seasons on 00082 that land. 3 MR. FLEENER: It would have absolutely no effect. 4 There is no (indiscernible). 5 6 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Yeah, so this is just beating a dead 7 horse looks like to me. MR. FLEENER: Yeah, okay. Well, I'll withdraw my 10 motion to support if the second, whoever that was, will 11 withdraw his second. 12 13 MR. L. TITUS: We could just vote it down. Just call 14 for the question and vote it down. 15 16 MR. FLEENER: Okay, we'll do that. Question. 17 18 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Question's been called. All in favor 19 signify by saying aye. 20 21 (No in favor responses) 22 23 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Opposed same sign. 24 25 IN UNISON: Aye. 26 27 MR. FLEENER: I make a motion to adopt Proposal 97 to 28 include the adjacent -- I got it written down here, include the 29 qualified residents in adjacent subunits. And this is to 30 support the staff recommendation. 31 32 MR. DeMATTEO: Mr. Chair, Proposal 97 is submitted by 33 Upper Tanana/Fortymile Advisory Committee to establish Federal 34 seasons for brown bear in Units 12 and 20(E), September 1 35 through May 31st. There's currently Federal c&t use 36 determinations from both of those units, which is basically for 37 residents of Unit 12 and Dot Lake, but there are no Federal 38 seasons. 39 40 The preliminary staff conclusion is to adopt the 41 proposed September 1 through May 31st season for Unit 12, but 42 adopt the current State season of August 20th through June 30th 43 for Unit 20(E) because the proposed season would be more 44 restrictive than that of the State. 45 46 MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman, I believe your motion would 47 be to support staff recommendation, period, correct? 48 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Correct. 49 50 MR. MATHEWS: Not the adjacent unit part?

```
00083
           MR. FLEENER: Well, I did include the adjacent units.
2 I'd still like to keep that going.
3
4
           MR. MATHEWS: Okay.
                               This is a....
5
6
          MR. FLEENER: Adjacent subunits.
7
8
           MR. MATHEWS:
                         This is a season and harvest change.
9
10
          MR. FLEENER: Oh, so this one would actually be
11 detrimental because we're only changing -- all right, I'll
12 withdraw that part of it then.
13
14
           MR. MATHEWS: Well, does the second agree to that?
15 Hello second.
16
17
          MR. FLEENER: Who seconded it? Nobody wants to claim
18 it.
19
20
          MR. P. TITUS: Perhaps nobody did.
21
22
           MR. FLEENER: Yeah, they agree.
23
24
           MR. MATHEWS: Okay, so just to make it clear for the
25 record the motion is to support staff recommendation as
26 written. Okay, Fish and Game comments, again, I'll defer to
27 the State or I can just summarize.
28
29
           MR. GARDNER: (Indiscernible - away from microphone)
30
31
           MR. MATHEWS: Okay, thank you. I don't know if I can
32 make sense if it. It says amend and adopt. I think it means
33 -- whatever. Amend and adopt. The proposed Federal season
34 would be shorter than the State season. The Department
35 recommends changing the season dates in Unit 20(E) to August
36 10th through June 20th, to make them consistent with State
37 regulations.
               So basically staff recommendations.
38
39
           MR. P. TITUS: I got a question. Is the State and
40 Federal the same season or are they two separate seasons?
41
42
           MR. FLEENER: Two separate seasons. The proposal would
43 make the Federal season shorter and nobody thinks that's a
44 smart idea, so we would adopt it to make it the same as the
45 State, which actually makes it longer. The Federal season is
46 too short.
47
48
           MR. P. TITUS: Can't live by two regulations.
49
50
          MR. FLEENER: Yeah, these will be the same then.
```

MR. MATHEWS: There is no Federal season now, just to 2 make that clear.

3 4

MR. FLEENER: Well, the proposal is a shorter season.

5 6

7

MS. HENNESSY: Well, we were -- you know, we put in that proposal and we were -- we actually talked about it at our last Advisory Committee meeting in support aligning the Federal and State seasons, so if the State's recommending August 10th 10 through June 30th, we were in support of that.

11 12

MR. P. TITUS: Are we proposing a season? Do we have 13 to propose a season or what? Do we have to propose a season 14 before we put this on the books?

15 16

MR. FLEENER: There is no season, that's.....

17 18

MR. P. TITUS: There is not Federal season now.

19 20

MR. MATHEWS: Correct.

21

22 MR. FLEENER: That's what this proposal does, it makes 23 a season.

24 25

MR. P. TITUS: Okay.

26

27 MR. MATHEWS: Makes a season and the committee, without 28 seeing the minutes, but agreed to the Chair, they're the author 29 of the proposal, has said they support an August season, 30 starting, so in a way they're amending their proposal, so they 31 align with the State. So the author of the proposal wants the 32 State's to apply.

33

34 MS. HENNESSY: What happened, I guess, last year we got 35 a positive c&t determination for the brown bear, but there was 36 no season.

37 38

MR. FLEENER: Without a season, right. Yeah, we voted 39 to support a positive c&t for brown bear but we didn't give 40 them a season, it was an oversight, I guess, but.....

41 42

MR. MATHEWS: The cleanest way to do it would be to 43 amend it or withdraw your motion and say that you support the 44 direction of the local Advisory Committee to amend their 45 proposal to be the August, whatever it is, to align it with the 46 State's season.

47

48 MR. FLEENER: Isn't that the staff recommendation? 49 That's what the motion was. The motion was to adopt staff's 50 recommendation.

```
00085
1
           MR. MATHEWS: Oh, I didn't know that was the.....
2
3
           MR. DeMATTEO: The staff recommendation basically
4
   adopts the State season.
5
6
           MR. FLEENER: It's a done deal.
7
8
           MR. MATHEWS:
                          Okay, sorry.
9
10
           MR. FLEENER:
                          Public comments.
11
12
           MR. P. TITUS: Question.
13
14
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Question's been called. All in favor
15 signify by saying aye.
16
17
           IN UNISON:
                        Aye.
18
19
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Opposed same sign.
20
21
           (No opposing responses)
22
23
           MR. P. TITUS: Support 97.
24
25
           MR. MATHEWS: Okay, I'm just going to remind you that
26 we do have the 2:30 teleconference.
27
28
           MR. P. TITUS: 2:00 or 2:30?
29
30
           MR. FLEENER:
                          2:30.
31
32
           MR. MATHEWS: 2:30. I told them maybe 2:30-2:45, so we
33 have a little bit of give there, 2:45. So just to remind you
34 of that. And the next proposal is 102.
35
36
           MR. SHERROD: Okay, 102 is sort of the amalgamation of
37 a number of different proposal through time that have dealt
38 with a requested c&t for Unit 20. If you look at you map on
39 page -- what page is it? It looks like 117, you'll see
40 basically where there's not a lot of Federal land in Units
41 20(F)(B)(A)(D) or (E). The lands in 20(C) are primarily park 42 and 25 -- oh, no, 25(D) is to the north, we're out of that
43 question.
44
45
           We have no seasons, there's no Federal season in Unit
46 20(A) -- just a minute here. There's no season in 20(C), 20(A)
47 or 20(B). So even if we get a c&t determination, we have no
48 season. The status of the caribou in all of these units and
49 the number of potential users would mean if we ever opened up a
50 season we probably would have to do an 804 determination.
```

21 22

And that's sort of highlighted if you look at --2 there's a table at the back of the proposal, it's Appendix A, 3 and the communities listed in the left hand column are 4 communities, and this is for -- okay, these are communities 5 that have either demonstrated harvest of caribou in the unit at 6 some time or have an existing c&t, positive c&t determination and the total population comes to 20,067 individuals that this proposal basically -- are affected by this proposal.

The other appendices show existing c&ts and so on. 11 Appendix D shows the communities in question, it shows the 12 areas that they've hunted in the past and it also shows the 13 areas in which we have the most significant or the most 14 hunting. However, it should be shown that, say, in 20(A), 15 since 1990, only 284 caribou have been harvested. And this is 16 under all regulations. In 20(B), since 1990, only 53 animals 17 have been taken. In 20(D), since '90, only 142 animals have 18 been taken and in 20(E) where we have the Forty-Mile, we have 19 had since '90, we've had 1,750 animals taken. So we have had a 20 number of species. taken or a number of animals taken there.

The recommendation, basically -- what I did is I went 23 through this, looked at the communities that basically had met 24 the other criteria, either through -- basically through having 25 been recognized in the past as having c&t for something. And 26 they demonstrated harvesting caribou. And the conclusions 27 would be in 20(A) rural residents of Cantwell, Nenana, McKinley 28 Village and those domiciled between milepost 216 and 239. 29 Again, this will have no effect because we have no seasons, we 30 probably won't have.

31 32

20(B) would be rural residents of 20(B) and Tanana. 33 Again, we have no season.

34 35

20(C) would be rural residents of 20(C) living east of 36 the Teklanika River, residents of Cantwell, Manley Hot Springs, 37 Minto, Nenana, Nikolai, Tanana, Talida, McKinley Village, and 38 individuals between milepost 216 and 239 and between milepost 39 300 and 309. And these are basically individuals identified in 40 here, people that already have a c&t for moose or bear, they 41 have a positive c&t.

42

43 20(D) and (E) would be rural residents of 20(D) and (E) 44 and Unit 12 north of the Wrangell/St. Elias Park and Preserve.

45 46

20(F) and 25(D) is also in there, it would be rural 47 residents of Unit 20(F), 25(D) and Manley.

48

49 And, as I say, the thing that perhaps to keep in mind 50 is this proposal is not going to provide any additional

opportunity to anybody. There are about five or six people that have submitted it, or organizations. Stevens Village, Upper Tanana/Fortymile Fish and Game Advisory Committee, BLM, 4 Kobuk District, Native Village of Dot Lake, Minto/Nenana Fish and Game Advisory Committee.

5 6 7

MR. P. TITUS: As a member of Minto/Nenana I got to 8 support this proposal. I'm the vice-chair. The guys from 9 Nenana go out to the highway and kill caribou. Go toward 10 McKinley. You can hunt in McKinley Park for subsistence, I 11 think. I'm pretty sure, maybe. No, there was another herd 12 there too, wasn't there? Isn't there another herd down there 13 around Nenana?

14 15

MR. SHERROD: In the past there was a herd down there 16 but again, we haven't had a season in 20 years in Unit 20(C) 17 which is where the park is, so.....

18 19

MR. P. TITUS: But we didn't want to give up no 20 subsistence either. We give it up on paper it's all gone.

21

22 MR. SHERROD: Well, I think -- let me look real quick 23 here.

24 25

MR. P. TITUS: We'll never get it back. The government 26 never gives back anything.

27 28

MR. SHERROD: Currently for Unit 20(A), (C) and (D) we 29 have -- except for the Forty-Mile Herd, we have a no 30 determination. So currently all rural residents can hunt the 31 area, except we have no season, so.....

32 33

MR. P. TITUS: You got no c&t findings for Minto.

34

35 MR. SHERROD: For 20(A), 20(C) and 20(D). And the 36 reason I think we don't have any c&t findings for those, the 37 State didn't make any because they basically have never had 38 seasons.

39

40 MR. L. TITUS: So there's no State regulations for 41 several....

42

43 MR. SHERROD: There is a State hunt in -- but not a 44 Federal hunt. There's a State hunt in Unit -- what do we have 45 here? 20(A) has a drawing permit hunt, 20(B) has a 46 registration hunt and a drawing permit, depending on the part 47 of the subunit and a regular season. 20(C) has no season at 48 all under State or Federal. 20(D) has a registration hunt, so 49 the State does have some hunts, but the harvest coming out of 50 there, as I say, is extremely low except for 20(E). I think if

you look at -- let's see if I can find it. If you look at the table on page 119 you'll see in 20(D) there's been a -- they've issued 510 permits, there's only been 142 animals taken.

And if you look at even in 20(E) where we have basically the Forty-Mile Herd, in '95 there was 1,154 permits issues, 144 animals taken, in '96 there was 373 permits issued and only 57 animals taken. So there's not a lot of caribou.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead.

MR. L. TITUS: Well, I think one of the -- I didn't understand why we're dealing with this now, but -- the reason is because I know down the Minto Flats area, the 20(C) area they used to get caribous up there back in the '40s. I heard a lot of stories of them having caribou in that area. And they never -- I think there was one small herd that showed up couple of winters ago down there, but all the elderly people were excited to see caribou back there on that land and they disappeared again.

And there's also the same incident from Northway. They 23 don't have caribou up around in our area, in our village, for 24 about 40 years. And it was just within the last 10-12 years, 25 14 years, something like that, that the caribou came around our 26 village. And I don't know if there's some kind of cycle or 27 feeding ground, I don't know what it is, but I can understand 28 even though there's no regulations there to at least have 29 something in place.

MR. NICHOLIA: What I really hate to see is like the 32 Tanana residents in 20(C) if there's ever, like, caribou across 33 that -- on the south side of the Tanana River and they're not 34 allowed to hunt them if there ever there. I sure hate to see 35 them miss out on that opportunity or even Manley or Minto 36 residents.

MR. JONATHAN: Chuck, I would like a few minutes. I 39 would like to introduce Isabell John, an elder from Tanacross, 40 if I can, give her a few minutes because she can't sit around 41 here all day and she just wants to say something on subsistence 42 here.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead.

46 (Transcriber's note: The following testimony was typed 47 as spoken with a minimum use of [sic] to attempt to capture 48 what was being said.)

MS. JOHN: I'm Isabell John from Tanacross and I live

in here for many years, ever since I born in 1922, March 15. And I know how to live in Alaska, we know what to do, hunting and trapping. And we know when we going to stop trapping and fishing, we never throw away no food. Whatever we get our food in this Alaska.

5 6 7

9

We raised by Alaska food, berries and everything. And 8 we know all what's our food is in Alaska. That's how we used to be healthy and we used to strong and healthy, we work for 10 our food and we know how much we get our food for winter. 11 make dry meat and dry fish and we get fish oil and grease from 12 moose and caribou and we use skin and sinew. And we use all 13 the bones for elders. Even now elders are just likes all my 14 food in Alaska, what I raise from it.

15

16 And we really need, when we elders, we really need our 17 food. And our grandkids and our cousin, our nephew, they help 18 us. We all help each other. And just we do everything for 19 each other. We help each other. Now I really do a lot of 20 things for my grandkids to teach them how we raise in this 21 Alaska. And we don't throw away nothing, we don't waste 22 nothing. We get all our food, how much we need in winter and 23 summer and all -- even muskrat, we just dry. And in May, first 24 of May we just get ducks come out and we glad to have ducks, 25 too. All winter we don't eat ducks, so springtime we kill the 26 ducks and we save all that for our grandkids. Our grandkids 27 like Native food, too.

28 29

And we teach our kids what we raised in this Alaska. 30 And we really think our grandkids try to learn our way, how our 31 parents raised us with our food. And we learn lot of things. 32 Our elders, we turn around and teach our kids what we eat and 33 how we hunt. I teach my grandkids how to shoot with target and 34 now they learn and they know how to do it theirself (sic). 35 they hungry, they go out and get grouse or rabbit or how to 36 skin and all of that. We tell them not to waste food.

37 38

And all in Alaska Native people we help each other. 39 Even my nephew down there, that Pat, is down in Healy Lake. 40 He's down there, I have nothing, I can sent word down to him 41 and he can, if he had something, he can send a little package That's how we help each other. That's my -- my nephew 42 to me. 43 down there in Healy Lake, they bug him for his land. He's the 44 first one come from there down Healy Lake.

45

46 We know all each other in the village. Healy Lake, 47 Northway, Tetlin and we stay over there and we move this time. 48 And close to road so we never go out hunt too much. When we 49 stay over there we just go out hunt, we can do our business 50 over there. And we live on this side, we never hardly go out

14 15

20 21

33

47

1 hunt. And we cross road and just hardly we go out hunt get our food. And too many non-glade (sic) just go around all over.
3 Non-glade (sic), this mean all the whiteman cover all highway and we have no place to hunt and get our food, less our nephew and grandkids. Now my grandkids can hunt for me or my nephew, all, we help each other like that.

And I hope -- in Mansfield, Lake Mansfield, we used to make fish for fish trap. We used to make that and with dip 10 net, even we elders we use dip net to get our own fish. We 11 help -- our grandkids help us and we use dip net to get our 12 fish and there's open. Now they scared to build that bridge 13 for weir, for dip net.

And why are Native, that's our own way, our own village 16 where we raised, where my dad and mom use for trapline and 17 hunting place, we got to use that, our grandkids got to use 18 that. They don't have to bug. We used never, nobody bother 19 us, nobody run our life in this Alaska.

When we teenagers we know what to do and nobody tell us. That's low, low -- you see, moose open, caribou open.

Never was like that. We just go out -- when we need meat we go out about 20-40 miles and we see caribou, we kill caribou and we dry it there and we bring it and we share. We never was chase us around and boss around in this Alaska, longtime ago. And why don't Native should not be bothered. That's their land. That's their great-grandpa's land. They can use that and no used to come to there and "you kill moose, huh? You kill caribou?" That's low. That their food. That's our food, we raised like that. We raised by Alaska food, berries and everything.

Our elders, if we know -- we finished school, we know 35 how to read, how to write, we can make big book and so they can 36 pass around whole United States and they can read and they know 37 how Alaska Indian raised by food and use their food. As for 38 our grandkids, our grandkids got to use our land. We teach 39 them what to get and not to waste. That's how we get kids. 40 And right now we turn around and teach our grandkids and our 41 grandkids got to use our land, like my nephew over there, down 42 there in Healy Lake. Great-great-grandkids, great-great -- all 43 great-grandma and great-grandpa use that land way where no 44 Whiteman in this world they have their village. I have my own 45 village, Northway, and all the Native people, they have their 46 own village.

And they chase around in here one Greek man just 49 because he's married my cousin too that, what they call down 50 there big shot in Healy Lake. He don't belong in there, he

should just get out of there and let them boys use that land and what they want to have -- what they want food, they can help theirself (sic) in -- I know my aunt raised them, that boys. They're great-grandma raised them and they used that land over there and that man is big shot because he married Native ladies. He should shut up and wear a little patch. He have little log house, he should sit there and he should let -- he shouldn't bother the Native boys down there. We hear about him too much and we can't do nothing. Someone should look after him and he should just get out of there, he don't belong to Healy Lake, them boys on that land, that's where their great-grandpa and great-grandma raised them.

All that is too much. They bug us and that's too much. Is I hope they leave alone our grandkids. Where we used to have land they use that, I hope they let them use that and they not going to bother them. We worry, we elders and now we hear all kinds of low, low, low. That's too much for us, our elders. We never raised like that in our life. And they're going to have to read and know that's low. Us, we never say that. We get all what we want, we know how much we get. We just share with our elders, too.

I used to take care of elders. Whole village or just one by one. Some other come, I check with them and now I'm elder, I'm in their shoes, my grandma. I used to think, gee, grandma, this is food and no -- grandma say, no, I need some duck soup or meat. And we tell each other and we cook for grandma and we help her and all that. Nowadays, I don't think this -- we scare each other. We just pray. Even we hungry we can't get nothing and we afraid each other sometime.

And we used to stay out at Ulu Village, there's big 34 meeting like this, all our village people was like this and 35 they talked to each other. And they got to tell him help that 36 lady that's widow. If caribou up big hill. One or two boys 37 got it. If you get two caribou try to bring for that widow, 38 she got too many kids. That's the way they make the meeting 39 for the help each other. And they -- some ladies there alone, 40 she can't go out get their meat, they bring some meat and they 41 bring some fish. That's the way we raised and all the way 42 through we always think like that. All elders we think like 43 that.

And now, what's going on? Just like we chased us away 46 and that's our land, that's State, that's our land, Alaska, we 47 raised in Alaska, where that state come from? And where they 48 come out from? Their great-grandma and great-grandpa land? 49 Every since 1922 I use all my land and right now I'm 77, 76 and 50 even that they take me up with boat and I go whatever I want to

1 do in Mansfield. I go out, get my gun, I still shoot birds and 2 I still kill ducks and rabbits for myself.

And this camp, I'm not good shape so, I let my nephew or my grandkids do that for me or my cousin. We share everything and I hope they don't bother Native people in this Alaska too much. Our grandkids and all. That's the way we want and no fish place, no dip net place, just wide opened and no camp for fishing to come in the creek in boat, boat, lo now they quit. We just use fishnet on the lake, not much fish now. Lake Mansfield.

And last year I was going to go down stay with my
14 nephew for fishing and I hear that big shot down there. I
15 don't want you tell me something, so I don't have to tell him
16 off, so I stay away. But this year I got to go down, stay with
17 my nephew fishing. And that -- what's his name that man?

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Paul Kirstadt (ph).

MS. JOHN: Paul Kirstadt (ph)?

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yeah.

MS. JOHN: He's the one, he don't own down there. And 26 this year, if I feel good, I'm going to go down fishing, he 27 better stay away from me. I do my own business, fishing. 28 That's not his land, that's my uncle's and my grandpa's land 29 down there. So I'm going to go down there fishing, make dry 30 fish for myself.

Even Northway sometime we go up there, stay two, three 33 days, get little fish. Sometime we go to Tetlin and get some 34 ducks and fish and muskrat. We do that. And this time I don't 35 think, no, their grandpa never used to say that. Nowadays, no, 36 we can't -- you can't hunt on my land, you can't fish and you 37 can't hunt. Now Natives start to think who said that long time 38 ago, their grandpa never say that. Their grandma, their 39 grandpa they share village to village. All the Native village 40 in this Alaska we help each other. And this time I don't know, 41 young generation, I don't know much.

My days is really good, these great. That's how we 44 healthy, we don't know aspirin, we don't know no medicine, our 45 feet just with moccasin. We swim or stay till midnight and we 46 never have running nose and we never get sick because we eat 47 good food. And now they put -- the moose, they put him to 48 sleep and sheep and they put to sleep, they go way out in 49 someplace else and that medicine it's good to work and have 50 good food or what? All this kind of things, all they do

everything. And our ducks and meat and -- we used to trap and my dad trapped in May, in the middle of May.

5

One time I went out with my dad and I was small. I big enough to walk around and I don't know what my dad looking for. 6 And he looked for wolf, little puppy, wolf. And he find one and my dad stand around there gun and go crawl in there and get that little puppy and take it out. And even they sell that little wolf puppy. The sell that, so I don't know, me, I don't 10 know nothing about it, so I just crawl in and I thought that 11 was little puppy. I just crawl in and I feel around and I grab 12 their little hand and I take them all to my dad and by this 13 time widow man just hit him and they hit with stick and leave 14 there and I thought we had bunch of little puppy. And I come 15 out and where's that little puppy and my dad say, no, it's not 16 puppy. That's little animals, we got to skin them and we got 17 to sell it to give us money and we get our groceries. You sit 18 there and look around for berries are still in.

19 20

My dad skin that and we pack the skin and we come down 21 and we have grocery store over there and we sell that skin. 22 That's how Alaska, not much caribou. Now nobody buy nothing, 23 so wolf just grow up and they just get tired of wolf, they 24 don't know what to do with wolf. A long time ago they just --25 Native all hunt around for wolf den and they take all the 26 puppies out and buy the skin and bone, that's the way the used 27 to do that. And now they can't do nothing so it -- I don't 28 know what they going to do. They told Native, go out, get your 29 trap.

30 31

And tomorrow, too, I have little story for you to let 32 you know how we came in this Alaska. Thank you for listening.

33 34

(Applause)

35 36

MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman, we're part way into 102 and 37 we have a kind of commitment to teleconference with Eagle at 38 2:30 or 2:45. I don't know what the direction we should do 39 now, to leave 102 or do we close with 102 or go on to 105.

40 41

MR. P. TITUS: One more thing on Minto Flats. 42 area, we got four tribes in our Athabaskan and one of them are 43 the caribou tribe, so when they adopted the resources that were 44 there, except for me. I came from a little tribe and there's 45 no animal that's come from little tribe.

46 47

So what we need on 102?

48 MR. L. TITUS: There's no motion.

49 50

MR. P. TITUS: There's no motion on 102?

```
00094
1
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: We could get back to it.
2
3
           MR. L. TITUS: Since there's no Federal land there, I
4 don't know, we (indiscernible).....
5
6
           MR. FLEENER: There's Federal land in 20(C).
7
8
           MR. L. TITUS: I speak in favor of adopting this
9 regulation.
10
11
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Is there a second.
12
13
          MR. P. TITUS: Second.
14
15
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Any comments?
16
17
          MR. FLEENER: Are you in favor of the proposal as it
18 stands or as the staff has amended it?
19
20
          MR. P. TITUS: What's the amendment?
21
22
           MR. FLEENER: Well, the staff recommendation has
23 changed that a little bit.
24
25
           MR. L. TITUS: Staff recommendation.
26
27
           CHAIRMAN MILLER:
                            In favor of staff recommendation. Is
28 there any more comments?
29
30
           MR. MATHEWS: Is the motion, then, to adopt the staff
31 recommendation or was it to adopt the proposal as written?
32
33
           MR. FLEENER: Adopt staff recommendation, but I'd still
34 like to hear from Fish and Game and the public.
35
36
          CHAIRMAN MILLER: Hey, Craig, you got anything to say
37 on 102?
38
39
                         I guess I can only speak for that portion
           MR. GARDNER:
40 (indiscernible)
41
42
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Got anything?
43
44
          MR. SHERROD: Yeah, I excluded Lake Minchumina, it
45 should be listed in there if you adopt this. Again, 20(C),
46 whatever action you take is going to be pretty much moot
47 because you'll have to establish a season. The only place we
48 do have a season is 20(F) and that's that little piece of
49 ground, you divide it up in three areas, the corridor, there's
50 been one moose taken out of there in the last eight years.
```

```
00095
1 Excuse me, one caribou in the last eight years, so any action
2 you take now, we'd have to have animals there, we would
3 probably have to do an 804 situation, which is on a different
  standard, there's different criteria than this. And, you know,
5 we'd have to establish a season and a bag limit and all that
6
  other stuff.
7
8
           So the only one of these units that would have possibly
9 some impact is the 20(D) and (E), because you do have the
10 Forty-Mile in there and that's basically right there is the
11 existing c&t for that area. And again, as I say, if you open
12 it up much more than this you got a finite number of animals,
13 what is it 150 cap?
14
15
           MR. GARDNER: Yeah, but that's right now.
16
17
          MR. SHERROD:
                         Right.
18
19
          MR. GARDNER:
                         That is going to be adjusted in three
20 more years, so.....
21
22
           MR. FLEENER:
                         Question.
23
24
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: All in favor of Proposal 102, the
25 staff recommendation....
26
27
          MR. SHERROD: We need to put Minchumina in with 20(C),
28 that was my error.
29
30
           CHAIRMAN MILLER:
                            .....with Lake Minchumina in 20(C).
31 All in favor signify by saying aye.
32
33
           IN UNISON:
                      Aye.
34
35
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Opposed same sign.
36
37
           (No opposing responses)
38
39
           MR. P. TITUS: Mr. Chairman, I call for a break.
40
41
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Five minute break.
42
43
          MR. MATHEWS: I think, Mr. Chairman, you can take.
44 it is exactly five minutes because we got to get Eagle on line,
45 we had trouble with the phone earlier. Take five and then
46 we'll get Eagle right on and do 105 and we got the Park
47 Service.
48
49
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Deal.
```

00096 1 (Off record) 2 3 (On record) 4 5 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay, I'd like to call this meeting 6 back to order. MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman, we have Charlie House in 9 Eagle on the phone. Charlie, can you hear me? 10 11 MR. HOUSE: Yes, I can. 12 13 MR. MATHEWS: Okay, I need to adjust your volume here, 14 Charlie, and then I'm going to briefly go over who's here and 15 et cetera and then turn it over to Pete DeMatteo to layout 16 where we're at. 17 18 Charlie House is the representative from the Eagle 19 Advisory Committee and present here, Charlie, is the Eastern 20 Interior Regional Advisory Council, with the Chair being Chuck 21 Miller, Sr. out of Dot Lake. I won't go through all the staff 22 that's present here, Charlie, but the ones that you are 23 familiar with are obviously Pete DeMatteo, for Park Service 24 there's Steve Ulvi, John Birch, Janis Meldrum and somebody else 25 I may have left out. And for Fish and Game, Craig Gardner is 26 here, the area biologist. 27 28 If you can't hear us, Charlie, please interrupt us and 29 so we can make sure you hear us and we can hear you. And 30 obviously, Council, if the line drops off like it has twice 31 today, we'll try to pick up Charlie again. If the continues to 32 drop off then we'll make other arrangements to get the 33 information forward. So with that I'll turn it over to Pete. 34 And, Pete, if you can verify he can you hear you then we'll 35 keep this rolling. 36 37 MR. DeMATTEO: Mr. House, this is Pete DeMatteo, can 38 you hear me okay? 39 40 MR. HOUSE: Yes, I can, sir, but it's not real clear, 41 but, yeah, I can make your voice out and so we can just try it 42 see what goes here. 43 MR. DeMATTEO: Is that any better? 44 45 46 MR. HOUSE: Yes, that is better. 47 48 MR. DeMATTEO: Very good. Mr. Chair, I'd like to start 49 with Proposal 105 and give a very brief and, hopefully, not to 50 confusing background of Proposal 105 which was submitted by the

Eagle Fish and Game Advisory Committee back in November, along with three other proposals, which was Proposal 103, 106 and 107, along with 105.

Quickly, 103 was a c&t proposal which was withdrawn by the committee and also 106 and 107 which were amendments or changes to seasons and bags, which was also withdrawn by the committee.

10 105, through a committee action back in January was
11 amended by committee action by the committee. As reflected in
12 proposal that you have in the blue handout, which I passed out
13 to you, and you'll see the proposal on page two. If you look
14 in the second page, halfway down, it says proposed regulation.
15 From there, and also the following page, that is the proposed
16 regulation.

Basically it deals with Unit 20(E) remainder, 25(B) the 19 Kandik Nation River portion of 25(B) and 25(C) within the lands 20 administered by the National Park Service, namely the Yukon 21 Charley River's National Preserve.

To keep this as brief as possible, back in January when the proponent, the Eagle Advisory Committee made their committee action they also proposed the creation of a late winter season, which would be March 1st through March 15th, in those three subunits. When I did the analysis on this it was a preliminary rough analysis with a little bit of population information that I had obtained from the Park Service through a population survey that they did late last year. I had recommended that we adopt the proposal.

However, since then I've taken a much closer look at the data that the Park Service collected and I see now that there is a need to place more conservative measures in the preliminary staff recommendation. If a late winter season, the March 1 through March 15 proposed season were to be established it would be important to also establish certain conservative measures along with the season. And that's with respect to considering the population dynamics of the moose in that area, the condition of the bulls in March.

With that the preliminary staff recommendation was to 44 put a harvest limit, a harvest cap of five bulls for that 45 particular area, be it Unit 20(C), 25(B) and 25(C) by Federal 46 registration permit. When five bulls were harvested then the 47 season would be closed.

And that's to bring you up to date as far as my input 50 on it. And I understand, just last evening, the Eagle Advisory

Committee had a meeting and they developed a second committee action, which you have before you on the white page. It says Eagle Advisory Committee, Committee Action.

It says here that they wish to -- Proposal 103 which was withdrawn, it says, the Eagle Advisory Committee has reviewed its previous action and as found c&t should be determined for this area. Proposal 105, which is what I just briefed you on says the committee proposes an amendment to Proposal 105 by dropping Unit 25(C) entirely from the proposal.

The proposed regulation amendment to Proposal 105 is as 13 follows: The fall season is Unit 20(E) moose within the 14 preserve only, to be August 20th through September 30th, one 15 antlered bull. For Unit 25(B) within the preserve and August 16 20th through September 30. Again, one antlered bull.

Concerning the winter season, and this would contingent 19 upon the completion of a c&t determination for moose within the 20 preserve area, for Unit 20(E) and 25(B) it would be the same 21 proposed March season, March 1 through 15th, one bull for 20(E) 22 and 25(B). And there, again, they've dropped 25(C) by this 23 committee action.

25 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Any more comments? Anything else 26 or.....

MR. MATHEWS: I think the best use of time right now 29 would be to hear from Charlie House on why they -- the 30 reasoning behind their actions that they just took recently, 31 the last day or two. Charlie, can you still....

MR. HOUSE: I think, you know, what we have here that 34 we had just gone over here on your last page, you know, 103 and 35 105. I think we got thinking about is if we had permit system 36 right here in Eagle, I think that that would be the best way to 37 have it set up. And we can keep, you know, pretty close tabs 38 on, you know, what's happening over here. And I don't think 39 it'll -- I think it'll be a very small impact on the area. And 40 I guess we would like c&t, you know, to go along with each one 41 these proposals. I think that would probably work the best for 42 us in the long term.

I don't think we're asking for that much on these extra 45 seasons and I think it could be utilized here by the people of 46 the area. And we all, last night, pretty much agreed that this 47 would really probably work the best if we operated with the 48 permits right out of Eagle here, right out of the Park Service 49 headquarters in Eagle.

And then we also had it written up that we would have 2 to report, you know, within five days if we did have a kill so they could keep close tabs on it so when we got our five we 4 could close it down. I think it's something that could be easily controlled here in Eagle and it just seems like it would probably work best for us if it would work for you guys.

MR. MATHEWS: We're all just thinking right now, the 9 phone hasn't dropped off.

10 11

5

MR. FLEENER: This is Craig Fleener. One question I 12 have, we don't have Proposal 103 before us, I don't think 13 anyways, and I'm wondering what communities are you wanting to 14 include in the c&t determination?

15 16

MR. HOUSE: Well, it would be in 20(E) and 25(B) is the 17 area that we would include in each one of these proposals, 103 18 and 105.

19 20

MR. FLEENER: So is he saying that all the communities 21 in 20(E) and 25(B)? I don't have the proposal.

22 23

MR. MATHEWS: Well, for all that are present and for 24 Charlie, the book that they are looking at because of the 25 previous committee action, Proposal 103 is not in the book, so 26 we're trying to find a copy of your original 103.

27 28

MS. MEEHAN: I think, there's 103 right here. 29 copy of 103. This is Rosa Meehan for Charlie's benefit. I 30 just want to point out before we go too far down any of these 31 paths we cannot introduce a c&t analysis into this year at this 32 time. If you want to pursue this it's going to have to be in 33 the next regulatory cycle just because of where we are in the 34 process. And so we have to deal with the -- you know, the 35 pieces we got in front of us, and the proposal we got in front 36 of us is for a season. So we have to look at the season and 37 evaluate the season with the existing c&t for that geographic 38 area. And then if you want to amend the c&t that's going to 39 have to happen next year.

40 41

MR. FLEENER: So we're only looking at 105, we're not 42 looking at 103 then?

43 44

MS. MEEHAN: Correct.

45

46 MR. HOUSE: Yeah, that sounds real clear with us over 47 here and, you know, we understand that to be flexible. And, 48 you know, whatever it takes, you know, that's fine. You know, 49 if it takes -- if it will have to wait until the next hunting 50 season or however you were to work it out, you have your

schedule there that you have to work with and we'll certainly be flexible here, you know, with that.

MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman, did I understand Mr. House to mean then that if this season was adopted by the Board that they would delay implementing that season until there's a c&t determination?

9 MR. HOUSE: Yeah, on the fax that I had sent you this 10 morning, it talks about winter season and it would be, you 11 know, contingent on the completion of the Proposal 103 c&t, you 12 know, that was written there. I think you have that on there 13 with you, don't you?

MS. MEEHAN: We do. Basically, if I understand what 16 you're saying, Mr. House, it would be that -- essentially what 17 you're asking to do then is to go ahead and defer this proposal 18 until next year and then look at both 103 and your new proposal 19 as you've got it written here; is that correct?

MR. HOUSE: I believe that is correct, yeah, we would 22 like, you know -- with these two proposals what we're really 23 looking at is to have the c&t attached with them is the bottom 24 line.

MS. MEEHAN: Okay.

MR. FLEENER: And you understand that we cannot address 29 the c&t right not so that there would be no change until next 30 year because we would be deferring this or tabling it.

MR. HOUSE: We understand that, sir, and we're more than willing to wait till it works out with you on your schedule. It's not a problem, but the main reason for our meeting last night was to make sure that we had this information for you with a c&t, you know. I think it's no problem with us over here, we'll be more than flexible to wait.

MR. MATHEWS: I think since we have Mr. House on the 40 line it might be wise at this moment to explain what appears to 41 be your growing policy with c&t, how you are applying c&t, so 42 we could pay for the phone line. But basically explain what 43 your position is on that so he understands.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go right ahead.

47 MR. MATHEWS: Okay, thank you. No, I'm not going to 48 explain it.

MR. FLEENER: You don't want to explain it. He's got a

mouthful of raisins, hold on.

3 4 discussing -- what's been on the mind of the Council and quite 5 a few people is doing c&t determinations that include adjacent 9

subunits so we're not leaving people out that are in the neighboring subunit because the c&t process, as it is, tends to 8 restrict people. And the way you guys have your Proposal 103 written would restrict everybody except Eagle -- I just gave it 10 away, but except for Eagle, Central and Circle, I believe. 11 the communities down river from you guys would be excluded. 12 All the neighboring communities would be excluded in that. 13 what we've been thinking about doing and what we've started 14 doing is including the adjacent subunits.

Well, this is Craig Fleener again and what we've been

15 16

And that's it in a nutshell.

17 18

MR. HOUSE: Yeah. Well, what we're looking at is it 19 would still be open for everybody to hunt this -- you know, we 20 have the regular season which is probably the most, you know, 21 probably liberal moose hunting season we have on the river. 22 And that's for September 5th to the 25th, so it leaves a pretty 23 open window for other people to hunt in that area. We're just 24 talking about the area in the Yukon Charley on these proposals.

25 26

So, you know, I don't think that -- you know, our main 27 concern is, you know, we wouldn't be cutting out other people 28 so much, it's just kind of giving us a little open window of 29 opportunity to hunt. But I understand where you're coming 30 from, too, as far as if you lived, you know, pretty close to 31 this. But as it's worked in the past there hasn't been many 32 people coming in hunting from other units or other rural areas. 33 It's just kind of like a little window of opportunity for 34 locals here is all that we were really looking at.

35 36

MR. MATHEWS: My intention was not to get into a debate 37 on c&t. My intention was to signal to the Eagle Advisory 38 Committee that it appears to be the policy of Eastern Interior 39 to do more comprehensive c&ts or what we're coining as adjacent 40 subunits than to doing more defined c&t. So I suppose what we 41 could say is we look forward to your proposal next round.

42 43

And unless Craig Gardner with the State wants to -- and 44 Steve Ulvi -- everyone is pointing at each other here, Charlie, 45 but anyways.....

46 47

MR. ULVI: Hi, Charlie, Steve Ulvi.

48 49

MR. HOUSE: Steve?

```
00102
```

MR. ULVI: Yeah. A couple of clarifications. One is what Craig Fleener just described to you, is a proposal in itself. The Board has not acted on that yet neither, so it's a concept. They've been talking about it here for a couple of years, I think it's a good one, it deserves serious consideration by the Board. But it is a proposal for a policy or a way to look at things.

9 So I think you folks need to continue to sit back and 10 think about what's going to serve your needs best and go ahead 11 and work on a proposal and see how that goes at the Board 12 meeting in May.

The second thing, Charlie, I wanted to ask for 15 clarification. Now it sounds as though you're willing to wait 16 on Proposal 103, resubmit it and have that c&t determination be 17 made so that you feel more comfortable with having a March 18 season; is that right?

20 MR. HOUSE: Yes, sir. Yeah, that's right, that's what 21 we were looking at.

MR. ULVI: And then the proposal that your committee 24 sent in this morning for Proposal 105, you would still like 25 that to be considered without the c&t determination this time 26 around, just for the Units 25(B) and 20(E) to extend the season 27 for one antlered bull within the preserve?

MR. HOUSE: That's correct.

MR. ULVI: Okay, thank you, Charlie.

MR. HOUSE: Okay, thank you.

35 MR. GARDNER: Charlie, this is Craig Gardner, sorry I 36 missed you earlier.

MR. HOUSE: Yes, Craig.

40 MR. GARDNER: Charlie, I was kind of wondering like -41 we've talked about this before in your meetings, what number
42 has Eagle kicked around that would like to see more moose
43 hunts. I mean, just like how many more moose do you think the
44 community and Eagle Village needs?

MR. HOUSE: Hello.

48 MR. GARDNER: Hello. I mean, do you have like, you 49 know, like five, 10, 15 moose?

00103 1 MR. FLEENER: I don't think your on his mike. 2 3 MR. MATHEWS: Craig, I think you're either just going 4 to have to move up or -- kick Pete out or. Charlie, he 5 was.... 6 7 Yeah, one of these microphones here. MR. FLEENER: 8 MR. MATHEWS: Charlie, Craig's just trying to ask some 9 10 questions about what you're looking for, so he'll define that 11 further. 12 13 MR. GARDNER: Okay, we'll try again, Charlie, can you 14 hear me now? 15 16 MR. HOUSE: Okay, yeah, that's nice and clear. 17 18 MR. GARDNER: I guess I was trying to get at how many 19 moose -- I mean when you guys on the committee have kicked 20 around, how many more moose do you think the community of Eagle 21 and Eagle Village needs? 22 23 MR. HOUSE: Well, I think that, you know, we -- you 24 know, in that area we'd be quite flexible. If it looks like we 25 were overharvesting, we would certainly be willing to cut back. 26 I mean, we're looking for a long-term solution on our hunting. 27 And I don't think the impact would be more than five more 28 moose, if that, even, on these other hunts. And especially 29 with the March hunt, you know, we would have it set up with a 30 permit. And we've all, you know, have talked about if there is 31 an overharvest everybody has to, you know, pitch in. You know, 32 like what we had done, you know, with the caribou. 33 34 But we think right now, looking at the surveys, that we 35 could have this extra five moose, say, that we could take and I 36 don't think that the impact would be much at all. 37 38 MR. GARDNER: Yeah, I agree with that, but I was 39 wondering what this, you know, somewhat of 11 days of hunting 40 in August, you know, for any bull, I almost wonder if you're 41 not going to get, you know, a higher harvest during that period 42 and it that harvest in itself can basically take up the need of 43 Eagle and Eagle Village and then the March season wouldn't even 44 be a consideration. 45 46 MR. HOUSE: Well, you know, again we'd have to leave it 47 up to the people that are in charge of each survey. And if 48 they think down there that we should do this, they would just 49 have to really let us know because, you know, we're quite

50 flexible over here, but, you know, it would just depend on how

many people could utilize it. You know, we're hunting for meat here so in August, sure it would be nice if we could get one early. So again, it's just kind of giving us an opportunity where we would be willing to be flexible.

If at any time they thought it was overharvest that, 7 you know, we could work with them. So it just gives us that 8 much more of an opportunity to take advantage of it and, you 9 know, I don't think it's asking for that much as long as we 10 realize that at any point, you know, if we're told that things 11 aren't looking good that we'll have to go with the flow. So 12 right now we think that it would be good if we could get these 13 two proposals in. And we think that it would be a very low 14 impact.

15 16

MR. GARDNER: All right. Thanks, Chuck.

17 18

MR. HOUSE: Okay, thank you.

19 20

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Is there any more questions or 21 comments from....

22 23

MR. GOOD: I have a question. What is the current 24 bull:cow ratio and the cow:calf ratio in the area in question?

25 26

MR. HOUSE: I'd have to go get my other information. 27 You might talk with Steve there. They had the survey done down 28 there. I don't know if you have any of that information with 29 you right there, Steve.

30 31

MR. ULVI: Yeah, we do, Charlie, we'll get John Birch 32 on the line here. Thank you.

33 34

MR. BIRCH: Charlie, this is John Birch. 35 believe the question was what's the bull:cow ratio and the 36 calf:cow ratios? And the bull:cow ratio is about 60 bulls per 37 100 cows. This is based on our last survey, last November. 38 And about 30 calves per 100 cows.

39

40 The one thing we could emphasize is that the, you know, 41 the people living and hunting in Alaska often think of a pretty 42 large tracks of land, and granted Yukon Charley isn't a tiny 43 place, but when you spread a fairly low density moose 44 population over just Yukon Charley it's not a whole lot of 45 moose, but I think we're in agreement with Craig that an 46 additional five moose is not going to impact the population.

47

48 MR. GOOD: Well, I think I probably have the reverse. 49 I don't see why it's limited to five. It seems to me it 50 wouldn't hurt to take six, seven, eight, 10. I don't hear any

real reason for limiting it to five. What is the total population then? Sixty-five bulls on a 100 is really high.

7

MR. BIRCH: I've got a lot more information here, I 5 don't know if I can talk both places at the same time, use some overheads, but I think the way I can address that is we've run some modeling where you can project into time about 10 years and initially -- man, I mean 65 bull per 100 cows is a lot. And if we harvested -- the average harvest for the area that 10 we're looking at in Yukon Charley has been about 20 moose over 11 the last 14 years.

12 13

MR. FLEENER: Twenty moose per year?

14 15

MR. BIRCH: Right. Yeah, 20 moose per year over the 16 last 14 years and about the last seven years it was up to about 17 25 moose, so in that range. So what I did was I kind of tried 18 to project quite a bit more, a much larger harvest, and when 19 you get up to about 40 bulls, an average of 40 bulls per year 20 for an extending period of time, say about 10 years, that 21 bull:cow ratio drops quite quickly as does the total population 22 actually starts to decrease slightly.

23

24 Now this is all assuming everything stays exactly the 25 way it is, you know, there's going to be great variation in 26 weather, particularly there's going to be a lot of variation in 27 calf survival and the recruitment of yearlings into the 28 population. So you got to kind of realize that this projection 29 for 10 years ahead is kind just a good look way to look at how 30 different amounts of harvest are going to affect the same 31 population that we have right now today. But there's going to 32 be a lot of variation over time.

33 34

MR. FLEENER: What did you base your harvest on, what 35 numbers per year were you taking out with your hunt?

36 37

MR. BIRCH: I started out taking out about 40 bulls per 38 year and dropped that to about 35. And if we're looking at an 39 average harvest right now, say, since the last seven years was 40 25, say we've got about 25 and I added 10 on to that, that's 35 41 bulls and right in there it remained about stable. 42 bull:cow ratio dropped down to about 35 to 40 and kind of 43 leveled out. And that all seems really good.

44

45 So I think our ultimate recommendation was that, you 46 know, we probably wouldn't want to go over 10 bulls. 47 Additional 10.

48

49 MR. G. SAM: Mr. Chair, this was the '96 statistics 50 you're talking about or.....

00106 1 MR. BIRCH: '97. 2 3 MR. G. SAM: Other than human, is there any other 4 predators up there, like, wolves or..... 5 6 MR. BIRCH: Oh, yeah. 7 MR. G. SAM: What's the calf:cow ratio? 8 9 10 Right now it's about 30, 30 calves per 100 MR. BIRCH: 11 cows. 12 13 Survival rate is good? MR. G. SAM: 14 15 MR. BIRCH: And another statistic that's worth noting 16 is that there's about 17 yearlings per 100 cows, so in the 17 neighborhood of half those calves don't make it another 18 full.... 19 20 MR. G. SAM: But still that's pretty good. 21 22 MR. BIRCH: Oh, yeah, it's not too bad. I mean we're 23 not talking about something terrible, but on the other hand, if 24 you look at the total numbers of yearlings in the whole 25 population of Yukon Charley, you're looking at around 90 to 100 26 yearlings. Figure about half of those are bulls, a 50:50 sex 27 ratio. You got about 45 to 50 bulls being recruited into the 28 population every year. 29 30 MR. G. SAM: Are there a lot of wolves up there? 31 32 MR. BIRCH: I think there's probably like six or seven 33 wolves per 1,000 square kilometers, that sound about right to 34 you, Craig? Maybe a few more to the south, but that's 35 changing. 36 37 What's the ratio per moose, do you know? MR. FLEENER: 38 39 MR. BIRCH: That I don't know 40 41 MR. FLEENER: Do you know what the overall number of 42 the moose are that you counted recently? 43 44 MR. BIRCH: Yeah. 45 46 MR. GOOD: So they take only, what, 20 moose a year, 47 that's not very much impact on moose population. 48 49 MR. BIRCH: No, and I really should put a couple of 50 these maps up so we can be sure of what we're talking about, so

00107 I'm going to move on you, Charlie. 2 3 MR. HOUSE: Okay, thank you. 4 5 MR. BIRCH: I think what it all boils down to, what 6 we're talking about here is what piece of countryside we're 7 talking about. And the proposal all revolving around Yukon Charley National Preserve, the Federal lands just within the 9 preserve. And if I can pick it out here. This is what..... 10 11 MR. MATHEWS: You may want to confirm that Charlie can 12 hear you. 13 14 MR. BIRCH: Can you hear me at all, Charlie? 15 16 MR. HOUSE: Yes, I can now. It's clear. 17 18 MR. BIRCH: Okay, I'll try to keep speaking into this 19 microphone it seems like it helps anyway. You can't see this, 20 Charlie, but we got a map up of the Yukon Charley and there's 21 also the UCUs that surround the preserve, and that's the 22 boundary, this darkest line surround here, that's the area that 23 I drew a line on a map and these are the numbers, see if I can 24 get them all on there, that correspond to this piece of 25 country. 26 27 So I believe your question there was how many total 28 moose are there. We're looking at about 1,150 moose. 29 30 MR. FLEENER: Well, I just was going through some 31 numbers in my mind. I flew moose surveys in the Yukon Flats 32 for the last couple of years and our bull:cow ratio is much 33 lower than this. I don't remember our calf; cow ratio. Our 34 total moose population in the area is about the same, I think 35 it's around 1,200; is that right, Greg? 36 37 MR. McCLELLAN: I think about half of that, 600. 38 39 MR. FLEENER: Oh, yeah, for 1,500 square miles it would 40 be half of that. And we have a harvest in the Yukon Flats, all 41 the communities in Yukon Flats harvest about 150 moose a year. 42 And we take, probably, upwards to 50 cows a year. Now, these 43 aren't on the record but they just..... 44 45 MR. BIRCH: Out of a population of 600? 46 47 MR. FLEENER: Yes. No, I'm talking about -- there's 48 600 25(D) West, there's about another 600 25(D) East, and 49 there's about 1,200 actually, something like that. And when I 50 was doing surveys for CATG, we were doing household surveys, a

1 few of these moose would include up in the Upper Porcupine area 2 which we wouldn't have counted also, so there are some moose that would be thrown in there. But there's quite a few moose 4 being taken out of our population and a lower bull:cow ratio. 5 I think we have pretty good calf survival but I don't have all 6 the numbers at hand. I mean, it just seemed to me that 20 to 25 moose a year being killed, it seems like they could handle quite a bit more, I don't know.

10 MR. BIRCH: Well, I mean you can look at it in a pretty 11 simple fashion about how many yearlings are there each year, 12 you know, and.....

13

14 MR. FLEENER: Well, plus, where are your moose being 15 harvested? Is it extremely -- is it in an extremely localized 16 area or is it spread over.....

17 18

MR. BIRCH: Well, I think that's a really good point, 19 you know, let's -- if you look at the harvest statistics and 20 you look at this upper area of the Charley River area, in 14 21 years there were 12 moose harvested out of that area.

22 23

In which area are you pointing at? MR. FLEENER:

2.4 25

MR. BIRCH: Okay, I'm pointing to -- well, 305 and 104, 26 the UCUs. There were six moose in each one of those over a 14 27 year period. So it's basically a moose a year, if you want to 28 go on an average. So most of the moose are all harvested along 29 the river, up the Charley a little ways, up the Nation a little 30 ways, up the Kandik a little ways.

31 32

Am I right there, Charlie House, does that sound right 33 to you?

34 35

MR. HOUSE: That is true, yep.

36 37

MR. BIRCH: And so that's making this population that 38 we're really talking about even smaller. And actually you can 39 -- I did.....

40

41 MR. FLEENER: That's why I told him numbers can be a 42 little confusing.

43 44

MR. BIRCH: I did do that and here we are with a 45 smaller area. This is pretty much were we did our survey last 46 fall, and these are the numbers that come out of that. And so 47 we're, you know, down to a total moose of about 750 moose. 48 That's really where the harvest is taking place.

49 50

MR. SAYLOR: Excuse me, does Circle get advised of

00109 that? About harvesting of moose there? 3 MR. BIRCH: Oh, I'm sure they do, but that's up to..... 4 5 Don't they have traplines (indiscernible -MR. SAYLOR: 6 away from microphone).... 7 8 MR. FLEENER: Yeah, there's trappers that go out of 9 Circle up that way. 10 11 MR. P. TITUS: This is Phil Titus, I'm a Board member 12 or whatever. Is it this March season a pretty late season for 13 -- is the guy legal? 14 15 MR. BIRCH: Hey, Charlie, I think that was directed to 16 you. 17 18 I couldn't really quite read that, I'm MR. HOUSE: 19 sorry. 20 21 MR. BIRCH: He was just making a comment that he 22 thought it was a pretty late season and was wondering what your 23 response to that was? If I'm right. 24 25 MR. HOUSE: Yeah, it's true it's a late season but we 26 did have a season in early December before and we were not able 27 to cross the river a lot of times because of ice conditions, so 28 we just thought, again, that this would just give us another 29 window of opportunity for a springtime hunt. 30 31 Talking with older Natives, in the past they had hunted 32 that way too in the spring, you know. It just -- and then in 33 Eagle we have a lot of freezer space now, so there's no problem 34 going into summer with meat. So we were just looking at that 35 as another opportunity, you know, and we were just throwing it 36 out there just to see what, you know, peoples -- you know, what 37 they thought of it and we think that it would help us so, you 38 know. But we're open to anybody's ideas on it. 39 40 MR. FLEENER: I guess one concern that I heard is the 41 concern about the Quest Trail being opened. And at first I was 42 thinking it was -- that it probably wouldn't be a big deal five 43 more coming out either. But there's a concern about the Quest 44 Trail being opened and the amount of people being up that way 45 this time of year and the access will actually be pretty easy, 46 since the Quest Trail is going to be opened. If there was no 47 Quest Trail it probably wouldn't be very -- it would be a lot 48 harder for people to get there. 49

It's still going to be restricted to the rural

1 residents but there will probably be quite a few more rural 2 residents out there since it's right around Quest time. 3 you given that much thought?

5

7

MR. HOUSE: Yes, we'll, you know, how we had it written 6 up with the c&t it would be operated out of Eagle here, you know, with a permit so, you know, regardless of what happens, if it does get, you know, another ruling where it's going to include other people, I think that we have to have the permit 10 system and I think that we could have some control over it that 11 way is what we're looking at. The trails are usually opened up 12 regardless of the Quest, it has been in the past. people travel 13 down that way so, you know, we're going to get there one way or 14 another and we have in the past.

15 16

I know prior to the Quest when I lived here everybody 17 traveled the river, either way. In fact, there were more 18 people living down river. But, you know, it's an open idea. 19 Yes, we have thought about these things and having it located 20 here in Eagle with the permit system we can keep tabs on it 21 and, again, if it looks like it's an overharvest, we're more 22 than flexible to, you know, cut back or however they think.

23 24

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead, Vince.

25 26

MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman, I need a clarification 27 because we're actually debating a proposal that's not before 28 us. The March season has been deferred. If this continues, I 29 don't know where we're going with this.

30 31

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Right.

32 33

MR. MATHEWS: And if this is relating to lifting the 34 spike-fork restriction, I think the question to the biologists 35 and the managers are, can this population sustain this level of 36 harvest, including sport harvest? If it can't maintain both 37 those harvest then we got to look at closing the sport season. 38 I mean, I don't know where we're going.

39 40

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead, Lee.

41

42 MR. L. TITUS: I don't know, how would you -- can you 43 hear me?

44 45

MR. HOUSE: it's not real clear, sir, no.

46

47 MR. L. TITUS: How would you feel if we tabled this 48 proposal until we come up with some kind of a c&t determination 49 to go along with this proposal at a later date?

MR. HOUSE: Yeah, that's more -- that's what we said 2 with that is we'll just wait and see what happens there as far 3 as us being able to get that, you know, determination for the 4 c&t, so like the information that I have faxed over there 5 pretty much explains it all. So, yes, I mean, that's pretty 6 much what we have attached to it, so it'll have to be worked 7 out right now, you know, on that end. This is our proposal pretty much that we're going to stick by so, you know, you can 9 look at it and make your determination on it.

10 11

MR. GOOD: Mr. Chair.

12 13

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Yeah, Nat.

14 15

MR. NICHOLIA: Would you like that done in formal 16 motion?

17 18

CHAIRMAN MILLER: To table it?

19 20

MR. NICHOLIA: To table this.

21 22

MR. GOOD: I'll second that.

23

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay, I'm not sure -- any questions?

24 25 26

MR. FLEENER: It sounded like it wasn't deferred when 27 Steve asked him. He said he wanted this portion of 105 passed, 28 but when I asked him earlier it sounded like it was deferred. 29 Now you asked again, it sounds like it's deferred, so I don't 30 what the deal is.

31

32 MS. MEEHAN: I think I've been following all of this 33 (indiscernible) and my understanding, based on the fax, is that 34 what Mr. House would like to pursue is the top half of that fax 35 page which is to change the fall season to make it a one 36 antlered bull instead of a spike-fork-50. So make a change to 37 the fall season.

38

39

And then the second part which is the winter season to 40 go ahead and defer that until next cycle and do a c&t at the 41 same time. So you're acting on part of the proposal as it 42 appears in your book and deferring the other part.

43

44 MR. FLEENER: Okay, well, maybe we can get some --45 maybe we can hear from Fish and Game and whatnot.

46 47

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead, Pete.

48

49 MR. DeMATTEO: Just one point of clarification for 50 everyone here is that lifting of that spike-fork antler

restriction that, if I'm right, pertains to 20(E), Unit 20(E) only. 25(B) would stand as it is, that's one antlered bull.

3

MR. ULVI: Yeah, Charlie, Steve Ulvi again. I wanted to make a couple of comments here just so folks that aren't familiar with that area can appreciate. Basically the National Park Service is willing to support this -- certainly this amended proposal just dealing with lengthening the fall season in 20(E) and 25(B) that we've come around to here. The other thing that we want to remember here is that we have one of the longest general State seasons along that river between Eagle and Circle, anywhere in the state.

13 14

This proposal would make it certainly one of the longer 15 road-connected subsistence Federal land season anywhere in the 16 state. And this proposal would lengthen, by several days, as 17 well as drop that spike-fork restriction in 20(E). We're 18 willing to go along with that given the low density moose 19 population information we have and then just take a look at 20 what the reported harvest looks like next year.

21 22

But that is a highly accessible area, road-connected at 23 both end with about 150 miles of river in between. And at any 24 time, as you well know, because of displacements from other 25 parts of the state we could have more general season hunters up 26 that way. And there is no c&t as we've talked about, so all 27 rural residents are eligible there within the preserve. So 28 it's something that we feel, you know, we can support his 29 proposal as it's stands in front of us but we certainly would 30 want to carefully watch that along with the local residents and 31 see how it goes.

32 33

I think it's very wise to defer the March portion of 34 that and also come back and address the need for a c&t 35 determination.

36 37

MR. HOUSE: That sounds good with us here, Steve. 38 That's what we're looking at, you know, right now.

39

MR. GARDNER: Charlie, this is Craig Gardner again.
41 Mr. Chair, I -- actually speaking for Fish and Game agree with
42 what Steve said. I'll support the August season, you know, for
43 20(E) and also put kind of the concern or the caveat with it
44 that -- we'll there's a couple of things. I mean John Birch
45 presented the information from the 1997 count that they did.

46

However, I want to add a few things. We know in 1997 48 the calf count across all of 20(E) and 12 was high. And I 49 don't think John has information from, like, '96, '95, '94 50 moose count. And those years actually the calf survival was

5

6

7

36

49 50

quite low. '97, just more environmentally was kind of a bumper calf crop across a fairly large geographical region. wouldn't put a lot of -- I mean, I'd put stock in that number, 4 but I wouldn't put it as a trend for that portion of 20(E), actually I think calf survival along the Yukon Corridor is actually quite low.

8 Another thing that I think we really need to find out, this is an incredibly low moose -- low density moose 9 10 population. I mean it was like .27, I think, on John's form 11 and we're not expecting that to change. It's predator limited, 12 we know that, we're not going to expect much oscillation with 13 that moose population. At 65 per hundred, and again, John 14 found 17 yearlings. Again, I don't think that is a trend of 15 that area. I think yearling survival is actually getting quite I mean, if you only have 15-18 calves coming out a year, 17 you can't have 17 yearlings. So basically you can probably 18 half, you know, again, half that number the next year. I mean 19 I don't think 17 yearlings is a normal count. In 20(E) you're 20 looking more like nine, 10 yearling per hundred. 21

22 And so then you look at a 65 bull per hundred cows, 23 yes, that sounds like a lot but I'll give you some examples in 24 our parts of 20(E). With just a limited amount of increase 25 hunting you could take 65 bulls per hundred cows and knock it 26 down to 40 in two years. All you're doing is increasing your 27 harvest, let's say, from 20 to 35. I mean, and the way you 28 have to look at it is how concentrated that harvest is. 29 know, basically John showed us, it's right along the corridor. 30 True in the whole Yukon Charley the bull:cow ratio is going to 31 stay at 65, but along the river it's going to be impacted quite 32 quickly if that harvest increased by 15-20 bulls a year. 33 mean it's just a numbers game. You only got .2 moose per 34 square mile. You just can't take very many. 35

So, Charlie, I guess with this long and boring 37 discussion is getting to is that I'll support the August 38 season, but again come back and look at what the bull:cow ratio 39 is doing, look what the cow:calf rate is doing and then we too 40 will probably make a comment on that March season. Because I 41 guess I don't really have as much confidence in a March season, 42 especially -- I mean, this might insult a lot of people but 43 let's face it a bull during that time of year, a lot of people, 44 I mean, it doesn't have antlers, you got to see that little 45 pedestal up by the ears if you not looking for other 46 characteristics. We don't want to see cows shot. And so I 47 just want to look at a season that really does protect that 48 upper river moose population.

MR. HOUSE: Well, that sounds quite clear and, yes, you

```
00114
```

know, we're certainly interested in your concerns. In fact, we were hoping that you were going to be here last night on the meeting. But, yeah, I mean, again, you know, we're just looking at it and we're asking about it and then you can look 5 it over and let us know.

6 7

9

Very much so for the fall season though, we'd like to 8 see that go ahead as soon as possible with a c&t on that, but again that is something that you'll have to, you know, work 10 out.

11 12

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead, Lee.

13 14

MR. L. TITUS: A motion was made and it was seconded. 15 I'm just wondering if it's in line with what he said.

16 17

MS. MEEHAN: I don't think it is. I think given this 18 recent discussion it would probably help just to keep it clear 19 to make a new motion.

2.0 21

MR. GOOD: I'll withdraw the second.

22 23

I don't think there was a motion. MR. FLEENER:

24 25

MR. P. TITUS: There was.

26 27

MR. L. TITUS: I made a motion to table this proposal.

28 29

MR. GOOD: Based on what we understood, yeah.

30 31

MR. L. TITUS: And it was seconded.

32 33

MR. GOOD: I seconded it. I'll withdraw my second.

34

35 MR. L. TITUS: So we'll have to make another motion 36 then to get back on the track and deal with specifics on the 37 original proposal.

38 39

MR. FLEENER: What are we calling this, a proposed 40 regulation now, is that all it is since everybody's been 41 satisfied with dropping portions of it? Anybody have an idea?

42 43

MR. GOOD: My question is, are we now dealing with the 44 fall season presented by Eagle Advisory Committee Proposal 105, 45 is that what we're limiting this to? And if that's all we're 46 acting on then we should be able to make a motion to that 47 effect here. Everything we've gotten so far has been 48 supportive of it from both State and Federal; am I correct?

49 50

MR. FLEENER: Sounds good. I'll make a motion that we

```
00115
   adopt the fall season for Unit 20(E), what's that adding -- and
   changing it to one antlered bull and adding a longer season.
2
3
4
           MR. GOOD: I'll second it.
5
6
           MR. FLEENER: And 25(B), excuse me.
7
8
           MR. GOOD: I'll second it.
9
10
           MR. MATHEWS: Did the record pick up the location that
11 he gave?
12
13
                         20(E) and 25(B).
           MR. FLEENER:
14
15
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Any questions or discussion?
16
17
           MR. P. TITUS: Mr. Chairman, can this guy hear that?
18
19
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Can you hear us, Mr. House?
20
21
           MR. HOUSE: Yeah, it's really not that clear but I can
22 hear it, you know, bits and pieces.
23
24
           MR. FLEENER: Well, we just made a motion to adopt the
25 fall season for Unit 20(E) and 25(B).
26
27
           MR. HOUSE: Okay, that's clear, thank you.
28
29
           MR. FLEENER: And the only comment I have is maybe they
30 might not even need the March season with the lengthening of
31 the fall season, that might give them the time that they need.
32
33
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead, Pete.
34
35
           MR. DeMATTEO: Mr. Chair, just so -- and I think it's
36 clear for everyone here, when we're saying 25(B) we're
37 referring to the Kandik Nations River portion of 25(B) within
38 the preserve, so that's clear for everyone. And then also Unit
39 20(E) and then by committee action 25(C) has been omitted from
40 the proposal. Is everyone clear on that? Okay.
41
42
           MR. FLEENER: Sounds fair to me.
                                             Question.
43
44
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Question's been called. All in favor
45 of the proposal.
46
47
           IN UNISON: Aye.
48
49
           MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman, I think since we have
50 Charlie on line, we've spent two years, we're going on three
```

years, if proposals are submitted next round on this issue. I would highly recommend that we seriously look at having one of the next Regional Council meeting in Eagle to get this all squared away so we don't end up going on into the next century. So anyways, we may look at doing that on that because this is important to Eagle and important to the Regional Council, but we need to, you know, work on this more focused, so I think we need to seriously look at Eagle on that.

9

10 MR. HOUSE: That sounds -- yeah, that sounds like a 11 real good plan to us. In fact, it would be nice to have 12 everybody here and then we could, you know, go over it then 13 too, whatever you want to work out. But that would be great 14 for us if you could make it here.

15 16

MR. MATHEWS: I think that what I really am
recommending that it probably be a year from now because that's
when the actual proposals would be before this group. And
something to do with the Quest, but anyways -- no, a year from
now if we have it, but I think what we're going to need to do
with indulgence from the Park Service is keep the dialogue up
that we've had all along with this proposal by doing
teleconferences and et cetera, if needed, with Eagle, so we can
get to a final solution on this. We may be there now, but in
case we're not, to do that. And what I'm impressing upon the
Council members is that some of you are going to be tapped for
teleconferences on this to keep this moving along.

28 29

Thank you. With that, maybe the Chair, others want to thank Charlie House on that and then we'll say our good-byes.

31 32

MR. HOUSE: Okay, gentlemen, we certainly thank you, 33 and ladies, for your time and hopefully it'll all work out for 34 everyone.

35 36

(Multiple thank yous from the Council to Charlie)

37 38

MR. HOUSE: Okay, take care, good-bye.

39

40 MR. FLEENER: On 21 now. I make a motion to adopt 41 Proposal 21 with the amendment to include qualified residents 42 in the adjacent subunits. The staff recommendation that is.

43 44

MR. P. TITUS: 21?

45

46 MR. FLEENER: 21, page 164 in your yellow moose 47 booklet.

48

MR. MATHEWS: Okay. We have a motion. Proposal 21, 50 we'll do without an overhead, if it exists or not. Proposal 21

is an overlap proposal with Southcentral. It deals with black bear c&t determination going from a no determination to the rural residents of -- that are listed there, Chistochina, Chitina, Copper Center, Gakona, Gulkana, Mentasta, and Tazlina.

5 6

(Off record comments -- phone)

7 8

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead, George. You in charge?

9 10

MR. SHERROD: I guess so. This is for Southeast (sic), 11 I didn't do the analysis. Basically it goes from a -- the 12 reason it's before you is potentially the fact that there may 13 be residents of Unit 12 who have harvested bears in Unit 11.

14 15

The conclusion, basically, was to adopt the proposal. 16 The conclusion is on 179, giving a positive customary and 17 traditional black bear determination in Unit 11 to the seven 18 village and to rural residents of Unit 11. And if I read it 19 correct, what you have proposed is to modify that so it would 20 include, it looks like, I don't have a full map, but 13(C), 21 (A), (D), 12 and 6, those are the adjoining subunit.

22 23

MR. FLEENER: So your concerns about the people in 12 24 would be taken care of with the.....

25 26

MR. SHERROD: Your potential concerns, yeah. 27 amendment would grant people in 12.

28

29

MS. MEEHAN: If I could point out. Since this is a 30 proposal that is primarily down in Region 2, that I just 31 suggest you might want to think about that there's another 32 region's home proposal and so any amendments you suggest you 33 might want to focus on amendments that would affect people 34 within your region, rather than swinging on down into.....

35 36

MR. FLEENER: Right. Well, we don't have -- yeah, I 37 guess that's true.

38 39

MR. SHERROD: Unit 12 would be the.....

40 41

MR. FLEENER: That's what I would -- I don't have a 42 breakdown up here so I'm kind of at a loss.

43 44

MR. GOOD: I have a question here. You know, whenever 45 I look at this I think about maybe I should ask. We have all 46 of these little communities here, what happens to the people 47 who don't live inside the community but live outside, perhaps 48 in between them, wherever, are they excluded by not living 49 actually in the community?

```
00118
1
           MR. SHERROD:
                         In some cases, yes, they are.
2
3
           MR. FLEENER: That's why we don't want to do
4
  communities, we want do units and subunits, so we don't leave
5
  these poor little people out that live at mile 29.
6
7
           MR. GOOD: That's right, they live outside the city
8
   limits and they're more rural.
9
10
           MR. MATHEWS: We got a little out of order there, we
11 didn't go through agency comments or public comments.
12
13
           MR. FLEENER: Yeah, we're waiting for -- he's in the
14 middle of his comments.
15
16
           MR. GOOD: Yeah, he was commenting and I asked a stupid
17 question.
18
19
           MR. MATHEWS: Oh, no, no, no.
20
21
           MR. SHERROD: No, I think we got to the point where
22 based on Rosa's suggestion that you -- instead of all of Unit
23 13 you would amend this to include Unit 12.
24
           MR. FLEENER: Well, I would -- yeah, Region 9 is the
26 region that I would be making that suggestion for.
27
28
           MR. SHERROD: And maybe if any of you know, for a fact,
29 that we have Unit 12 people hunting down there, we could put it
30 on the record.
31
32
           MR. FLEENER: Unit 12 people that hunt in Unit 11.
33
34
           MR. L. TITUS: Don't we need an amendment for the
35 actual resolution?
36
37
           MR. FLEENER: No, it's good the way it sits, isn't it?
38
39
           MR. L. TITUS: What's the motion?
40
41
           MR. FLEENER:
                         To adopt.
42
43
                         With the -- you were going to with the
           MR. SHERROD:
44 addition of Unit 12.
45
46
           MR. FLEENER: Yeah, with the adjacent subunit.
47 thing that we've been doing already. That's the only
48 amendment.
49
50
           MR. L. TITUS: Excluding all regions?
```

MR. FLEENER: Only including our region.

3 4 not mistaken, Craig, are you talking about the possibility of 5 the subunit thing here? In the meeting in Anchorage with the other members of Region 2, one of the things that the

7 representatives from CRNA addressed was that they really didn't 8 mean to excluding anybody and this was one of the areas in 9 which they really are, whether they intend to or not. And I 10 think an explanation of yours, going along with this would be a 11 good idea. It's their decision, their call, but.....

MR. GOOD: Mr. Chairman, I think that what -- if I'm

12 13

MR. FLEENER: Right.

14 15

MR. GOOD: The CRNA also was saying at the time that 16 they were looking at possibly amending this so they wouldn't be 17 excluding people because that wasn't their intent.

18 19

MR. FLEENER: Well, I think that will be addressed 20 because of these proposals as our amendments are added, people 21 will understand why we're doing it, so.....

22 23

MR. GOOD: But this -- our action here will be 24 communicated to Region 2 and give them something to consider 25 and CRNA also to consider to avoid putting people out.

26 27

MR. FLEENER: Yeah.

28

29 MR. MATHEWS: Okay, let me see if I can capsulate where 30 we're at. The motion is to move to adopt the proposal with 31 adjacent subregions (sic) within Region 9.

32 33

Subunits within Region 9. MR. FLEENER:

34 35

MR. MATHEWS: Correct. And that the proposal will --36 your action will be directly conveyed to Southcentral. Copper 37 River Native Association will have representatives there, I'm 38 almost guaranteed of that.

39

40 We still need to get agency comments and local advisory 41 committee comments on this proposal dealing with 11.

42 43

(Inaudible - away from microphone)

44

45 MR. MATHEWS: Okay, well, I'll summarize that State 46 comments. Basically they deferred action on Proposal 21. 47 Department recommends the Federal Board defer action on this 48 proposal until a comprehensive review of which communities have 49 a customary and traditional use of black bear in Unit 11 has 50 been completed. Deferring action will not prevent residents of

1 the communities identified from continuing to harvest. That 2 pretty much summarized theirs.

3

There is comments from Wrangell/St. Elias Subsistence
Resource Commission. I don't know if Wrangell wants to talk on
that. Basically they support the proposal as written. The
Commission recognizes that there are qualified subsistence
users that should be granted positive c&t (tentative). I don't
know that that means, but -- and then I'll defer to Upper
Tanana/Fortymile, they did take up this Proposal 21 at their
meeting.

12 13

MS. HENNESSY: Yeah, I just have a question. First, 14 are you moving to adopt it with the staff recommendation to 15 include all rural residents in Unit 11? I wasn't sure of that. 16 Okay, thanks. That was important to us.

17 18

MR. GOOD: But this again refers us back, you know, 19 with this -- this particular -- they've done a very nice job of 20 outlining the position of the Ahtna people in here and they're 21 really looking to preserve a record that will be somewhere in 22 the -- you know, along side of the regulations or whatever 23 showing their historical and prehistoric use of the resource. 24 And I -- you know, regulations probably isn't the best place to 25 have it, but it should be preserved somewhere, parallel to or 26 whatever.

27 28

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Any more comments?

29

MR. MATHEWS: I think you need to clarify to the Upper 31 Tanana/Fortymile. Your motion is to adopt the proposal as 32 written in subunits within Region 9. Unit 11 is not in Region 33 9. So if you adopt the proposal -- I don't know, are all those 34 communities -- does that represent all of Unit 11? Her 35 question was, is it all rural residents of Unit 11? Your 36 motion does not say that, unless I missed something.

37 38

38 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Well, it says right in the 39 regulation, doesn't it?

40 41

MS. HENNESSY: No, in the staff recommendations.

42

43 MR. MATHEWS: Oh, staff recommendation. Okay, sorry. 44 All right.

45

46 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Is there any more comments on 47 Proposal 21?

48

MR. GARDNER: I guess I'm going to have to say 50 something. Fish and Game, Unit 12. I don't know why we

```
00121
  deferred on that, I mean, I think there's plenty of history of
  people in Unit 12 getting black bear. I mean in 11, they're
  adjacent, I mean, especially people that live along the Nebesna
4
  Road, you know.
5
6
          MS. HENNESSY: (Inaudible - away from microphone)
7
8
          MR. GARDNER: Oh, just that there's plenty of history
9 of people who qualify for black bear in 11. They're adjacent
10 (indiscernible)....
11
12
          MR. NICHOLIA: So you concur?
13
14
          MR. GARDNER: I do.
15
16
          CHAIRMAN MILLER: Any more comments?
17
          MR. FLEENER: Question.
18
19
20
          CHAIRMAN MILLER: Question's been called. All in
21 favor.
22
23
          IN UNISON: Aye.
24
25
          MR. P. TITUS: What was the action on this? To
26 support?
27
28
          CHAIRMAN MILLER: Yeah.
29
30
          MR. MATHEWS: The next proposal is Proposal 22, I
31 believe.
32
33
          CHAIRMAN MILLER: Page 184.
34
35
          MR. P. TITUS: Again it's the same thing.
36
37
          MR. FLEENER: Very similar. I make a motion to adopt
38 Proposal 22 with -- wait a minute, hold on one second.
39
40
          MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman, I just need a clarification
41 on that motion, is that to adopt the staff committee
42 recommendation?
43
44
          MR. FLEENER:
                        I said hold on a minute, I.....
45
46
          CHAIRMAN MILLER: It never got completed.
47
48
          MR. FLEENER: Yeah, I -- whole thing didn't get out of
49 my mouth yet.
50
```

MR. P. TITUS: Same as the other only dealing with 2 brown bear, right?

MR. FLEENER: Yeah, but the staff recommendation is to 5 reject this one instead of support this one because inadequate 6 evidence of contemporary uses.

MR. SHERROD: This also would go from -- we have -- the 9 current is no Federal subsistence priority, so this proposal 10 was to attempt to establish a priority.

11 12

MR. FLEENER: Well, I'll make a motion to adopt the 13 proposal as written, Proposal 22, to include the qualified 14 residents in adjacent subunits for, of course, Region 9.

15 16

MR. L. TITUS: Second.

17

18 MR. FLEENER: At least, yeah, we can get it on the 19 table for discussion, so we can hear the pros and cons on this 20 thing. Lee seconded it.

21 22

MR. MATHEWS: Okay. We've already talked about it, 23 it's brown bear in Unit 11 and we'll go to George and then 24 we'll go to comments.

25 26

MR. SHERROD: Yeah, basically, it the -- the analysis 27 outlines the tradition of the Ahtna people in using brown bear. 28 But if you flip to the justification and the conclusion -- the 29 conclusion to not support it is based on sort of a lack of 30 contemporary evidence. The last line basically says that, you 31 know, if people would come forward with more information this 32 conclusion would be reconsidered, basically. 33 information or contemporary information I should say.

34 35

And as we all know -- I mean, the Board has dealt with 36 this in the past, the State has, for the most part, opposed any 37 brown bear determinations. We've had Request for 38 Reconsideration on them. The Board has held that quantity is 39 not necessarily -- a quantity of harvest is not necessarily an 40 important factor. The quality of harvest, sometimes, isn't 41 important and, therefore -- I think in all the cases that we've 42 been challenged the Board -- or they're been a request to amend 43 it, the Board had gone ahead.

44 45

So with that I....

46 47

MR. GOOD: It could also be noted that there is no 48 Federal open season at this point, too.

49 50

MR. MATHEWS: Okay, the State's comments on that were

```
00123
```

deferred also, unless Craig has some new stuff. The Copper River Native Association has presented supporting documentation describing traditional uses of brown bear by the Ahtna, however this is a case in which the available documentation on 5 contemporary uses may be insufficient to support the eight 6 factors.

7 8 9

The other public comments we've gotten is that the Subsistence Resource Commission for Wrangell/St. Elias support 10 the proposal as written. The Commission recognizes that there 11 are qualified subsistence users that should be granted positive 12 c&t determinations.

13 14

And I don't have any record of Upper Tanana taking up 15 this proposal.

16 17

MS. HENNESSY: We didn't.

18 19

MR. MATHEWS: Okay.

20 21

MR. FLEENER: I have a question. George said that the 22 State has opposed any effort to have a bear c&t determination. 23 Is that just in Unit 11 or.....

24 25

MR. SHERROD: No, no. And then it may be too strong, 26 but I think we've dealt with Stevens Village last year. They 27 requested a brown bear determination. This Council supported 28 it, the Board supported it and then the State challenged it. 29 And I believe there was one other in which the State put in a 30 Request for Reconsideration. And their basic argument is, and 31 they'll have a chance to, I guess, air this in front of the 32 Board or Staff Committee is that either the harvest is too low 33 or there's not enough animals being taken or reported being 34 taken, that may be a better way to put it, and therefore, it 35 shouldn't be considered as a subsistence species or one of the 36 core species that's harvested.

37 38

MR. FLEENER: Craig, I'd like to follow up with you. 39 Do you know much about the feelings of the State on this 40 specific issue with brown bear? Why they won't -- they're not 41 supportive of some c&t determinations? Do you have any idea?

42 43

Actually, I don't know if there's..... MR. GARDNER:

44 45

MR. FLEENER: They deferred on this one, but he said 46 that in the past they've rejected.

47

MR. GARDNER: What area was that, George?

48 49 50

MR. SHERROD: Stevens Village, brown bear

```
00124
1 determination.
3
           MR. GARDNER: No, no, what area?
4
5
           MR. SHERROD: Oh, that's 25(D) and I think they got it
6
  20(E)
7
8
           MR. GARDNER:
                         26 maybe?
9
10
           MR. SHERROD: No, 20(E), I believe. They also got a
11 little corner up there in the road system.
12
13
           MR. GARDNER: I don't know why they would be against
14 that, I mean -- I think -- part of 25(D) I think they would
15 because they were getting sustainable harvest on bears and, you
16 know, to add people, I think they were against that. You know,
17 I don't why the State's deferring on some of these other ones.
18
19
           MR. G. SAM: Mr. Chair. I thought they were -- they
20 turned it down because it was leaving out Unit 24, that's was
21 my understanding on that one, around the black bear one. Is
22 that right, George?
23
24
           MR. SHERROD: No, the -- we changed the regulations.
25 Correct me, Rosa, if I'm wrong, or Sue, we changed the
26 regulations and granted Stevens Village c&t for brown bear.
27 There was also a black bear determination but that one was not
28 challenged.
29
30
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Is there any more comments?
31 none....
32
33
           MR. FLEENER:
                         Question.
34
35
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Question's been called.
36
37
           (Inaudible)
38
39
           MR. FLEENER: Not the staff recommendation but the
40 proposal itself.
41
42
           MR. GOOD: Support the proposal with your addition,
43 right?
44
45
           MR. FLEENER:
                        Yes, most certainly.
46
47
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead, Vince.
48
49
           MR. MATHEWS: Yeah, Mr. Chairman, I think, not to put
50 words in the mouth of a Council member, this would be based on
```

the two criteria that you used earlier in 95/96, so.....

3 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Yes.

MR. MATHEWS: Okay.

5 6 7

2

4

7 MR. SHERROD: If I can interject here. The analysis 8 rejected this proposal on the grounds that there wasn't 9 contemporary use -- documentation of contemporary use, and it 10 would probably be helpful of some thoughts if you're going to 11 support this.

12

13 MR. FLEENER: Well, I can certainly give some of my own 14 personal thoughts, and I've done this at just about every 15 meeting in the past, is that if someone has traditionally 16 harvested an animal, just because they don't harvest one today 17 or yesterday or the day before doesn't mean that they're not 18 going to need it in the future. And there's plenty of 19 traditional harvest information right here at page 184 and 185. 20 It's just not contemporary, which means recent, I guess. But I 21 support it on the basis that they did use it. They had -- I 22 mean what does customary and tradition mean? Customary and 23 tradition means what you've done in the past and what you think 24 you're going to be doing in the future, but you base it on what 25 you've done in the past. So according to these people, they 26 use this animal in the past and I would support it on that 27 basis.

28 29

MR. GOOD: But on the other hand, there's still no hunting season, so it's kind of a moot point here.

31 32

32 MR. FLEENER: If they want a hunting season they'll 33 have to put in a proposal for that. This is recognizing their 34 customary and traditional use of that resource.

35 36

MR. SAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, some of these units here 37 bring up the allotment, that's Federal land and stuff. Back 38 when they got these allotments it was because it was either a 39 hunting camp or somewhere they were going to build and that's 40 where animals were most abundant, probably, for fishing and 41 hunting. A brown bear walk through there and stuff, you know. 42 Most likely those good hunting spots, he's going to 43 (indiscernible) through there and they probably get a shot at 44 him.

45

MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman, I'm going to beg upon Sue 47 to verify this also. When you bring up Native allotments -- 48 Native allotments that you have a fee title to, no longer fall 49 under Federal public lands. The thing I'm not clear of, it's 50 getting late in the day, is those that are pending would be

considered under this program?

3 9

MS. DETWILER: Technically speaking the Native 4 allotments (indiscernible) Federal public lands for the 5 purposes of Title VIII (indiscernible - away from microphone). 6 So once they're beyond the point of being technically approved and the become certificated the title passes (indiscernible) no longer Federal public lands. I'm not sure if that (indiscernible)....

10 11

MR. MATHEWS: But the question he had as he was talking 12 -- he didn't have question. He was saying that on the bear, 13 the bear travel through an allotment that it wouldn't be able 14 to be harvested under a Federal season.

15 16

MR. FLEENER: Actually I think he was speaking to 17 tradition. The purpose for these allotments was because people 18 had hunting camps, they had fishing camps and this is the This is why they were 19 reason that they had these allotments. 20 set up is because these people traditionally hunted, they 21 picked berries, whatever, in these areas, that's why they were 22 there.

23 24

MR. MATHEWS: Then I misunderstood, but I think we 25 still clarified, you know, what applied to allotments.

26 27

MR. NICHOLIA: Can I say something too? I take care my 28 Native allotments, my realty position, you know. I don't use 29 State laws, I don't use city laws, I use Federal laws to take 30 care of certified allotments. So they still -- you are 31 protected by the government.

32 33

MR. FLEENER: Well, actually the question's been called 34 on this motion, so we should probably vote on it, if there are 35 no more interjections.

36 37

MR. GOOD: One more thing though. This is another one 38 of those items that Copper River Native Association is 39 intending to amend, how, I don't know, but -- so there's going 40 to be a certain amount of flexibility in it anyway.

41

42 CHAIRMAN MILLER: All in favor of Proposal 22 signify 43 by saying aye.

44 45

IN UNISON: Aye.

46 47

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Opposed same sign.

48 49

(No opposing responses)

00127

Hey, Vince, can we take five minutes?

(Off record)

(On record)

CHAIRMAN MILLER: We'd like to call this meeting back to order, please.

10 MR. MATHEWS: I think -- well, everyone can hear me, I 11 got a big voice. I think, Mr. Chair, you mentioned to me 12 during break there was a person who would like to testify 13 before we started getting into a rhythm on proposals. 14

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Yes.

7

8

15

16

19

24 25

32 33

45

9

MR. MATHEWS: We have a mike up here, it's just to make 18 sure we get you recorded and everybody can hear you.

MS. D. TITUS: My name is Diane Titus, I live here in 21 Tanacross, life-long residence. I am a member of our 22 Tanacross, Inc., shareholder and very active member in the 23 village here.

One of the concerns that I have as a subsistence hunter 26 myself and my sons being trappers for many years, my husband 27 also traps and does lot of hunting. What I'd like to kind of 28 bring up as a concern is I know Tanacross village itself, 95 29 percent of our food and our lifestyle is off of subsistence, 30 living off the land. Our main diet, like in my own household 31 75 percent of it is all subsistence food.

I am a shareholder of the Tanacross, Inc. Tanacross, 34 Inc., itself owns 92,000 acres of land right here in this area. 35 Some of it is in the back doors of our community in Tok. Many 36 of you don't realize that. But the last fall in January the 37 Board voted with the concerns of the shareholder who wanted to 38 try to maintain our hunting regulations at the time of the 39 moose hunting. And the Board voted to close the moose hunting 40 to non-shareholders. And a paper was passed out and everybody 41 put their descendants on there and a letter was sent out to 42 many people. I think I seen it also in the Fairbanks 43 newspaper, I've seen it posted in many places. So a lot of 44 people were aware of it.

One of the concerns that I had was the shareholders 47 were hunting and then they see these non-shareholders, mostly 48 non-Native, hunting on their land. And my husband, myself, 49 were hunting on the road and we seen a moose killed and it 50 happened to be right on our land. And we reported it to Fish

and Game and we asked them to enforce it because they're the ones that get the rules and regulations on our private land. They're the ones that make the rules, so we asked them for support and we didn't get any.

Their attitude, in the end, coming from 10 shareholders that are active, went out and monitored. You make the rule, you take care of it. That's what we got. So we kind of got frustrated with that part.

One of the things that I am concerned about is the fact that Tanacross, Inc., like I said, owns 92,000 acres of land. What you would consider that in Western language? You would 14 say that's private land. All of you are land home owners, you 15 know that you don't want people coming in on your land to help 16 themselves to whatever they do. That's how you would consider 17 this 92,000 acre land.

The Feds and State come in and they made the rules and 20 the law, and they say this is what you got to abide with, on 21 our land, on our private property. When we asked them for 22 support and say that we don't want any non-shareholders to hunt 23 on our land and to kill the moose that we live on, we asked for 24 their support because they make the law, nothing happens. So 25 what really is going on? I don't understand that.

To my knowledge that same thing happened with trapping. 28 We did the good part by closing the Sixty-Mile Butte to 29 airplane people coming in and bringing in the airplane hunters, 30 that worked out just beautiful because they couldn't get in and 31 they couldn't land on the airstrip. And that worked out 32 nicely. But we're talking more traffic on river, traffic on 33 the highway.

I guess my concern is how much support we're receiving from the State and Feds on trying to -- it more or less came down to say that our tribal law wasn't respected. We make the rules, we have to enforce them. And another thing that I have also -- concern that I have, I think based upon what the elder said this morning, the lady elder that was here, I think Native people, like in this community especially, the seven village within the Tanana subregion, we know our limit, we know how many moose we need to get for each village. It's not only for the family but we share and every village knows how many to get.

One of the things that I was concerned about also is 48 the hunting season. I think that -- I really try to talking to 49 elders, I really kind of believe that it's a little too late in 50 the season to have open moose for the bull. That might be one

of the proposals Upper Tanana might want to consider, opening the moose season a little earlier because we understand, 3 especially in this village, we know the migration of where the 4 moose goes. We know where they go, we know what routes they take, by the time when September comes we know where they're all at and you can't get back there by boat and motor or by car or by whatever. It's way back.

7

5

So that's the only concern that I have and I don't know 10 if anybody welcomed any of you to the village here. I do 11 welcome you to the village and I thank you for your time, 12 consideration on my comment.

13 14

Thank you. CHAIRMAN MILLER:

15 16

MR. G. SAM: Mr. Chair.

17 18

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead.

19 20

MR. G. SAM: I talked with Diane earlier on this and 21 discussed with her what we're doing in her region and we too 22 came across this kind similar thing, but it had a different 23 twist to it, we can't enforce it because we're not, you know, 24 licensed to carry firearms or to enforce the laws of Federal 25 lands, therefore, you can't receive monies to do that. 26 that's my understanding of that.

27 28

I've sat here and I watched, you know, the departments 29 and, you know, these Federal staffs, especially when the elder 30 was talking, I kind of watched, you know, other people, you can 31 tell a lot by peoples' interest, are they listening or are they 32 just reading their book while somebody's talking? And while 33 she was talking, you know, the majority were reading books and 34 whatnot, and I don't know if you guys were really listening to 35 what she's saying there, you know.

36 37

And I think that's something that has to be taken into 38 account that these people are pretty serious about it, you 39 know, they live on the road system, it's not like they're 40 living in rural Alaska out there, you know, like where Huslia 41 is, you know, there's a limited access, but right here they're 42 on the road system. And I think that really needs to be taken 43 into account is that if they close their Native allotments to 44 hunting, I think we deserve, you know, to give them benefit of 45 help them secure that right. I don't know exactly which staff 46 she was talking about, but I'm assuming (indiscernible) is you, 47 right, Craig? So I'd like to hear what you've got to say about 48 it.

49 50

MR. GARDNER: I quess I kind of thought that we tried

1 to do the best we could on that hunt. I came here for the Tanacross Corporation meeting and I asked about the land closure and they gave actually maps of the land that every 4 hunter that called that wanted to hunt, you know, the river or this section, we told them about it. We passed out maps 6 showing Tanacross land and ask them, you know, to please respect the closure.

7

5

You know, I don't -- again, I'm not a blue shirt and I 10 don't, you know, do trespass laws, that's as well as I could do 11 right there. The airplane hunters I told them the Sixty-Mile 12 Butte was closed. The next thing we did, and this one is still 13 pending is, and I don't know if it's going to happen, but in 14 the State regulations book one think I'm trying to push for 15 right now is, to say, Unit 12 principal land owners, Tanacross 16 Council, Tetlin, Northway. And basically list the groups, the 17 private land owners and give them a phone number. Say, 18 hunters, if you hunt Unit 12, you know, these are the principal 19 land owners, call them and find out if there's any conflict 20 with where you're hunting.

21 22

You know, I guess those are the steps that I'm doing 23 right now, so.....

24

MR. G. SAM: And they have all their lands posted, 26 that's from what I understand. And that was one of the things 27 that was brought up. On the Koyukuk River the lands must be 28 posted so the hunters will know that they're trespassing, for 29 one. Is it possible that ADF&G could, you know, put up some 30 money to maybe hire some people to mount these during hunting 31 season?

32 33

34

MR. GARDNER: No so much Fish and Game because that's -you know, we're not really into -- like I said.....

35 36

MR. G. SAM: I mean, it was brown shirt responsibility.

37 38

MR. GARDNER: And amount of money, so I'd say prob --39 no, I'm saying, you know, once it goes to the money-making 40 decisions, they're going to say no. But I do think that 41 through time and through -- I mean a lot of hunters call me, 42 you know, and the staff is small, but if we tell everyone of 43 them that call that this is -- there's a lot of private land 44 and these are the land owners, they do have it posted. There's 45 a map that shows it again, because let's face it once it gets 46 off the road it's not posted. You know, it's not posted 47 (indiscernible) in sections like that. So, you know, if we 48 always get with the map I feel like over time that we can start 49 seeing some respect.

MR. P. TITUS: I got a question. How come on these 2 maps it don't show these corporations as private holdings when they are? Maybe that'll solve some of the problem.

4 5

1

It's a good question and it's..... MR. GARDNER:

6 7

MR. P. TITUS: Because every hunter would probably go by this map.

8 9 10

MR. GARDNER: That's right. And we talked about with 11 the Tanacross Corporation meeting and I think what we've -- you 12 know, we looked at in a statewide issue and you look at that 13 map, you have a scale that's really poor. You know -- I mean 14 19,000 acres sounds kind of large in numbers but actually if 15 you put it in that scale you get a real kind of a fine -- you 16 know, for anybody to know where the heck they're at it's really 17 difficult.

18 19

MR. P. TITUS: Another map here.

20 21

MR. GARDNER: That's right, you know, it's really 22 difficult but what Tanacross did they gave me, you know, much 23 small scaled maps and so why pass those out, you know, those 24 guys actually don't know where they're at.

25 26

MR. P. TITUS: I mean everybody in the state that would 27 apply for license would look at this map and say it's private 28 land. At least they'll come and ask for permission, they 29 wouldn't say, oh, it's opened.

30 31

MR. GARDNER: Yeah, I was actually thinking that you'd 32 probably get a better idea....

33

34 MR. P. TITUS: Well, in Minto this road -- you got road 35 access, right? I talked to these two guys up there, they were 36 Eagle River and Anchorage and they were hunting moose up there. 37 I say, wow, I didn't go to Anchorage for McDonalds.

38 39

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead, Keith.

40

41 MR. JONATHAN: Mr. Chair, I'd like to comment on this, 42 too. And I'd like to thank Diane for her comments. It's what 43 I'd like to see more of from our community. It seems like we 44 don't have much to say but having her sit there and tell you 45 problems is what I want to hear. And on some of the stuff she 46 said, like on our lands with -- a lot of the problems we had 47 was like on Tanacross land, we had it posted and then the creek 48 dried is where they used to trespass and that's where we had a 49 lot problems with it, going down through the Tanana River in

50 boats down there is where we had a lot of trouble. And

shutting the Sixty-Mile Butte airstrip is where a lot of fly-in services go into.

3

And another thing I'd like to comment on is, like, we used to fish up Mansfield, but all the white fish -- we can't use dip nets or -- our dip net is washed out and hasn't been rebuilt for quite some time (sic) but being on the records, no fish there, you know, don't mean we never fished there. It's just a lot of boat traveling up there and more now than before. And all the fish has gone, anymore we have to go to some other places to get our white fish.

12 13

13 And getting back to the water rights on hunting, it's 14 open all the way up -- all through our hunting areas and that's 15 what needs to be part on our trespassing laws too.

16 17

17 MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman, two things I wanted to 18 bring up is one, for me personally, when elders speak I take 19 extensive notes to make sure they get in the minutes, as an 20 example and also there on the transcript. And the Federal 21 Subsistence Program, in particular the Interior Regions, have 22 worked hard to make sure there accessibility to elders. So if 23 it looked like I was reading my book, I was taking extensive 24 notes.

25 26

The next thing is we need to share something that's going on in Western Interior on this very issue. Western Interior is looking at a pilot program to develop, and probably Ruth can give me the better term, but a hunter map for 21(E) that BLM is piloting that would show this breakdown of private and Federal and State lands. But again, remember what Craig said on scale. The area when you -- you know, when you move your scale out, covering a larger area, the detail goes lower, so it gets a bit difficult, but this same issue in 21(E), we're trying one step is to make sure that all the hunters know where the lands are and what rules apply to those lands.

37 38

38 So that is a possibility of an option and it looked 39 like Craig was doing that somewhat to look at. But again, the 40 bottom line is the hunter needs to know where he or she is 41 hunting.

42

And finally, when these issues come up on law
44 enforcement matters, we don't have those tools in our bags to
45 respond for staff. I mean it is hard for me because this is
46 now going on since '89 that I've heard these same conversations
47 and I'd like to respond, but there's no tools in my bag to say,
48 yep, you'll have four brown shirts there or whatever. We don't
49 have those tools. That's, like Rosa said, what we're given to
50 work with is this framework. So we can't rightly respond to

the law enforcement request.

And I was looking around to see if -- not to put 4 pressure on them, just showing a need for the way the land is. I was looking to see if the refuge manager was here or 6 whatever, because if it was close or on refuge lands, they had 7 enforcement. So it's kind of difficult. Law enforcement 8 staff, I believe, for Eastern -- I'm positive of it, but I'm just looking now, we send them announcements of these meetings 10 and sometimes they attend and sometimes they don't. And when 11 they do attend they listen closely to this.

12 13

3

5

So that's the options we have. I mean -- so please 14 don't take us as not saying things because we don't want to 15 respond to it, we have no tools to respond to those. 16 don't....

17 18

MR. G. SAM: I'd like to respond to that. This is an --19 you know, I've said this about three or four times at 20 different meetings now, you know. Elders get up and speak and 21 their word is not taken as seriously as I think it should be 22 taken. They know what they're talking about, they're not just 23 running their mouth out just to say something, you know. 24 mean don't -- with all do respect, you know, I know how 25 agencies like to hear each other talk about their reports and 26 all that. But the thing is the people that are directly 27 involved need to be in the process of, you know, what's going 28 on in their region. And, you know, when springtime comes 29 around you can't of any creek while they're hunting birds there 30 and not see a brown shirt, they're all over the place. So you 31 can't tell me that there's not enough funds up there to, you 32 know, try to help out in these issues.

33

34 And, you know, I'm sure there's something that could be 35 done. And, you know, I don't mean to come here and start some 36 kind of war or nothing, but I'm just trying to be nice about it 37 all. All I'm saying is that, you know, have some compassion 38 and listen to these people what they really have to say, there 39 is something they're saying here, you know. Like I said, 40 they're on the road system, so they're really be pressured by 41 all different sources, Anchorage on one corner, Fairbanks on 42 the other end and military and maybe even me some day, you 43 know.

44 45

That's all I have to say about that.

46 47

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead, Pat.

48

49 MR. SAYLOR: Yeah. I was the Chief of Healy Lake for 50 like three years, we had some problems out there and we tried

to get the State to come out and, you know, enforce some laws. And they told us there had to be somebody dead before they come in there. And when you try to get to enforce trespass on our lands, they wouldn't even come out there. There's got to be somebody dead lying there and that's what they said, I heard it with my own ears. So, you know, that's pretty drastic I would say.

7 8 9

5

And the State, you guys got money, a million bucks got 10 appropriated to fight against some Native situations, you know, 11 that million bucks could have went to policing the lands and 12 doing something positive there.

13 14

Another thing the jails are 40 percent Natives in them 15 jails, we make up 18 percent. It costs 96 bucks a day to stick 16 somebody in jail for a game violation that is a felony. Now 17 you're going to pay for that guy and who's going to take care 18 of his family. I mean there's a lot of things that -- you guys 19 ain't making sense here. That's pretty much directed to the 20 State here. What's up? You know, I mean, to me if it's a 21 personal thing you should not drag in a whole bunch of innocent 22 people into it. If you don't like that guy or something, 23 there's a big wide open area you guys could take it out there 24 and beat each other up or whatever and get it over with and not 25 drag all of somebody that's trying to get along and do the 26 right thing, you know, it don't -- and that's the truth.

27 28 29

## MR. P. TITUS: Regulations or what?

30

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Yeah, that's what we're going to now, 31 we kind of got off track here a little bit. Let's see we're at 32 RFR R97-07.

33 34

MR. MATHEWS: Correct and basically to make it clear to 35 everybody what an RFR is, it's a Request for Reconsideration 36 which was submitted by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game 37 to revisit the action last year to deal with black bear c&t 38 determination in Unit -- I can't go by that, so someone's got 39 to help me out on that.

40

41 MR. SHERROD: This is goat in Unit 11 and this is sort 42 of what we touched on previously. This is the State's response 43 to an action taken. And in summary, if you got to page 204, it 44 basically lines out the State's objections that there was 45 insufficient evidence to provide for a determination for goat 46 in Unit 11 and that they acknowledge -- on 205 they basically 47 acknowledge that maybe some lower Ahtna communities hunted 48 goats but that none of the communities identified in the 49 proposal that were granted c&t by Board action, which includes 50 Dot Lake, that's one of the reasons that this in front of you,

that's why it's an overlap, should be removed.

3

You really don't need to take action on this, I 4 suspect. The conclusion was basically saying that the more 5 testimony was needed to try to support this, and I'm not sure 6 what the Board does with this.

7

MR. P. TITUS: I got a question for George. How come 9 you always say you need more information, it seems like it just 10 denying these guys opportunity to harvest the resource in 11 subsistence.

12 13

CHAIRMAN MILLER: George didn't say.....

14

15 MR. P. TITUS: I mean, he says we need more 16 information, we need more information from people. That they 17 historically harvest this resource? Isn't that information 18 enough?

19

20 MR. SHERROD: Well, it's not me that saying we need 21 more information, it's the State saying we need more 22 information. That's one of the reasons we have to go through 23 this rather laborsome, cumbrous process is to try to get it 24 down on record so when we get request like these, and we get 25 these fairly often, that we have the ability to respond to 26 them. And so the State is basically saying the actions that 27 the Board took, now the Board supported this, was not correct 28 and that there wasn't any evidence to support it.

29 30

What we're asking for now is, and this will go before 31 the Southeast (sic) Council who has a bigger stake in it than 32 anybody else is there more information.

33 34

MR. P. TITUS: Somebody's grandpa lived off that goat 35 that's how they're there. That's how they're there today. 36 Isn't that evidence enough?

37 38

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Actually it's goat.

39 40

MR. SHERROD: It's goat.

41 42

MR. P. TITUS: I know it's goat, but somebody used that 43 to live off before. It's historical use. Isn't that evidence 44 enough that we're alive, that our grandparents lived off the 45 resources to make sure that we're alive today.

46

47 MR. SHERROD: All I can say, it was obviously proof for 48 the Board. It's the State that is contesting this 49 determination.

MR. P. TITUS: Well, we could pass this and let the 2 State deal with it.

3 4

MR. FLEENER: Well, we don't have to pass it right.....

5 6

7

CHAIRMAN MILLER: It's already passed. George, I have a question for you on the top paragraph, page 203. one that I've been fighting you guys about for a while now. I think it's the third sentence there expressing legal 10 uncertainties. What type of legal uncertainties?

11 12

I did not write this, so give me a minute MR. SHERROD: 13 here.

14 15

MR. MATHEWS: Basically -- and George can look further 16 on it is, and Rosa can correct me. Basically we took the 17 analysis that dealt with Proposal 22 last year and basically 18 submitted it again in here and that was the discussion when 19 this Council brought up a recommendation to have the Native This is a response to the Native Village 20 Village of Dot Lake. 21 of Dot Lake request there was legal uncertainties 22 distinguishing between the two communities.

23 24

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Well, that's what I was wondering. 25 mean, it's -- they're recognized by both the State and Federal 26 government as two separate communities. What's the problem? 27 mean we each get our own separate grants from the State and 28 from the Federal government, that's why I can't understand what 29 the....

30 31

MR. SHERROD: We'll get this -- I did not write this. 32 In the ones that I wrote I did mention it. I will see that 33 this gets amended. As I say, the problem we had is that terms 34 of the information available to us we have to lump the two, but 35 you're right on this, and I'll make a note an see if we can't 36 get that struck out of there, okay? And I apologize.

37 38

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Sounds good. And the only other 39 comment I got is, you know, the State closed down goat hunting 40 years and years ago, so we quit hunting goat. So now 41 we're getting penalized for not hunting goat when they said we 42 can't hunt goat. I mean, let's -- that's kind of -- it just 43 doesn't sit right with me, really. And, you know, they tell 44 you, you can't do something, so you don't do it. And then now 45 they're telling you, well, you've never done it so now you 46 can't.

47 48

It's taking away subsistence. MR. P. TITUS:

49 50

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead, Lee.

```
00137
```

MR. L. TITUS: I'd like to know the reason why we're 2 talking about this? If there's any action that this Council 3 needs to take then we should go in that direction. Or if there's no action that needs to be taken....

6

9

MS. DETWILER: I can probably respond to that. After 7 the Board passed the proposal last year the State filed this 8 Request for Reconsideration. And rather than act on it immediately the Board wanted to send it back to the Council to 10 give you guys an opportunity to add to the information that's 11 already in there. And what you've said already is that you 12 weren't able to hunt for quite a while, so if I were you I 13 would add that into the record as part of the reason that there 14 isn't as much information as the State thinks that there ought 15 to be because you were.....

16 17

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Oh, we've got copies of it, we've 18 sent in letters and copies to -- but they just.....

19

20 MS. DETWILER: Yeah. So basically the Board just 21 wanted the Councils to have an opportunity to review the State 22 Request for Reconsideration before they acted on it.

23 24

When was Wrangell/St. Elias established? MR. FLEENER:

25 26

MR. MATHEWS: 1981.

27 28

MR. FLEENER: 1981.

29 30

MR. FLEENER: And the State cut out goat hunting before 31 then?

32 33

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Is there any more questions or 34 comments on this?

35

MR. GOOD: Mr. Chairman, this was taken in the spring 37 of '97, was there a season in fall of '97?

38 39

That's what I was trying to get. I don't MR. MATHEWS: 40 have the right book in front of me.

41 42

MR. SHERROD: I don't believe so. No.

43 44

MR. MATHEWS: Being how this was more of a Southcentral 45 proposal, you could end this discussion, recommend the 46 Southcentral proposal and leave it up to them to resubmit. But 47 I don't know without the book in front of me, but I don't think 48 we have a goat season in 11.

49 50

MR. GOOD: I like the comments on page 206, public

1 comments, do not reconsider. Prior to the establishment of the 2 Wrangell Park, people from the entire State could hunt goats in 3 Unit 11, et cetera. Upper Tanana/Fortymile Fish and Game 4 Advisory Committee. I think they're on the right track.

6 MS. HENNESSY: Yeah, we had asked, actually, that the 7 Board deny (indiscernible - away from microphone) of this.

9 MR. FLEENER: And will that require a similar motion 10 from us if that's the direction we want to take or can we just 11 let it slide?

MR. SHERROD: If you look -- Number 24 is actually 14 requesting to add an additional community to it. If you are -- 15 I think if you take a positive action on that -- or you can 16 take an action on 24 and you don't have to worry about the RFR, 17 you'll basically cover it.

19 CHAIRMAN MILLER: I guess we want to have Proposal 24 20 then.

MR. SHERROD: Okay. Proposal 24 basically would add 23 the community of Glennallen to the contested but current c&t 24 for goat in Unit 11. This proposal, the recommendation by the 25 staff is to reject this proposal based on the fact that there 26 is no harvest data that Glennallen residents have traditionally 27 harvested goats in Unit 11.

MR. MATHEWS: The only comments that we received from 30 Fish and Game and they're deferred, unless Craig has some new 31 information because they're waiting to hear from the Federal 32 Subsistence Board how it acts on RFR 97-07. So the comments 33 are deferred, they want to hear what the Board does on the RFR. 34 And I have no other comments, other than you've already heard 35 the comments of the Upper Tanana/Fortymile on the RFR.

MR. SHERROD: And if I could interject. I think that 38 Mr. Chairman brought up a really good point and that something 39 that we, as staff, are strapped with when we do these analysis 40 and have to use the eight factors, is if you can't hunt, and 41 there's no record of it, then all we can we say is there's no 42 record that they've hunted. And so when you get down to the 43 bottom of the page it sort of a blank page, so we're not left 44 with a lot else to say, but we can't support it because there's 45 no evidence. And I know that is not fair at all to rural 46 users, but it just part of the system that's there. And part 47 of the reason that you're here is to say there is evidence, I 48 know this or whatever. To have your knowledge and input into 49 this.

00139 MR. FLEENER: I'd like to make a motion to adopt 2 Proposal 24 as written. 3 4 MR. GOOD: Second. 5 6 MR. L. TITUS: With the staff recommendation? 7 8 MR. FLEENER: No, they recommend rejecting it. 10 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay, any questions or comments from 11 the agencies? No comments. 12 13 MR. FLEENER: I've got a question for some of the 14 staff. Frank Entsminger says that he has personal knowledge of 15 individual families within this community that have used this 16 resource in the past and there's no reason why they should not 17 be able to use this resource today. Has anybody been in 18 contact with people from this community that Frank Entsminger 19 has talked to? 2.0 21 MS. HENNESSY: I know who they are. Is that what 22 you're asking? There are families, six, eight families. 23 24 MR. FLEENER: That have used these resources in the 25 past? 26 27 MS. HENNESSY: Right. We have a list of them and I 28 don't have it right here but..... 29 30 MR. FLEENER: Well, maybe you could say it into the 31 record. I think that would help to establish some sort of 32 record on this because you say you know of six families that 33 have used this recourse in the past, it will definitely help 34 the cause. 35 36 MS. HENNESSY: You'd like to know who they are? 37 38 CHAIRMAN MILLER: I don't think that would be necessary 39 here, would it? 40 41 MR. FLEENER: Would that be necessary? 42 43 MR. SHERROD: It would be a..... 44 45 MS. HENNESSY: A good idea? 46 47 MR. SHERROD: Yes. 48 49 MS. HENNESSY: Okay. Well, I'll just tell you to the 50 best of my knowledge. The Lars Sandford (ph) family and that

00140 was primarily here Tanacross and also in Tok. And the Al Keetch (ph) family in Tok, Danny Grandguard (ph) family. Doug Hoskin (ph) family. That's only four, isn't it? 5 MR. FLEENER: Do you know of anybody specifically from 6 Glenallen? 7 8 MS. HENNESSY: I'm sorry? 9 10 MR. FLEENER: From Glennallen. 11 12 MS. HENNESSY: From Glennallen, oh, I'm sorry, it's 13 just I get -- we were looking for families from Unit 11, I'm 14 sorry. Okay. No. Well..... 15 16 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead, Craig. 17 18 MR. GARDNER: I guess I'll have to speak as Craig 19 Gardner, not Fish and Game in this, (indiscernible) used to 20 live in Glennallen for a lot of years and I'm sure Frank could 21 come up with the name of families but, you know, not having --22 you having the harvest data and I not having it in front of me 23 right now. The Scribner (ph) family would show a history, I 24 would think Ralph (ph) family would show a history. I was 25 thinking of all the goat and sheep hunters I know from down 26 there. Mark McMahon (ph). I mean there's all those people and 27 the problem is and what you guys also face in a lot of other 28 areas, Glennallen is, what, six miles from Tazlina, 11 miles 29 from, you know, Gulkana, 16 miles from Copper Center. So a lot 30 of these families just kind of live interspersed, all around, 31 so where does Glennallen actual township ends and something 32 else starts. 33 34 And so, I mean, you figure Glennallen sits right in the 35 middle of these communities that have just been given positive 36 c&t and for Glennallen not to -- and especially when I know the 37 families in there and how hard they hunt for sheep/goat and 38 whatever had four feet and fur. I'd be quite surprised if 39 Frank doesn't have a list of names. 40 41 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you. Is there any other 42 comments? 43 MR. MATHEWS: Just so I am clear, you moved to adopt 44 45 the proposal as written, not with subunits, is that..... 46 47 MR. FLEENER: Right. 48 49 MR. GOOD: Mr. Chairman, one last thing. 50 George a source for information. I'd try contacting Kenny

Saylor. He actually lives in Gakona Junction but he's a real old, old timer who is amazing as far as he gets around, absolutely amazing, he knows everything there.

5

7

MR. L. TITUS: I'd just like to say I'd like to -- we 6 have never -- any response from Southcentral Council on this particular proposal?

MR. MATHEWS: Well, Southcentral meets after we do, 10 they meet the first week of March.

11 12

MR. L. TITUS: This is within their jurisdiction and I 13 don't why we're having to -- prior to the Council that has 14 jurisdiction over it.

15 16

MR. MATHEWS: Because the c&t determination has Dot 17 Lake in it and because of Dot Lake being in there, you're 18 adding another community because this Council agreed to adding 19 another community because it could impact Dot Lake. That's why 20 it's before you. Again, that's the difficulty of doing 21 (indiscernible - away from microphone).....

22 23

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Ultimately it will be their decision, 24 they're just asking what we think.

25 26

MR. MATHEWS: I can't speak for the Board but most 27 likely since most -- 90 percent of the communities there are 28 Southcentral communities, it's possible the Board would look 29 more favorable to the recommendation of Southcentral. I don't 30 know if Wrangell/St. Elias (indiscernible) or not.

31 32

I don't think they get this proposal in MS. MELDRUM: 33 (indiscernible - away from microphone).....

34 35

MR. MATHEWS: The reason I bring that up is because 36 there's a pretty good overlap between the Southcentral and 37 Subsistence Resource Commission that it may give an indication 38 where Southcentral will go, but -- I mean, you can make it 39 clear in your motion that you're only speaking from Eastern 40 Interior perspective and would honor the respect of 41 Southcentral, something to that effect.

42

43 MR. FLEENER: Yeah, we can easily refer to the 44 Southcentral decision because it's not -- basically it's not 45 going to effect anything in our region. I basically -- I would 46 support voting yes on it because of the -- it sounds like 47 there's an awful lot of evidence of seven, eight, nine 48 communities that have subsisted on this animal in this unit, 49 but, I could easily also just defer to Southcentral since it is 50 their area.

```
00142
           MR. L. TITUS: Is that an amendment or withdrawal
2
3
4
           MR. FLEENER: We can just vote on
5
6
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Withdraw it and defer it?
7
8
           MR. FLEENER: Sure. Somebody needs to withdraw the
9 second.
10
11
           MR. GOOD: Yeah, I did. Okay, I'll withdraw.
                                                          I had to
12 remember I seconded it.
13
14
           MR. FLEENER: I'll make a motion to defer this to
15 Southcentral region's recommendation.
16
17
           UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Second.
18
19
           MR. SHERROD: I'm wondering if you want to take some
20 sort of action on Dot Lake. Defer the other communities to
21 their discretion but if you have a strong feeling, and I think
22 Chuck does, no matter what they do you want Dot Lake in there,
23 you should.....
24
25
           MR. FLEENER: Well, according to the Proposal Dot Lake
26 is in there.
27
28
           MR. SHERROD: It is in there but you've also got that
29 RFR there, too, so you need to do something to, at least, react
30 to the RFR.
31
32
           MR. FLEENER: Oh, the RFR, I see, okay.
33
34
           MR. GOOD: Mr. Chairman.
35
36
           MR. FLEENER: I see, so if we would have supported this
37 we wouldn't have had to deal with the RFR and now that we're
38 not supporting it we have to deal with the RFR still here.
39
40
           MR. GOOD: Mr. Chairman, I move that we reject the RFR.
41
42
           MR. FLEENER: I'll second it.
43
44
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Any questions?
45
46
           MR. FLEENER: Question.
47
48
          CHAIRMAN MILLER: The question's been called. All in
49 favor.
50
```

```
00143
1
           IN UNISON: Aye.
2
3
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Opposed.
4
5
           (No opposing responses)
6
7
           MR. FLEENER: We handled that one didn't we.
8
           MR. GOOD: We're quick.
9
10
11
           MR. MATHEWS:
                         So no action was taken on 24 then,
12 correct?
13
14
           MR. FLEENER:
                         Yeah, we deferred it to Southcentral.
15
16
           MR. MATHEWS: No, that didn't pass.
17
18
           MR. FLEENER: Yeah, there's a motion and nobody
19 seconded it yet, did they?
20
21
           MR. P. TITUS:
                         Second.
22
23
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay, now, it's been seconded.
24
25
           MR. FLEENER:
                        Question.
26
27
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Question's been called. All in favor
28 of deferring Proposal 24 say aye.
29
30
           IN UNISON: Aye.
31
32
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Opposed same sign.
33
34
           (No opposing responses)
35
           MR. FLEENER: I don't like the word, defer, maybe we
37 should call it refer. Not refer, but refer.
38
39
           MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman, you just need to note that
40 Proposal 25 through 29 in your book, they've been deferred --
41 the Board has deferred action on these proposals and there's a
42 letter here just so you know they've been deferred and that's
43 why they're not in front of you.
44
45
           MR. P. TITUS: Yes, okay, so noted, let's move on.
46
47
           MR. FLEENER: I like the Staff recommendation on this
48 one.
         This effects Region 9.
49
50
           MR. MITCHELL: I'm Carl Mitchell, I'm the biologist at
```

Wrangell-St. Elias National Park. I'll recap this real quick. Essentially the proposed closure is based on the Mentasta Caribou Herd Cooperative Management Plan that was signed off in The Mentasta Caribou Herd has been declining since the 5 mid-80s. It's declined from approximately 2,600 animals to around 600 in the last 10 years.

The cooperative management plan has a couple of keys 9 based on herd size, overall herd size, calf recruitment and 10 bull/cow ratios. The last year -- the last survey that we did 11 in September '97, the bull/cow ratio was still above the cutoff 12 point, but the calf recruitment, the two year running mean, 13 calf recruitment was below the target specified by the plan. 14 That's a trigger that forces us to propose closure of that 15 hunt.

16 17

7 8

We realize that caribou are important to the local 18 communities, but even with the caribou permits available the 19 last few years they were not used. We only know of one or two 20 caribou harvested even though 15 permits were available each 21 year. And we want to emphasize that there are other resources 22 available. There are Nelchina caribou in the area, there are 23 moose and sheep available for subsistence uses. The Park 24 closed the season on the Mentasta caribou between 1992 and 1995 25 and did reopen this season. So we're not trying to get rid of 26 subsistence use of this herd for the long-term, we're just 27 following the plan that was signed off by the commission.

28 29

So if anybody's got any questions, I'll try and answer

31 32

30 them.

MR. P. TITUS: Is there a lot of predators on this 33 herd?

34 35

MR. MITCHELL: Yeah. Calf recruitment is low because 36 of wolf and bear predation on calves. Calf survival is very 37 poor.

38 39

MR. P. TITUS: Brown bear and wolves, right?

40 41

MR. MITCHELL: What's that?

42 43

MR. P. TITUS: Brown bear and wolves, right?

44 45

MR. MITCHELL: Yeah. We just finished a four year 46 research study and there are numbers on paper. We know that 47 that's the reason for the decline. We also know that the Park 48 Service is not going to get into predator control. So if 49 that's where you're headed.....

```
00145
           MR. P. TITUS: No, no. Well, that brown bear proposal
2 we did say no open season or what?
           MR. GOOD: Except for wolves and bears. They'll
5 continue to have an open season.
6
7
           MR. P. TITUS: No, there was a brown bear proposal.
8
           MR. MATHEWS: I think I anticipated confusion on why
10 this proposal is before you. Others have proposal books in
11 front of them, I mean the regulation books, but I believe you
12 have a C&T determination for 11 for residents in Region 9 and
13 that's why this proposal is before you. Lee Titus was on the
14 Mentasta Caribou -- no you weren't, oh, Jeff was. One of your
15 past council members was on the Mentasta Caribou Management
16 Planning group. So without seeing the C&T, that's why this is
17 in front of you.
18
19
           MR. FLEENER: Even though we're not adjusting the C&T
20 and all of these communities are not in Region 9. None of
21 these communities are in Region 9. We're not doing anything to
22 change the C&T, so really we -- I mean what we say doesn't --
23 isn't going to matter a hill of beans on this, is it? We're
24 not addressing C&T determination, we're just.....
26
           MR. MATHEWS: We're addressing a season and I need to
27 hear the....
28
29
           MR. FLEENER: A season that only effects communities
30 outside of our region though.
31
32
           MR. MATHEWS: Well, I don't know what the C&T
33 determination is for lack of caribou.
34
35
           MR. FLEENER: Well, the former regulation said that
36 it's closed to everyone except residents of Chitina,
37 Chistochina, Copper Center, Gakona, Gulkana, Mentasta and
38 Tazlina, that's all of them. They're closing the season, that
39 wouldn't effect us.
40
41
          MR. MATHEWS: I don't know if.....
42
43
          MR. FLEENER: Does that effect us Pete?
44
45
           MR. MITCHELL: What Vince is getting at is the C&T
46 determination is Unit 11, north of the Sanford, rural
47 residents, Unit 11, 12, 13(A), (B) (C) and (D). And then
48 residents of Chickaloon and Dot Lake.
49
50
          MR. FLEENER: However, there's no open season for them
```

```
00146
  because the existing regulation has it closed to everybody
   except these several communities that I mentioned.
3
           MR. MITCHELL: Right. But he's saying that's how it
5 got in here.
6
7
          MR. FLEENER:
                         Okay.
8
9
           MR. MATHEWS:
                         It really shouldn't have been in there.
10
11
          MR. MITCHELL: That's what he's saying.
12
13
          MR. FLEENER: Okay.
                                I'll move to defer this to
14 Southcentral Region's recommendation then.
15
16
           MR. GOOD: Second.
17
18
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Any questions?
19
20
          MR. FLEENER: Question.
21
22
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Question's been called, all in favor.
23
24
           MR. FLEENER: Thank you, sir. Maybe in our forthcoming
25 books that we have, we can put a little bit more information on
26 this that says that we have a C&T determination in this area so
27 I'll at least know why it's in the book. You know what I'm
28 saying? Does that sound -- because just by looking at this, it
29 doesn't look like we have any effect on it. But if we do have
30 a C&T determination, I can see why there's a reason to be here.
31
32
           MR. MATHEWS: We can do that.
33
34
           MR. FLEENER: Thank you, Mr. Mathews.
35
          MR. MATHEWS: The next proposal is two proposals, if I
37 got it right, 26 and 27, which deal with -- boy, I hope I get
38 this one right, Unit 11 goat. It's dealing seasons again. And
39 I guess we'll go to Pete.
40
41
           MR. DeMATTEO: Proposal 26 was submitted by Upper
42 Tanana Fortymile Advisory Committee, which would create a
43 season for goat in Unit 11 extending August 25 through December
44 31, one goat by Federal registration permit. Proposal 27,
45 which was submitted by Copper River Native Association would
46 create a mountain goat season for Unit 11 extending August 10
47 to September 20, with a harvest limit again of one goat by
48 Federal registration permit only. And since both of these
49 proposals deal with the same species in the same area they
50 decided to lump the analysis together.
```

The preliminary Staff conclusion is to support Proposal 26 and to reject Proposal 27. On the basis that Proposal 27 offers a harvest window, but that window is basically August 10th through September 20th. And if your intent is to provide a subsistence season for goat, then certainly you'd be considering the condition of the meat, the quality of the meat, and until you get to late August and September, the quality of the meat for food is questionable and also the condition of the hide. So the better deal of the two is Proposal 26, which has 10 the more liberal season of August 25 through December 31.

11 12

MR. MATHEWS: Okay, the Fish and Game comments. I 13 gather we're going to take these up together or do we want to 14 do them....

15

16 MR. FLEENER: We're going to have to do them separate, 17 we can't approve both of them because they conflict with each 18 other.

19

MR. MATHEWS: Okay. I'll make that clear then on the 21 record. Proposal 26, they support if amended. Federal hunt 22 being proposed is unnecessary but we do not oppose this 23 proposal if it's amended to be consistent with existing State 24 registration goat hunt in Unit 11. If a community is found to 25 have customary and traditional uses of goats in Unit 11 it can 26 hunt in the park using a State permit.

27 28

Summary of comments, I hope it's for 26 is, they
support as amended proposal to establish a season of the 25th
for August to November 30th by registration permit within
That's from the Wrangell-St. Elias
Subsistence Resource Commission. Upper Tanana Fortymile didn't
give comments, so I'll defer....

34 35

MS. HENNESSY: We were happy with SRC amendments also, the recommendations to go from August 25th through November 37 30th. And was this including the Park and Reserve?

38 39

MR. FLEENER: Within the Park it says.

40

MS. HENNESSY: For some reason we were under the 42 impression that it was Park and Preserve. Just the Park?

43

MR. DeMATTEO: The proposal -- let's break this down so 45 it doesn't get too confusing, Proposal 26 would serve -- would 46 open National Park lands to goat hunting by resident zone 47 communities from August 25 to December 31st, okay. Proposal 27 48 would open up park lands, resident zone communities from August 49 10 through September 20 on National Preserve lands. Is 50 that....

```
00148
           MS. HENNESSY: We were happy with that 26, the way the
2 season reads, August 25th through November 30th.
3
           MR. DeMATTEO: The Park Service Staff just informed me
5 that the SRC said Park and Preserve.
6
7
           MR. FLEENER:
                         They want to amend it to say Park and
8 Preserve? And who is the originator of this, Copper River
9 Native Association?
10
11
           MR. P. TITUS: No, Fortymile.
12
13
                         26 is Upper Tanana Fortymile, local Fish
          MR. MATHEWS:
14 and Game.
15
16
          MR. DeMATTEO: Right.
17
18
          MR. MATHEWS: And there was one last public comment
19 from Mike Sollee from Ketchikan and he was just saying that it
20 was going to end up with a modest harvest of goats. So that
21 would be 26. Public comments on 27, I don't know if you want
22 those now or how do you want to go forward?
23
24
           MR. FLEENER: Let's do it separate. Is there an
25 existing C&T determination for goat in 11, I take it that there
26 is?
27
28
          MR. DeMATTEO: Yeah, it's -- the current determination
29 is residents of Unit 11, residents of Chitina, Chistochina --
30 read that will you.
31
32
           MR. SHERROD: Okay, Chistochina, Chitina, Copper
33 Center, Gakona, Gulkana, Tazlina, Mentasta Lake, Tonsina and
34 Dot Lake, the communities. And again, that's the one that the
35 RFR addressed in the last proposal. And this is adding a
36 season as Mr. Good pointed out, we didn't have a season there --
37
   with the C&T, I think you pointed that out.
38
39
           MR. FLEENER: Okay, I'll move to adopt Proposal 26.
40
41
           MR. GOOD: Second.
42
43
           MR. FLEENER: Staff recommendation.
44
45
          MR. MATHEWS: Was that Staff recommendation?
46
47
          MR. FLEENER: Yes.
48
49
          MR. GOOD: Mr. Chairman, I have a couple comments to
50 make. First, the later you get that goat, that hide really
```

makes a tremendous difference. I've probably killed more goats than anybody around here and I will guarantee you I've eaten them all and I take care of the hides, everything you know.

But August is really an early time. I wouldn't really, if I -- if you were going to utilize that hide it'd be better to wait until like the first of October even. But you start running into access problems. Although I've taken goats as late as January 20th, something like that. The only concern I would have here is that it does say one goat and I would like to have this very carefully monitored. It doesn't say that you should take a billy, it doesn't take that you should not take a nanny with a kid. And those can impact the population pretty quickly, you know, I'd be worried about nannies being taken with kids, that's not the best idea.

16 MR. FLEENER: Has Wrangell-St. Elias looked at what 17 effect that would have?

MR. MITCHELL: We don't have very good information on 20 that. The goat herds there, most of the biological impact was 21 estimated by the local Fish and Game biologists, Bob Dody, 22 who's been there since just before God, I think. And he 23 thought there would be no problems with the regulation.

MR. FLEENER: And so you don't see any problem with it?

MR. MITCHELL: No, I wouldn't see a problem on that.

MR. FLEENER: Okay.

MR. DeMATTEO: Yeah. The quota for goats -- as far as the quota, that should be developed probably between Fish and Game and Wrangell-St. Elias. Just keep in that mind. So I quess the loose parameters can be fine-tuned here. And that there is a harvest reporting system in place and that'd be made directly to the Park and Preserve.

MR. GOOD: Mr. Chairman. My concern on this in monitoring that population is based on the fact that when I 40 first began hunting goats, the limit was two. And now in many 41 of those areas you may have to see if you can get a permit -- 42 or apply for a permit in order to go goat hunting and part of 43 it was that people were simply, you know, kill a nanny, that 44 kid's going to die. And so I'd like to see the biology aspect 45 here watched pretty closely.

47 MR. FLEENER: Do you feel strongly enough about it to 48 amend the motion, change it to.....

MR. GOOD: No, I'm willing to go with this, but I just

```
00150
   want, you know, an awareness here that this is something you
   should be giving consideration in the future.
3
           MR. MITCHELL: It says that hunting will be allowed by
5 Federal registration permit only so we can deal with that
6
  through that in terms of what people can take in and keeping
7
  track of it. So I think we can cover that without guessing
8
  anyway.
9
10
           MR. GOOD: I'm satisfied.
11
12
           MR. FLEENER: Ouestion.
13
14
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: The question's been called. All in
15 favor of Proposal 26.
16
17
           IN UNISON: Aye.
18
19
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Opposed same.
20
21
           (No opposing responses)
22
23
           MR. FLEENER: Now, basically we cannot adopt 27 because
24 it would override 26. So do we need a motion to reject 27?
25
26
           MR. MATHEWS: The cleanest motion would be that you
27 would take no action on 27 based on your action on 26.
28
29
           MR. FLEENER: I make a motion that we take no action on
30 Proposal 27 based on our.....
31
32
           MR. GOOD: Second.
33
34
           MR. FLEENER: .....action on 26.
35
36
           MR. P. TITUS: Question.
37
38
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Question's been called, all in favor.
39
40
           IN UNISON: Aye.
41
42
           MR. MATHEWS: That brings us up to Proposal 28 which is
43 sheep in Unit 11 again.
44
45
           MR. DeMATTEO: Proposal 28 was submitted by Robert
46 Marshall. It would create a sheep season by registration
47 permit again, in Unit 11, for persons 60 years of age or older
48 and also who have C&T use for sheep within that unit.
49
50
           MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chair. Would this be in addition to
```

00151 the one sheep that they could get under the regular season that we just..... 3 MR. DeMATTEO: This is a totally separate season, 5 which.... 6 7 MR. FLEENER: So he can still get one sheep? 8 MR. DeMATTEO: Right. This would be an added harvest, 10 in other words. 11 12 MR. FLEENER: But he can't get two sheep throughout the 13 year? 14 15 MR. DeMATTEO: No. This again would be through 16 registration permit. But there would not be a designated 17 hunter permit in addition to that. Access is limited by foot 18 travel, horseback and also off road vehicles. 19 20 The preliminary Staff conclusion is to support the 21 proposal because an evaluation of the harvest data shows that 22 local rural residents who have C&T use in these areas are 23 taking a small number of sheep and almost 90 percent of that 24 harvest is rams. If you consider the elders who would apply 25 for the permit would be 60 years of age or older and would have 26 to have C&T use for sheep in this area, an increase in harvest 27 is expected to be low. Use of the Federal registration permit 28 would allow for close monitoring of the population to protect 29 the population. 30 31 MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chairman. Do we know the dynamics of 32 the harvest right now? How many people over 60 are harvesting 33 these resources? 34 35 MR. DeMATTEO: I don't have that information in front 36 of me, no. 37 38 MS. MEEHAN: I could add a little bit to this. This 39 proposal originally came through the system last year. And the 40 proponent was particular keen on having it addressed because of 41 the stated concern that elders have a hard time hunting later

MS. MEEHAN: I could add a little bit to this. This proposal originally came through the system last year. And the proponent was particular keen on having it addressed because of the stated concern that elders have a hard time hunting later in the season. So they wanted to have an earlier season which matched traditional practices. When it got to the Staff Committee and Board, there was a big discussion as to whether this legally could be done, to have a restriction based on age and we finally got a solicitor's -- it wasn't an opinion because it wasn't formal but it was -- it was advice -- advisory comments that there are precedents for providing access for handicapped individuals. And while you don't consider someone who's 60 handicapped, but it is a special

1 class and so that it would be appropriate to go ahead and 2 provide accommodation for this recommendation.

3

And so therefore, the whole proposal just came back through the cycle again, and that was sort of the frame work that was going on with it. The expectation is that any harvest associated with this will be very small.

7 8 9

9 MR. MATHEWS: Okay. The State's comments, unless Craig 10 wants to comment?

11 12

MR. FLEENER: No.

13 14

MR. MATHEWS: They don't support it. The proposal requests a special season and harvest only for persons of age 60 or older. It also limits access for this hunt to foot, horseback or RV travel. It is questionable whether the Federal Subsistence Board has the authority to create a special hunting season or harvest based on age. Board regulations are to allow for subsistence users by all rural Alaska residents of areas or communities having C&T use of particular species. Restriction 22 on these uses, if necessary, should be implemented through the criteria setup in ANILCA, Section 804. In addition, there is a question whether the Board may restrict means of access as a requested in this proposal.

26 27

And then written comments were, Wrangell-St. Elias 28 support the proposal as written. Mike Sollee said it sounded 29 good to him but if there's a loophole, someone would likely 30 find it and abuse it. So for the record, in case it didn't 31 pickup on the tape, the Upper Tanana Fortymile Advisory 32 Committee supported Proposal 28.

33 34

MR. L. TITUS: Move to adopt Staff recommendation on 35 Proposal 28.

36 37

MR. GOOD: Second.

38 39

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Any questions or comments?

40 41

41 MR. P. TITUS: Yes, I got a comment. It seems like 42 it's disregard for elders, since it says 60 years or older. 43 That's the only comment I got, which is contrary to our 44 beliefs.

45

46 MR. FLEENER: Is there a C&T determination for people 47 from our region in this unit for sheep? Again, we're dealing 48 with something out of our area so I want to make sure it 49 pertains to us before we make any decisions.

```
00153
           MR. DeMATTEO: Let's see, for sheep in Unit 11, north
2 of the Sanford, is residents of Unit 12 and Chistochina,
   Chitina, Copper Center, Dot Lake, Gakona, Gulkana, Glennallen.
4
5
                          Thank you.
           MR. FLEENER:
6
7
           MR. DeMATTEO:
                          Yeah.
8
9
           MR. FLEENER: Save your voice.
10
11
           MR. GOOD: I don't understand why the State would
12 object to this on the basis of age. Creating special hunting
13 seasons or harvest based on age since they have a permanent
14 hunting license that they give to you at a specific age.
15 no longer have to buy one. That seems pretty similar to me. 16 And as I look in the mirror, I think this is a good idea.
17
18
                          It looks better every year, um?
           MR. FLEENER:
19
20
           MR. GOOD: It does. It does.
21
22
           MR. FLEENER: Question.
23
24
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Question's been called. All in favor
25 signify by saying aye.
26
27
           IN UNISON: Aye.
28
29
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Opposed same sign.
30
31
           (No opposing responses)
32
33
           MR. MATHEWS: That brings us up to Proposals 30 and 31.
34 Which is Unit 13 black bear dealing with revision to C&T
35 determinations in Unit 13. Again, there's an overlap because
36 of the fact that there was no determination, that's why it's
37 before you.
38
39
           MR. SHERROD: I'll try to touch on this really quickly.
40 And if you recall -- let's deal with these separately because
41 there's a bit of an error here. Unit 13, going from no
42 determination for black bear to the communities listed there,
43 if you'll refer to your action on 21, which was dealing with
44 Unit 11, the same question and at that point in time you went
45 with the unit and surrounding units.
46
47
           The Staff analysis basically supports granting C&T for
48 all residents of Unit 13, which would include all of these
```

49 communities.

00154 1 MR. FLEENER: Are there any communities left out? 2 3 MR. SHERROD: Of Unit 13? 4 5 MR. FLEENER: Yes. 6 7 MR. SHERROD: Not by going with all residents of Unit 13. As written, I'm not sure. 9 10 MR. FLEENER: As written there's eight communities 11 here. 12 13 MR. SHERROD: Right. I'm not sure how many communities 14 are in Unit 13. It's not my area. It's certainly been going 15 with all the units, I mean you do have people outside of 16 Glennallen between Copper Center and Glennallen that sort of 17 fall into nowhere's land. This would -- by going all units, 18 all residents of Unit 13, you'd pick those stragglers up. 19 20 MR. FLEENER: I'll make a motion that we adopt Proposal 21 30. I'm kind of confused about what it says about Staff 22 recommendation here, with the amendment that we include 23 qualified residents of adjacent subunits in Region 9. 24 25 The Staff recommendation is to support then? 26 27 Is to modify to support all rural MR. SHERROD: 28 residents of Unit 13. 29 MR. FLEENER: Staff recommendation then with my 30 31 amendment. 32 33 MR. GOOD: There's something again that bothers me 34 here. You're saying to take 30 and use adjacent? What about 35 people living within that original area, which is Unit 11, is 36 that where.... 37 38 MR. FLEENER: Unit 13 we're dealing with. 39 40 MR. GOOD: Okay, this is Unit 13? 41 42 MR. FLEENER: Yes. 43 44 MR. GOOD: What about those people in there who aren't 45 living in the communities? 46 47 MS. MEEHAN: He went for the Staff recommendation which 48 is all residents of Unit 13. 49 50 MR. GOOD: Oh, the Staff recommendation, okay, good.

00155 1 No problem. 3 MR. MATHEWS: The State's comments on Proposal 30 was 4 to defer action. They recommend deferral until a comprehensive 5 review has been done on the use of customary and traditional 6 use of black bears in Unit -- oh, forget it. I don't know if 7 these even applies, sorry. It says Unit 11. I don't know, 8 maybe the State can share their comments. 9 10 MR. FLEENER: They're gone. 11 12 MR. GOOD: But the Staff recommendation was to reject 13 or support the proposal? 14 15 MR. FLEENER: The only State guy left. 16 17 MR. MATHEWS: Okay. Well, I can just say what's here 18 and I'll try to look it up if you need me to. 19 20 MR. FLEENER: That's okay, next comment. 21 22 MR. MATHEWS: All right. The written comments, Upper 23 Tanana did take action on this once so I'll defer to them. 24 25 Wrangell-St. Elias Subsistence Resource Commission 26 supports the proposal as written. The Commission recognizes 27 that there are other qualified subsistence users that should be 28 granted positive C&T. 29 30 MS. HENNESSY: We supported it if amended to add all 31 current Federal subsistence users. 32 33 MR. FLEENER: In 13? 34 35 MS. HENNESSY: Yeah, 13. 36 37 MR. FLEENER: Question. 38 39 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Question's been called, any other 40 comments? Go ahead. 41 MR. TWITCHELL: Hollis Twitchell. I'm with Denali 42 43 National Park. The Subsistence Resource Commission held a 44 meeting on February 13th and they reviewed the proposal. You 45 should have a sheet that I handed out to you that represents 46 the Commission's position on a number of proposals. 47 48 For Proposals 30 and 31, since they were analyzed 49 together, the Denali Commission recommends the Federal 50 Subsistence Board make no customary and traditional findings

00156 1 for black bear in Unit 13 since there is no biological or 2 subsistence need to do so at this time. However, if the 3 Federal Subsistence Board decides to make a finding, the Denali 4 Subsistence Resource Commission recommends that Cantwell 5 residents should have a customary and traditional use of black 6 bear. In addition, that individuals living within the Parks 7 Highway area from Mile Post 216 to 309 with subsistence use 8 permits for Denali National Park should also have customary and 9 traditional use for black bear on park lands in Unit 13. That 10 was by unanimous vote. 11 12 MR. MATHEWS: And Mr. Chairman, I can clarify the 13 State's action. The State's action was to defer -- the reason 14 they mentioned Unit 11 is because it said to refer to Proposal 15 21. So basically they're saying to defer action until there's 16 comprehensive review of customary and traditional use of black 17 bear in Unit 13. And that's it for public comments that I am 18 aware of. And I appreciate Hollis reminding me of Denali SRC.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Anymore questions or comments?

MS. MEEHAN: If I could just clarify some geography with what Hollis Twitchell reported from the Denali Subsistence Resource Commission. The communities and areas that he identified would be included in the motion that you have on the table.

MR. FLEENER: Question.

29
30 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Question's been called. All those in 31 favor of Proposal 30 signify by saying aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

19 20

21 22

27 28

32 33

34 35

36 37

38 39

40

45

46

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Opposed same sign.

MR. P. TITUS: Support 30?

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Yeah.

MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman, I probably would recommend 42 that this would be the last two proposals -- oh, no, we still 43 got to do 31. That's right, sorry.

MR. P. TITUS: Bring them on.

47 MR. SHERROD: We're up to 31. The first part of 31 is 48 Unit black bear 13, you just dealt with that so you don't need 49 to be concerned. The second part was for Unit 20(A) and 29(C). 50 The proposal requests going from a no determination to a

determination for rural residents between Mile Post 216 and 309 of the Parks Highway. That's a small section of the Parks Highway and I'm not sure where it's at. Hollis probably knows roughly where that piece of road is, I'm not sure. I'd have to say that the Staff conclusion doesn't fit because I think they believe that the Parks Highway is in Unit 13. It was based on the belief that this was asking -- Unit 13 residents were asking for C&T in Unit 20(A) and (C), which is not the case.

Again, since this is a black bear proposal and you've 11 sort of set a pattern in dealing with these, you might -- I 12 would suggest it, at least, be as comprehensive as the moose 13 and caribou proposals for Unit 20 and 20(A) or more so based on 14 what you've done here in the past. This is 20 and 20(A), which 15 is.....

16

17 MR. FLEENER: Does anybody foresee a problem with them 18 hunting black bear in 20(A) and 20(C)?

19 20

MR. GOOD: Mr. Chairman.

21 22

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Yes.

23 24

MR. GOOD: I have a problem with anything that 25 restricts a determination to people living, you know, just 26 along a particular stretch of the Parks Highway. Their names 27 are going to be on the mailbox, you know. This doesn't make 28 sense to me.

29 30

MR. FLEENER: Right. Because they're already included.

31 32

MR. GOOD: Yeah.

33

MR. FLEENER: It's not going to give them -- what's 35 their reasoning? Let's look at their reasoning. Oh, they just 36 want a C&T determination for those people living there. This 37 does severely restrict doesn't it?

38 39

MR. GOOD: It sure does.

40 41

41 MR. FLEENER: Because it's just -- but if we adopt the 42 C&T with all the residents of Unit -- boy, my brain hurts.

43

MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman, not speaking for that 45 committee, but having worked with that committee in the past, I 46 would tend to think they're saying recognition, not eliminating 47 others.

48

MR. FLEENER: Well, that's why I'm trying to find a way to word that in a motion with where the motion that -- that's

```
00158
  been very similar to the ones we've been passing to fill the
  need of a C&T, but not exclude other people.
           MR. SHERROD: Hollis, do you know in which Unit that
5 section of the highway -- or is the highway actually the unit
6
  line?
7
8
           MR. TWITCHELL: About 216 would be just south of
9 McKinley Village and then that would continue up -- 309 would
10 be north of Healy.
11
12
           MR. MATHEWS: Right in here then?
13
14
           MR. FLEENER: Between Healy and McKinley Park?
15
16
           MR. MATHEWS: Oh, Healy and McKinley Park. Okay.
17 Maybe Hollis could point it out, I don't know.
18
19
          MR. TWITCHELL: Cantwell is right here. 216 is right
20 here and in right in this area where McKinley Village is and
21 extend up toward Healy. I'm not sure exactly where 309 falls
22 in on the upper boundary.
23
24
           MR. FLEENER: What's this Ferry thing, is that a
25 community? Yes, no?
26
27
          MR. P. TITUS: Yes.
28
29
          MR. FLEENER: So it's north of Ferry a little ways,
30 possibly, could be?
31
32
           MR. TWITCHELL: Yes.
33
34
          MR. SHERROD: And the road is the boundary line; is
35 that correct?
36
37
          MR. TWITCHELL: Yes.
38
39
          MR. SHERROD: Yeah.
40
41
          MR. TWITCHELL: Maybe I could build on what Vince said.
42 This proposal is a very old C&T carryover from pre-90s. And it
43 came about since it effected McKinley Village people and they
44 were concerned about losing C&T for using black bear which
45 occurred to them with moose and caribou. And so an effort to
46 ensure that they wouldn't lose black bear as well, I believe
47 that was the impetus for submitting that proposal years ago.
48
           MR. FLEENER: Do the people that made this proposal
49
50 realize that they'll be the only ones with a C&T between this
```

```
00159
   80 mile stretch of the highway or whatever it is?
3
           MR. GOOD: And all the way to Delta Junction.
4
5
           MR. TWITCHELL:
                           I can't speak for the Middle Nenana
6
   Advisory Committee. It was a long time ago that they proposed
7
8
           MR. FLEENER: Because the way it looks, the people from
10 216 to 309 will be the only ones able to hunt black bear in
11 20(A) and 20(C).
12
13
           MR. GOOD: That's right.
14
15
           MR. SHERROD: As it's written, yes.
16
17
           MR. GOOD: Yeah, all the way to Delta Junction.
18
19
           MR. TWITCHELL: My impression....
20
21
           MR. FLEENER: Maybe we should defer this back to that
22 -- to them and talk to them about what C&T -- what this C&T
23 determination will do?
24
25
           MR. MATHEWS: No, I don't....
26
27
           MR. FLEENER: You don't think so?
28
29
           MR. TWITCHELL: My impression is that they didn't want
30 to eliminate anyone, they just want to ensure that that area
31 would have an opportunity.
32
33
           MR. FLEENER: Right.
                                 So that a motion saying.....
34
35
           MR. P. TITUS: Well, we could amend the proposal.
36
37
           MR. SHERROD: Since it is a boundary line, you could go
38 Units 20(C) and 20(B), treat them as a single unit and all --
39 adjacent units, that's basically what you did in the past.
40
41
           MR. FLEENER: Can you put that in writing real quick
42 and I'll read it as a motion.
43
44
           MR. MATHEWS:
                         Well, you need the -- the Fish and Game's
45 comments....
46
47
           MR. FLEENER:
                         I mean I'll make an amendment.
48
49
           MR. MATHEWS: ....were to....
50
```

```
00160
1
           MR. P. TITUS: We don't need their comments.
2
3
           MR. MATHEWS: .....defer action. The Fish and Game
4 wanted to defer until a comprehensive review. I would predict
5 on all these that they're saying comprehensive review will have
6 RFRs back before you. And so they're -- until that's done they
  want to defer comment.
           Wrangell-St. Elias took no action on this.
10 already gave you the action of Denali Subsistence Resource
11 Commission.
12
13
           MR. GOOD: Mr. Chairman. With the current regulation
14 reading all rural residents, I don't see that they're going to
15 lose anything. I don't -- you know, the only people that stand
16 to lose anything is everybody but them. So I don't think we
17 should take action on this.
18
19
          MR. FLEENER: But they're going to come back again
20 saying we want our C&T determination. It's a very old -- like
21 they said, it's a very old.....
22
           MR. GOOD: This has been sitting around a long time, I
23
24 think we can wait until it comes back again, see if they really
25 do.
26
27
          MR. FLEENER: I don't know if I like that. People are
28 asking for C&T determinations because they want recognition
29 that they customary and traditionally use this. Now, we don't
30 like this wording, we need to make an amendment to the wording,
31 but still include the C&T determination. That's what we've
32 been doing with these other proposals. Some of them have been
33 limiting and we've gotten rid of that by including all the
34 residents in that unit and surrounding areas.
35
36
           MR. GOOD: As long as they're considered rural
37 residents they have the C&T determination. The only thing we
38 can do with this point.....
39
40
           MR. FLEENER: No, there's no determination.
41
42
           MR. GOOD: .....is take it away.
43
44
           MR. FLEENER:
                         There is no.....
45
46
          MR. GOOD: That means as rural residents they have C&T
47 for it or am I missing something here?
48
49
          MR. FLEENER: Yeah, there is no.....
```

00161 1 MR. SHERROD: De facto. That's the de facto. 2 3 MR. GOOD: Isn't there a correct way of saying -- yeah, it's de facto, there's nothing that prevents them having it. 5 They will prevent others though if we act on this. 6 7 MR. TWITCHELL: That was the reasoning of the Denali 8 Subsistence Resource Commission for deferring the proposal. 9 They didn't see any reason why there was a need to make a C&T 10 determination since it was open to all rural residents and, 11 therefore, they recommended deferring. And at some later time 12 if there was a resource issue and the need to establish that 13 you could deal with it at a later time. 14 15 MR. FLEENER: Well, that goes back to then almost all 16 of the C&T determinations that we've passed, the only reason we 17 passed the majority of them is because these people want their 18 traditions recognized. That's the reason that these people are 19 asking for these. And so for the reasoning you two just 20 pointed out, we should throw out most of the C&T 21 determinations. 2.2 23 MR. MATHEWS: But you were going to take the three-step 24 approach. One, was we're going to deal with the subunits 25 adjacent.... 26 27 MR. FLEENER: But we're not taking that step here, 28 we're saying..... 29 30 MR. MATHEWS: Well.... 31 32 MR. FLEENER: .....we're saying we're not going to 33 recognize -- we're not going to acknowledge your request for a 34 C&T determination because of the same reason that a lot of 35 people bring up all the time. 36 37 MR. P. TITUS: We gave -- in the proposal 101, I guess 38 we gave them C&T for moose. Revised customary and traditional 39 use of moose determination down there in Unit 20(A), it says, 40 along the area along Parks Highway, Mile Post 216 to 239. 41 42 MR. FLEENER: 309 or 239? 43 MR. P. TITUS: It's 216 to 239 in my book, maybe my 45 book is not right. But we gave this section of the highway C&T 46 for moose for Unit 12 and 20. 47 48 MR. GOOD: In that case we could give them something 49 because it's already been divided up between others. If they

50 weren't in there they'd lose it. In this case over here, they

```
00162
   already have it. Do you see? Understand that? If they
  weren't listed there they wouldn't have it, but those other
  people have it.
5
           MR. P. TITUS: But the other communities got C&T don't
6
 they, except these two guys, this Mile Post 216 to.....
7
8
           MR. FLEENER:
                         There's no C&T determination right now,
9 everybody can hunt.
10
11
           MR. GOOD: Yeah, everybody can hunt.
12
13
           MR. NICHOLIA: What if there was a shortage, they
14 wouldn't have customary and traditional use.....
15
16
           MR. MATHEWS:
                         If there was a shortage with the.....
17
18
          MR. NICHOLIA: ....if we don't make the determination
19 for them.
20
21
           MR. MATHEWS: Well, if there's a no determination, we'd
22 probably go to make a determination or go to 804 and apply the
23 three factors of proximity, alternate resources and customary
24 dependency. If there's a shortage that would happen.
25
26
           MR. FLEENER: I don't like not giving people their C&T
27 determination when they ask for it. But I also don't want to
28 exclude everybody else in 20(A) and (C). What we need to do is
29 come up with something that includes people in (A) and (C) in
30 this harvest, but gives -- acknowledges the people within this
31 80 mile zone here their traditional and use of the resource.
32
33
           MS. MEEHAN: Maybe I could suggest another way to look
34 at this particular one. And this is a peculiar proposal
35 because it's one that was submitted a long time ago and it was
36 submitted at a time when there was not a general understanding
37 of what C&T means and how it is used. And from my perspective
38 it seems like this might be an appropriate one to say -- to
39 essentially send it back to the proponent and say, given a
40 better general understanding of what C&T means and what --
41 having a C&T determination will and won't do for you, do you
42 still want to make this proposal or do you want to broaden it
43 so that it for sure includes other people in the area. So if
44 you take an action like that and then without -- you'd still be
45 supportive of the request, but it's at the same time sending it
46 back to the proponent that given sort of new information and a
47 better understanding of the system, they could better define a
48 proposal.
```

MR. FLEENER: I can support that if we can get some

```
00163
   commitment from Staff to give them a call or something and say
  what this -- how this is going to effect other people.
3
4
                       Absolutely.
           MS. MEEHAN:
5
6
           MR. FLEENER: Okay. I'll make the motion that we send
7
  this back to Copper River Native Association then.
8
9
           MR. SHERROD: No, this is -- do they exist now?
10
11
           MR. FLEENER:
                         Oh, I'm always reading these names
12 backwards.
              Yes.
13
14
           MR. SHERROD: Okay, okay. It's not the one that
15 disappeared. One of them disappeared.
16
17
           MR. P. TITUS: Let's move on. Second.
18
19
           MR. FLEENER: Question.
20
21
           MR. MATHEWS:
                         I think Rosa got the motion though,
22 because I'm going to end up doing it.
23
24
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: All in favor.
25
26
           IN UNISON: Aye.
27
           MR. FLEENER: You're going to end up doing what,
28
29 calling? Just do it right now.
30
31
           MR. MATHEWS: No, no, no, that's not the concern.
32 think George, Hollis and I will have to huddle on -- I think
33 this was written in response to the O'Connor situation.
34
35
           MR. P. TITUS: Let's get out of here.
36
37
           MR. FLEENER: How many more do we got?
38
39
           MR. GOOD: Too many.
40
41
           MR. P. TITUS: Too many. Mr. Chairman, I call for a
42 recess.
43
44
                        How many, seven or eight more?
           MR. FLEENER:
45
46
           MR. P. TITUS: Call for recess Mr. Chairman.
47 Acknowledge me.
48
49
           MR. MATHEWS: Eleven is what I counted. You're
50 actually pretty healthy right now.
```

```
00164
1
           MR. FLEENER:
                         We're pretty healthy?
2
3
           MR. MATHEWS:
                         Yeah.
4
5
           MR. FLEENER: What's that mean?
6
7
           MR. MATHEWS:
                         That your energy is -- I don't know that
8
  you've progressed pretty.....
9
10
           MR. FLEENER: We're doing all right?
11
12
           MR. MATHEWS: You've done quite a few proposals.
13 rest of them, without going into detail, I think a lot of them
14 are C&T's. So we've got a rhythm with C&T's.
15
16
           MR. FLEENER: Okay. If they're all C&T, I just make a
17 motion that we just.....
18
19
           MR. MATHEWS: No, no. We need two things, when are we
20 coming back into session and the room has to be cleaned up for
21 an activity tonight.
22
23
           MR. P. TITUS: Oh, we got to take our stuff, um?
24
25
           MR. FLEENER:
                         Yeah.
26
27
           MR. P. TITUS: What kind of activity?
28
29
           MR. MATHEWS:
                         Well, I don't know.
30
31
           MR. P. TITUS: What time do they need the hall?
32
33
           UNIDENTIFIED VOICE:
                                7:00.
34
35
           MR. MATHEWS: No, wait a minute, wait, wait, wait.
36 must have put it on here somewhere, 8:30 is the way it's been
37 announced.
38
39
           CHAIRMAN MILLER: Can you open the hall up tomorrow
40 8:30?
41
42
           MR. MATHEWS:
                        Yeah.
43
44
           MR. FLEENER: You probably need a little bit more time
45 because we're going to have to set everything back up, so maybe
46 8:00.
47
48
           MR. MATHEWS:
                        8:00, oh, okay.
49
50
           MR. FLEENER: Vince will get up at 6:00, but that's
```

```
00165
1 okay.
2
3
4
5
6
           MR. P. TITUS: Yeah, Vince will be over at 7:00.
           MR. FLEENER: Just give Vince your key.
7
           MR. P. TITUS: Yeah, let's give Vince the key.
8
           MR. MATHEWS: All right. Please take all your
9
10 materials home, they're quite valuable.
11
12
           (Hearing recessed)
13
                              * * * * * *
14
```

001	166
1 2	CERTIFICATE
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )
4 5 6	STATE OF ALASKA )
7 8 9	I, Joseph P. Kolasinski, Notary Public in and for the State of Alaska and Reporter and Owner of Computer Matrix, do hereby certify:
11 12 13 14 15 16	THAT the foregoing pages numbered 02 through 165 contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the Eastern Interior Federal Subsistence Regional Advisory Council, Volume I, meeting taken electronically by Annalisa DeLozier on the 18th day of February, 1998, beginning at the hour of 9:00 o'clock a.m. at the Tanacross Community Hall, Tanacross, Alaska;
19 20	THAT the transcript is a true and correct transcript requested to be transcribed and thereafter transcribed by my firm to the best of our knowledge and ability;
23 24 25	THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or party interested in any way in this action.
26 27 28 29 30 31	DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 26th day of February, 1998.
32 33 34	JOSEPH P. KOLASINSKI Notary Public in and for Alaska My Commission Expires: 04/17/00